EXHIBIT 49

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING

AND MATERIALS d/b/a ASTM

INTERNATIONAL;

NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION

ASSOCIATION, INC.; and

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF HEATING,

REFRIGERATING, AND AIR

CONDITIONING ENGINEERS,

Plaintiffs/

Counter-Defendants, Case No.

v. 1:13-CV-01215-EGS

PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.,

Defendant/

Counter-Plaintiff.

_____/

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

DEPONENT: VIKAS BHUTADA

DATE: Wednesday, November 5, 2014

TIME: 9:11 a.m.

LOCATION: 2000 Town Center, Suite 1900

Southfield, Michigan

REPORTER: Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CSR-3267

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Decument 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 3 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014

,	T	11-05-2014			_
2				4	4
1 APPEARANCES		TABLE OF CONTENTS			
2 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP By: J Kevin Fee	2	THESE OF CONTENTS	,		
3 111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N W	3	WITNESS	PAGE		
Washington, D C 20004 4 202 739 5215	4				
jkfee@morganlewis.com	5	VIKAS BHUTADA			
Appearing on behalf of ASTM International	6				
6 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION	7	Examination by Mr. Fee	7		
7 By: Mitch Stoltz	8	Examination by Mr. Zee	86		
815 Eddy Street 8 San Francisco, CA 94109	9	Examination by Mr. Rehn	90		
415 436 9333	10	Examination by Mr. Stoltz Reexamination by Mr. Fee	96 104		
9 mitch@eff org 10 Appearing on behalf of Public Resource Org, Inc	12	Reexamination by Mr. Stoltz	104		
11 JOHN G COUTILISH PC By: John G Coutilish	13	Recammation by 1411. Stortz	107		
12 5700 Crooks Road, Suite 220	14				
Troy, MI 48098 13 248 375 1000	15	EXHIBITS			
coutilish@comcast net	16				
Appearing on behalf of HTC Global Services	17	NUMBER IDENTIFICATIO	N	PAGE	
15 16	18				
17	19	Ex. No. 1 Subpoena	11		
18 19	20	Ex. No. 2 Bates PRO4964 - 65	35		
20	21 22	Ex. No. 3 "Double Key and Con Entry Process"	npare Data		
21 22	23	Ex. No. 4 "Summary of Projects			
23 24	24	Media.Org/Public.Resour		,	
25	25	111001011911 00101110000			
	┝				4
3				:	5
1 APPEARANCES (continued):	1	EXHIBITS			
2 MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON LLP	2				
By: Nathan M. Rehn (appearing via telephonically) 3 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor	3	NUMBER IDENTIFICATIO	N	PAGE	
San Francisco, CA 94105	4				
4 415.512.4000 Thane.Rehn@mto com	5	Ex. No. 5 Bates PRO26049 - 50	56		
5	6	Ex. No. 6 11/9/12 Email	60		
Appearing on behalf of NFPA, Inc.	7	Ex. No. 7 2/25/12 Email	63		
KING & SPALDING LLP	8	Ex. No. 8 Bates PRO5092 - 94	64		
	()	Ex No 0 Dates DD 025045 44			
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically)	9	Ex. No. 9 Bates PRO25945 - 40	66		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300	10	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email	66 74		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200	1	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email	66 74 76		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com	10 11	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email	66 74		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200	10 11 12	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email	74 76 78 79		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com 10 Appearing on behalf of ASHRAE 11 12	10 11 12 13	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email Ex. No. 13 1/2/13 Email	74 76 78 79		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com 10 Appearing on behalf of ASHRAE 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT:	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email Ex. No. 13 1/2/13 Email Ex. No. 14 Bates PRO25943 - 4 Ex. No. 15 Bates PRO5058 - 65	6 66 74 76 78 79 4 81 83		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com 10 Appearing on behalf of ASHRAE 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 Dan Eley, videographer 15	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email Ex. No. 13 1/2/13 Email Ex. No. 14 Bates PRO25943 - 4	6 66 74 76 78 79 4 81 83		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com 10 Appearing on behalf of ASHRAE 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 Dan Eley, videographer 15 16	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email Ex. No. 13 1/2/13 Email Ex. No. 14 Bates PRO25943 - 4 Ex. No. 15 Bates PRO5058 - 65	6 66 74 76 78 79 4 81 83		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com 10 Appearing on behalf of ASHRAE 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 Dan Eley, videographer 15	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email Ex. No. 13 1/2/13 Email Ex. No. 14 Bates PRO25943 - 4 Ex. No. 15 Bates PRO5058 - 65	6 66 74 76 78 79 4 81 83		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com 10 Appearing on behalf of ASHRAE 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 Dan Eley, videographer 15 16 17 18 19	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email Ex. No. 13 1/2/13 Email Ex. No. 14 Bates PRO25943 - 4 Ex. No. 15 Bates PRO5058 - 65	6 66 74 76 78 79 4 81 83		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com 10 Appearing on behalf of ASHRAE 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 Dan Eley, videographer 15 16 17 18 19 20	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email Ex. No. 13 1/2/13 Email Ex. No. 14 Bates PRO25943 - 4 Ex. No. 15 Bates PRO5058 - 65	6 66 74 76 78 79 4 81 83		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com 10 Appearing on behalf of ASHRAE 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 Dan Eley, videographer 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email Ex. No. 13 1/2/13 Email Ex. No. 14 Bates PRO25943 - 4 Ex. No. 15 Bates PRO5058 - 65	6 66 74 76 78 79 4 81 83		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com 10 Appearing on behalf of ASHRAE 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 Dan Eley, videographer 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email Ex. No. 13 1/2/13 Email Ex. No. 14 Bates PRO25943 - 4 Ex. No. 15 Bates PRO5058 - 65	6 66 74 76 78 79 4 81 83		
7 By: Andrew Zee (appearing via telephonically) 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.318.1200 9 azee@kslaw.com 10 Appearing on behalf of ASHRAE 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 Dan Eley, videographer 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ex. No. 10 3/14/13 Email Ex. No. 11 8/26/12 Email Ex. No. 12 10/22/13 Email Ex. No. 13 1/2/13 Email Ex. No. 14 Bates PRO25943 - 4 Ex. No. 15 Bates PRO5058 - 65	6 66 74 76 78 79 4 81 83		

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Decument 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 4 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014

1		П		
	6			8
1	Southfield, Michigan	1		happen here today; correct?
2	Wednesday, November 5, 2014	2	A.	Yes.
3	* * *	3		Well, just to make sure we're on the same page, I want
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins Volume	4		to review a few rules. The first and most important
	1,	5		one is let's make sure that we don't talk at the same
5	Tape 1 of the videotaped deposition of Vikas Bhutada	6		time. That will make the court reporter's job much
6	in the matter of ASTM International versus	7		easier. Is that okay?
7	Public.Resource.Org, Case Number 1:13-CV-01215-	8	A.	Sure.
	EGS.	9	Q.	If I ask you a question that you don't understand,
8	This deposition is being held at the Regus	10		please let me know and I'll try to rephrase it.
9	Office in Southfield, Michigan. The date is November	11		Okay.
10	5th, 2014 and the time is 9:11 a m. My name is Dan Eley with the firm of	12	Q.	But then if you answer my question, I'll understand
12	Capital Reporting Company, I'm the legal video	13		that you think you understood the question and your
13	specialist. The court reporter is Jeanette Fallon,	14		answer's responsive; is that fair?
14	also associated with Capital Reporting in Washington,	15		Yes.
15	D.C.	16	-	Are you taking any medication that would prevent
16	Would counsel please introduce themselves?	17	you	from testifying truthfully today?
17	MR. FEE: Kevin Fee from Morgan Lewis on	18	Α	No.
18	behalf of ASTM.	19		Is there any other reason why you can't testify fully
19	MR. STOLTZ: Mitchell Stoltz from the	20	ζ.	and truthfully today?
20	Electronic Frontier Foundation on behalf of	21	A.	No.
21	Public.Resource.Org.	22		Are you represented by counsel today?
22	MR. COUTILISH: John Coutilish appearing on	23		Yes.
23	behalf of HTC Global Services, third-party deponent.	24	Q.	By whom are you represented?
24	MR. FEE: Counsel on the phone.	25	A.	John Coutilish.
1	7	1		0
	7			9
1	on behalf of the American Society of Heating,	1	Q.	9 Anyone else?
1 2	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from	1 2	A.	Anyone else? No.
	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding.	1	A.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel
2 3 4	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law	2 3 4	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition?
2 3 4 5	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the	2 3 4 5	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No.
2 3 4 5 6	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association,	2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence
2 3 4 5 6 7	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: Q. Good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the attorney-client privilege between HTC Global Services
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the attorney-client privilege between HTC Global Services and my firm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: Q. Good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the attorney-client privilege between HTC Global Services and my firm. THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Would you please state your name for the record?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the attorney-client privilege between HTC Global Services and my firm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Would you please state your name for the record? A. Vikas Bhutada.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the attorney-client privilege between HTC Global Services and my firm. THE WITNESS: Yes. So did you have some communications with your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Would you please state your name for the record? A. Vikas Bhutada. Q. Would you spell that, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. cour A.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the attorney-client privilege between HTC Global Services and my firm. THE WITNESS: Yes. So did you have some communications with your nsel regarding this deposition prior to today? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Would you please state your name for the record? A. Vikas Bhutada. Q. Would you spell that, please? A. V-I-K-A-S, B-H-U-T-A-D-A.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. Q. cour	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the attorney-client privilege between HTC Global Services and my firm. THE WITNESS: Yes. So did you have some communications with your nsel regarding this deposition prior to today? Yes. Were there any telephone conversations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Would you please state your name for the record? A. Vikas Bhutada. Q. Would you spell that, please? A. V-I-K-A-S, B-H-U-T-A-D-A. Q. Have you ever been deposed before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. Q. cour A. Q. A.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the attorney-client privilege between HTC Global Services and my firm. THE WITNESS: Yes. So did you have some communications with your nsel regarding this deposition prior to today? Yes. Were there any telephone conversations? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Would you please state your name for the record? A. Vikas Bhutada. Q. Would you spell that, please? A. V-I-K-A-S, B-H-U-T-A-D-A. Q. Have you ever been deposed before? A. Have I ever been?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. Q. cour A. Q. A. Q.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the attorney-client privilege between HTC Global Services and my firm. THE WITNESS: Yes. So did you have some communications with your nsel regarding this deposition prior to today? Yes. Were there any telephone conversations? Yes. How long were those conversations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on behalf of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers from King & Spalding. MR. REHN: This is Thane Rehn from the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson on behalf of the plaintiff, National Fire Protection Association, Incorporated appearing by telephone. VIKAS BHUTADA was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Would you please state your name for the record? A. Vikas Bhutada. Q. Would you spell that, please? A. V-I-K-A-S, B-H-U-T-A-D-A. Q. Have you ever been deposed before? A. Have I ever been? Q. Deposed before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Anyone else? No. Have you met prior to today with your counsel regarding this deposition? No. Have you had any telephone calls or correspondence with your counsel regarding this deposition prior to today? MR. COUTILISH: Just to instruct the witness, any communications between you and I are privileged and you're not to disclose communications between us. You can disclose the fact that we talked, right, you can answer that question, but I don't want you to go any further in terms of invading the attorney-client privilege between HTC Global Services and my firm. THE WITNESS: Yes. So did you have some communications with your nsel regarding this deposition prior to today? Yes. Were there any telephone conversations? Yes.

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Decument 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 5 of 51

10	12
1 A. Maybe two.	1 A. Sorry, what is the question?
2 Q. And they were 10 or 15 minutes each or in total?	2 Q. My question after you've had a chance to review it is
3 A. No, total.	3 have you ever seen that document before?
4 Q. Did you speak with anyone else regarding this	4 A. Yes.
5 deposition?	5 Q. Do you understand that you're testifying pursuant to
6 A. Do you mean within HTC or talking about outsiders?	6 this subpoena?
7 Q. Anybody.	7 A. Yes.
8 A. Yeah, just my business development director.	8 Q. Would you turn to the fourth page of this document
9 Q. What's your business development director's name?	9 that's entitled attachment 1?
10 A. H-E-M-A-N-T, Hemant, Talwalkar, T-A-L-W-A-L-K-	10 A. Yes.
A-R.	11 Q. Have you read this portion of the document prior to
11 Q. What did you discuss with Mr. Talwalkar?	12 today?
12 A. It's about because he was dealing with the customer so	13 A. Yeah.
understanding his discussions with the customer.	14 Q. Go back to page 4 of attachment 1. Do you see at the
14 Q. Anything else?	bottom of that page there's a heading that says
15 A. No.	request for production?
16 Q. Besides your counsel and Mr. Talwalkar, you have	17 A. Yes.
not 17 discussed your preparation for this deposition this	18 Q. And then there's one request on that page and then 11
18 morning?	additional requests on the two following pages; do you
19 A. And I also talked to our delivery management in India	20 see that?
who were involved in delivering the project.	21 A. Yes.
21 Q. Who specifically did you speak to about that?	Q. Have you reviewed these requests for production prior
22 A. Natraj, N-A-T-R-A-J.	23 to today?
23 Q. On how many occasions did you speak with Dr.	24 A. Yes.
Natraj?	25 Q. Have you attempted to locate documents that are
11	13
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr.	1 responsive to these requests?
Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar regarding your deposition? A. Three or four.	 responsive to these requests? A. Yes, I did.
 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar regarding your deposition? A. Three or four. Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have 	 responsive to these requests? A. Yes, I did. Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to locate documents responsive to those requests?
Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar regarding your deposition? A. Three or four.	 responsive to these requests? A. Yes, I did. Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have 5 you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition 6 today?	 responsive to these requests? A. Yes, I did. Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to locate documents responsive to those requests? A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No.	 responsive to these requests? A. Yes, I did. Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to locate documents responsive to those requests? A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your	 responsive to these requests? A. Yes, I did. Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to locate documents responsive to those requests? A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India.
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today?	 responsive to these requests? A. Yes, I did. Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to locate documents responsive to those requests? A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No.	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents?
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud?	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes.
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No.	 responsive to these requests? A. Yes, I did. Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to locate documents responsive to those requests? A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? A. Yes. Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud?	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee?
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you ever spoken with any counsel for Mr. Malamud	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development 6 director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get 7 these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee? 14 A. Well, they've tried to locate the documents and they
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you ever spoken with any counsel for Mr. Malamud 14 or Public Resource?	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee? 14 A. Well, they've tried to locate the documents and they have located quite a few documents which were
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you ever spoken with any counsel for Mr. Malamud 14 or Public Resource? 15 A. No.	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee? 14 A. Well, they've tried to locate the documents and they have located quite a few documents which were submitted to our counsel.
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you ever spoken with any counsel for Mr. Malamud 14 or Public Resource? 15 A. No.	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee? 14 A. Well, they've tried to locate the documents and they have located quite a few documents which were submitted to our counsel. 17 Q. Do you know how the search was conducted?
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you ever spoken with any counsel for Mr. Malamud 14 or Public Resource? 15 A. No. 16 Q. To the best of your knowledge has anybody on behalf of 17 HTC spoken with Carl Malamud or his counsel	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee? 14 A. Well, they've tried to locate the documents and they have located quite a few documents which were submitted to our counsel. 17 Q. Do you know how the search was conducted? 18 A. I don't know how the search was conducted, no.
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you ever spoken with any counsel for Mr. Malamud 14 or Public Resource? 15 A. No. 16 Q. To the best of your knowledge has anybody on behalf of 17 HTC spoken with Carl Malamud or his counsel regarding	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee? 14 A. Well, they've tried to locate the documents and they have located quite a few documents which were submitted to our counsel. 17 Q. Do you know how the search was conducted? 18 A. I don't know how the search was conducted, no. 19 Q. Did you give any specific instructions regarding how
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you ever spoken with any counsel for Mr. Malamud 14 or Public Resource? 15 A. No. 16 Q. To the best of your knowledge has anybody on behalf of 17 HTC spoken with Carl Malamud or his counsel regarding 18 this deposition?	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee? 14 A. Well, they've tried to locate the documents and they have located quite a few documents which were submitted to our counsel. 17 Q. Do you know how the search was conducted? 18 A. I don't know how the search was conducted, no.
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you ever spoken with any counsel for Mr. Malamud 14 or Public Resource? 15 A. No. 16 Q. To the best of your knowledge has anybody on behalf of 17 HTC spoken with Carl Malamud or his counsel regarding 18 this deposition? 19 A. Not regarding the deposition, no.	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee? 14 A. Well, they've tried to locate the documents and they have located quite a few documents which were submitted to our counsel. 17 Q. Do you know how the search was conducted? 18 A. I don't know how the search was conducted, no. 19 Q. Did you give any specific instructions regarding how the search to be conducted? 21 A. No.
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you ever spoken with any counsel for Mr. Malamud 14 or Public Resource? 15 A. No. 16 Q. To the best of your knowledge has anybody on behalf of 17 HTC spoken with Carl Malamud or his counsel regarding 18 this deposition? 19 A. Not regarding the deposition, no. 20 (Marked for identification	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee? 14 A. Well, they've tried to locate the documents and they have located quite a few documents which were submitted to our counsel. 17 Q. Do you know how the search was conducted? 18 A. I don't know how the search was conducted, no. 19 Q. Did you give any specific instructions regarding how the search to be conducted? 21 A. No.
1 Q. And how many occasions did you speak to Mr. Talwalkar 2 regarding your deposition? 3 A. Three or four. 4 Q. Besides those two individuals and your counsel have you spoken to anybody else regarding your deposition today? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you speak to anybody else in preparing for your deposition today? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Have you ever spoken with Carl Malamud? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you ever spoken with any counsel for Mr. Malamud 14 or Public Resource? 15 A. No. 16 Q. To the best of your knowledge has anybody on behalf of 17 HTC spoken with Carl Malamud or his counsel regarding 18 this deposition? 19 A. Not regarding the deposition, no.	1 responsive to these requests? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Would you describe to me how you went about trying to 4 locate documents responsive to those requests? 5 A. Went about requesting our business development director, who was liaisoning with the customer to get these documents either from here or from our office in India. 9 Q. So is it fair to say you delegated the collection of documents? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What do you know about the efforts to collect the documents by your delegee? 14 A. Well, they've tried to locate the documents and they have located quite a few documents which were submitted to our counsel. 17 Q. Do you know how the search was conducted? 18 A. I don't know how the search was conducted, no. 19 Q. Did you give any specific instructions regarding how the search to be conducted? 21 A. No. 22 Q. So did you just ask your delegee to try to find any

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Decument 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 6 of 51

	14	16
1	ye with those about finding the decree	1 hookersound?
	up with them about finding the documents.	1 background?
2	Q. Did you receive any questions from the person or persons you asked to find these documents?	2 A. I've done my master's in technology.3 Q. Where?
3		`
4	A. Yes, they asked me the questions and some of the	4 A. From India.
5	documents they did not think made sense or we did not	
6	have them.	6 A. IIT, Indian Institutes of Technology.
7	Q. Do you recall which requests somebody told you they	7 Q. When did you receive that degree?
8	didn't believe made sense?	8 A. 1982.
9	A. No, I do not recall that.	9 Q. Do you have any other post equivalent of high school
10	Q. To the best of your knowledge are all the documents	10 educational background?
	in	11 A. I didn't get the question. Post
11	the possession, custody or control of HTC Global that	12 Q. First of all, did you attend the equivalent of high
12	are responsive to requests 1 through 12 been produced	school in the United States?
13	in this case?	14 A. No.
14	A. Yes.	15 Q. Did you attend the equivalent of high school in India?
15	MR. COUTILISH: Could you be just for	16 A. Yes.
16	the record, the record should reflect that we have	17 Q. After attending the equivalent of high school in India
17	sent to you, to your office, on at least two different	you mentioned you had a master's degree. Is there any
18	occasions documents that are responsive to this	other post the equivalent of high school education you
19	request pursuant to our investigation and those	20 have?
20	documents were sent electronically.	21 A. Oh, you mean the high school, then the bachelor's in
21	Q. I want to turn back to Exhibit 1 on page 4 of	engineering and then the master's in engineering,
22	attachment 1.	23 master's in technology.
23	A. Yes.	24 Q. And where did you get the master's in engineering
24	Q. Do you see at the top of that page there's topics on	25 from?
25	which examination is required?	
	15	17
1 2	A. Yes.	1 A. In India.
2	A. Yes.Q. Have you reviewed those topics?	1 A. In India.2 Q. At the same university?
2 3	A. Yes.Q. Have you reviewed those topics?A. Yes.	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University.
2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. Have you reviewed those topics?A. Yes.Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you
2 3 4 5	A. Yes.Q. Have you reviewed those topics?A. Yes.Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics?	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the documents. 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s. Q. Could you describe your work history after you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the documents. Q. To the best of your knowledge were all the documents 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s. Q. Could you describe your work history after you received your master's degree?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the documents. Q. To the best of your knowledge were all the documents that you reviewed in preparing to testify produced to 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s. Q. Could you describe your work history after you received your master's degree? A. I worked with an engineering company called Tartar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the documents. Q. To the best of your knowledge were all the documents that you reviewed in preparing to testify produced to ASTM's counsel? 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s. Q. Could you describe your work history after you received your master's degree? A. I worked with an engineering company called Tartar Engineering & Automotive Manufacturing in India,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the documents. Q. To the best of your knowledge were all the documents that you reviewed in preparing to testify produced to ASTM's counsel? A. Yes. 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s. Q. Could you describe your work history after you received your master's degree? A. I worked with an engineering company called Tartar Engineering & Automotive Manufacturing in India, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the documents. Q. To the best of your knowledge were all the documents that you reviewed in preparing to testify produced to ASTM's counsel? A. Yes. MR. STOLTZ: For the record Public Resource 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s. Q. Could you describe your work history after you received your master's degree? A. I worked with an engineering company called Tartar Engineering & Automotive Manufacturing in India, then I worked in Bahrain for 11 years with a company
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the documents. Q. To the best of your knowledge were all the documents that you reviewed in preparing to testify produced to ASTM's counsel? A. Yes. MR. STOLTZ: For the record Public Resource has not received a copy of those documents yet and 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s. Q. Could you describe your work history after you received your master's degree? A. I worked with an engineering company called Tartar Engineering & Automotive Manufacturing in India, then I worked in Bahrain for 11 years with a company called
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the documents. Q. To the best of your knowledge were all the documents that you reviewed in preparing to testify produced to ASTM's counsel? A. Yes. MR. STOLTZ: For the record Public Resource has not received a copy of those documents yet and we'd request that those be produced. 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s. Q. Could you describe your work history after you received your master's degree? A. I worked with an engineering company called Tartar Engineering & Automotive Manufacturing in India, then I worked in Bahrain for 11 years with a company called Datamas in information technology area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the documents. Q. To the best of your knowledge were all the documents that you reviewed in preparing to testify produced to ASTM's counsel? A. Yes. MR. STOLTZ: For the record Public Resource has not received a copy of those documents yet and we'd request that those be produced. MR. FEE: We will produce those to you. 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s. Q. Could you describe your work history after you received your master's degree? A. I worked with an engineering company called Tartar Engineering & Automotive Manufacturing in India, then I worked in Bahrain for 11 years with a company called Datamas in information technology area. Q. What was the name of that company again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed those topics? A. Yes. Q. Are you prepared to testify on behalf of HTC Global regarding all those topics? A. Yes. Q. Do you feel adequately prepared to address all those topics? A. Yes. Q. What have you done to prepare yourself to address those topics today? A. I have reviewed the communication that our team has found, reviewed the statement of works or the purchase orders that were issued by the customer and reviewed the communication related to delivery of the documents. Q. To the best of your knowledge were all the documents that you reviewed in preparing to testify produced to ASTM's counsel? A. Yes. MR. STOLTZ: For the record Public Resource has not received a copy of those documents yet and we'd request that those be produced. 	 A. In India. Q. At the same university? A. No, it's called Nagpur, N-A-G-P-U-R, University. Q. Besides the degrees you've just mentioned do you have any other technology certifications? A. Not currently, no. Q. Did you at one point in time have a technology certification? A. Yes. Q. What was that? A. It was CISA, Certified Information Systems Auditor. Q. When did you have that certification? A. Can't recall, several years ago, maybe '9 in the '90s. Q. Could you describe your work history after you received your master's degree? A. I worked with an engineering company called Tartar Engineering & Automotive Manufacturing in India, then I worked in Bahrain for 11 years with a company called Datamas in information technology area.

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Decument 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 7 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014

_		Dilutaua, Vik	a5	11-05-2014
		18		20
1	A.	I managed operations of the company as a general	1	director of business development had received a
2		manager of the company.	2	request for a proposal from Public Resource and that's
3	Q.	What was the business of Datamas?	3	how he he briefed me on the company.
4	-	IT, IT solutions and products.	4	Q. Would your business development director
5		During your time at Datamas were you doing		customarily
		thing	5	approach you regarding any RFP that he received?
6	-	like content digitization?	6	A. Sorry, can you repeat the question?
7	Α.	No.	7	Q. Sure. Would your business development director
8		Then at what the point in time did you come to HTC?	8	customarily approach you regarding any RFP that you
9		In 1995.	9	received?
10		In what role did you join HTC in 1995?	10	A. Yes.
11		As a director of business development.	11	Q. Do you recall what your business development
1		-	11	director
12		How long did you hold that position for?	12	told you about the RFP from Public Resource at the
13		It's about five four years, three to four years.	13	time?
14		What position did you hold next?	1	
15	A.	Then the next one was vice-president of projects and	14	A. I don't recall that, but I know that it was partially
16		alliances.	15	related to content digitization or conversion.
17		How long did you have that position for?	16	Q. Who was the business development director at that
18	A.	For about ten years eight, nine years maybe.	17	time?
19	Q.	What position did you have next at HTC?	18	A. The name I gave you, the same person, Hemant,
20	A.	Executive vice-president.	19	H-E-M-A-N-T.
21	Q.	Is that the entire title?	20	Q. Had HTC been in the content digitization or
22	A.	Yeah.		conversion
23	Q.	What are your responsibilities as executive what	21	business prior to that time?
24		were your responsibilities as EVP?	22	A. Yes.
25	A.	EVP I managed some of our strategy customer	23	Q. About how long?
		19		21
1		relationships as well as manage a business unit.	1	what responsibility have you had over the content
2	0	What business unit?	2	digitization portion of HTC's business?
3	-	Yeah, manage a business unit within HTC.	3	A. I have had customers I've been managing the
4		What business unit?	4	customers and the customer relationships where we've
1	-		ı	-
5	Α.	It's focused on customers in publishing, higher	5	been doing content digitization work and obviously
6		education, and some of the other strategy customers of	6	communicating with development organization in terms
7	0	HTC.	7	of if there are any escalations, issues, challenges,
8		Are you still the executive vice-president at HTC?	8	those kind of things.
9		Yes.	9	Q. So is the entire content digitization business under
10	Q.	In the course of your work at HTC did you ever have	10	
11		occasion to deal with Public Resource?		your chain of command?
12	A.	Do you mean meeting with Public Resource, dealing	11	A. No, it is kind of horizontal in the company so that is
1,0			12	managed by the by our team in India.
13		dealing, yes, because the business development	13	Q. Were you involved in the decision by HTC Global to
14		director worked for me. He managed the	1 1	get
15		Public Resource account so I had a responsibility of	14	into the content digitization or conversion business?
16		him.	15	A. Yes, to an extent.
17		Do you recall the first time when you became aware	16	Q. What was your role in that?
1, -	of	4	17	A. I had the clients who had requested for that service
18		the existence of Public Resource?	18	to be provided.
19		2010 when we started doing business with them.	19	Q. Did you have any other role?
20		Did you become aware of Public Resource after they	20	A. No.
	had		21	Q. Do you own any portion of HTC's shares?
21		already become a customer?	22	A. No.
22	A.	Yes.	23	Q. Who is the owner of HTC Global?
23		Do you recall how you first became aware of Public	24	A Madhaya Daddy

24 A. Madhava Reddy.

Q. Do you recall how you first became aware of Public

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Decument 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 8 of 51

Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014

22 24 A. M-A-D-H-A-V-A, R-E-D-D-Y. Q. Anything else that you're aware of? 2 Q. To the best of your knowledge he's the only owner? A. No. 3 3 Q. What is content conversion? 4 Q. Besides content digitization or conversion, what other A. Basically converting a document or -- yeah, 5 businesses is HTC Global engaged in? any content or any document from one format to A. HTC has several other businesses in terms of 6 another publication development and management. So format and making it available in an electronic -- in software an electronic way. 8 application support, software product development, Q. Do you know what format Public Resource would 9 business intelligence services, mobility services and provide 10 legacy modernization services. to HTC Global for the work that HTC Global did for 11 Q. Do you have an estimate as to what portion of HTC's 10 Public Resource? 12 business is related to content digitization or A. Yeah, usually PDF -- digital PDF format or image 11 13 conversion? 12 14 A. It's maybe less than 5 percent. 13 Q. By image format is that the TIF image or something Q. How many employees does HTC Global has? 15 14 Close to 6,000. 15 A. Yeah, something other, TIF or JPEG, some image Q. Do you know how many of them are in the United 17 format. States? Q. What is your understanding of the instructions that 16 18 A. About 850. 17 Public Resource provided if any to HTC Global 19 Q. Are the rest in India? 18 regarding the work that HTC Global did for it? 20 A. Mostly in India, but a few other countries. 19 A. Public Resource wanted us to -- to convert it --Q. Are there any individuals at HTC Global involved in 21 20 convert the content in a format whereby it is 22 content digitization or conversion that are located in 21 available as a text or images are tagged and make it 23 countries other than the United States or India? 22 available in an output format like an HTML. 24 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 23 25 1 with its content digitization or conversion? A. Yes, mostly that was the -- that was the direction. 2 A. Rarely we do. Q. And was the intent to make all the text from the TIF 3 Q. Do you know if HTC Global used any independent 3 or PDF file be copied word for word into the HTML 4 contractors for content digitization or conversion for 4 code? 5 Public Resource? 5 A. Yes, the text conversion, correct. A. No. Q. Is there anything more to text conversion as you use 6 7 that term than copying the text in one format and Q. You don't know? 8 A. I don't know, no. 8 making it in another format? 9 Q. Did you tell me before you've never spoken to 10 Mr. Malamud? 10 Q. And what would you do for Public Resource with respect 11 A. No, I haven't spoken to him. 11 Q. Have you ever exchanged emails with him? 12 12 A. We would just tag them; meaning if there's an image 13 A. Not that I can recall, no. on Q. So you're not aware of any communications between 14 13 a PDF, we would extract that image from the PDF and you 14 provide a tag so that it is identifiable as an image 15 and Mr. Malamud ever? 15 that particular piece. A. That's correct. 16 Q. So HTC Global would not do any conversion for 17 Q. Do you know who the primary contacts are for images? 18 Mr. Malamud at HTC Global? 17 A. One was Hemant, the business development director 19 18 Q. It would just take basically a copy of the image in 20 here; and the other one was Natraj from India. 19 the TIF file, for example, and drop it into the HTML Q. Do you know what services HTC has provided to 21 20 code? Public 21 A. That's correct. Resource? 22 22 Q. With a tag to identify this as an image? 23 A. Yes.

24

Q. What services has it provided?

23

A. We would actually just tag it so then it can be -- and

26 28 1 bring it into HTML format. MR. COUTILISH: Just to clarify the 2 Q. Do you have any understanding as to what Public 2 question. You're talking about all of the customers Resource did with those images after you returned the 3 of HTC at all times? HTML code with the copied text plus the tagged 4 MR. FEE: Yeah, this is a general question. image? 5 MR. COUTILISH: Yeah, at any time, all the 5 A. No. 6 customers, you're asking this gentlemen whether he Q. Did you inquire with any of the folks at HTC Global 6 7 knows whether there's an indemnification provision? to 8 MR. FEE: Yeah. 7 determine what knowledge they had on that subject? Q. Are you aware of any of them? How about that? 8 A. Yeah, recently I reviewed the articles on that, which Why 9 basically they were making it available on their 10 don't we start there. 10 website for individuals or companies to search in the 11 A. In general in the contract, yes. 11 public domain. 12 Q. Do you know whether there's any indemnification 12 Q. Do you know if the image portion of the files that agreement between Public Resource and HTC Global? 13 13 were transmitted from HTC Global to Public Resource 14 A. There wasn't any. 14 were altered in any way prior to being posted on the 15 Q. Do you have an understanding as to why the Public 15 internet? 16 Resource relationship is different than the general 16 A. No, we have not altered. 17 practice at HTC regarding indemnification? 17 Q. They were not altered? A. No, we were given the purchase orders to convert or 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Are you familiar with a company called Point B 19 carry out the work and we worked on the purchase 20 20 Studios? Q. That differs from your ordinary practice? 2.1 21 A. No. 22 Q. Are you aware of any other entity that helped 22 A. Usually most customers sign the master services 23 23 Public.Resource.Org in connection with any digital 24 conversion or content conversion? 24 Q. And the master services agreement is where the 27 29 Q. Were there any communications between HTC Global A. That's correct. 1 and 2 Q. Are you aware of any discussions with Public 2 Public Resource regarding whether or not it was legal Resource 3 to make these electronic copies of files? 3 regarding a master services agreement? 4 A. I know we had initially talked about it with them, but 4 A. No, we had no communication on that. 5 Q. Has there ever been a discussion that you're aware of 5 then they said rather than that we'd rather do the work based on the purchase order. 6 within HTC Global regarding when or under what 6 7 circumstances it's legal for HTC Global to make 7 Q. Are you aware of any explanation that was offered by electronic copies or conversions of copyrighted works? Public Resource as to why they --9 A. Yeah, we are aware of that, but in general it is the A. Primarily --10 clients who secure the material who deal with the --10 Q. -- preferred the master services agreement -deal with the copyright aspects. HTC does not deal 11 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. 11 12 with the copyright aspects because we don't hold or we 12 MR. FEE: Yeah, there we go. 13 don't retain or we don't do anything with that 13 Q. Let me finish. I'm sorry. A. Sure. 14 content. It's given back to the client. 14 15 Q. Is there any system in place at HTC Global to ensure 15 Q. I had a little pause in there, which I'm sure was 16 that its customers or clients have appropriate 16 confusing. 17 permissions to make copies of any files before HTC 17 Are you aware of any discussion with Public 18 Global engages in the copying process? 18 Resource regarding the reasons why it preferred to 19 19 A. No, that onerous is on the customer. engage HTC Global via a purchase order as opposed to Q. Does HTC Global has indemnification agreements 20 20 the master services agreement? with 21 A. There's the simplicity of doing the work because it 21 its customers in the event that its customers 22 was a small piece of work. 22 improperly ask HTC Global to copy something that's 23 Q. Are you aware of any discussions between HTC 23 protected by copyright law? 24 MR. COUTILISH: You're asking --24 and anybody at Public Resource regarding whether or

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 in Filed 11/13/19 Page 10 of 51

Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014

30 32 copies that it was requesting to be made? Q. Do you have knowledge regarding whether or not 2 A. No. the persons in that town are native English speakers? 3 Q. Have there been any discussions between HTC Global 3 A. What do you mean by native English speakers? They and Public Resource regarding an indemnification agreement 4 speak English as a second -- most of them speak 5 between the parties since you became aware of this 5 English as a second language. lawsuit? 6 Q. It's a second language? 6 7 MR. COUTILISH: Objection, I believe that's A. Yes. 8 asked and answered. Go ahead, answer if you can. 8 Q. What is the primary language in that town? 9 A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? A. Tamil, T-A-M-I-L. Q. Sure. Since you've become aware of this lawsuit have Q. What mechanism is in place at HTC to determine 10 10 whether 11 there been any communications with Public Resource 11 or not the persons involved in content digitization 12 regarding indemnification? 12 have I think you used the term reasonable English 13 A. Not that I'm aware, no. 13 capabilities? 14 Q. Is Public Resource paying for HTC's legal 14 A. Oh, I'm sure there are some entrance tests they go representation in connection with the subpoena? 15 15 through, but I'm not aware of exact tests what they 16 16 17 Q. Besides the individuals that you've already identified 17 Q. Are you aware of any non-HTC employees who were 18 during your deposition this morning, can you identify 18 involved in the content digitization for Public 19 any other specific persons that were involved in HTC 19 Resource? 20 Global's relationship or work for Public Resource? 20 A. No. 21 A. No, I'm not aware of any other. I'm sure there would 21 Q. Would HTC be the entity that actually posted the 22 be people who worked in India, but I haven't worked 22 23 content that was digitized on the internet so it would with them. 23 be publicly available? 24 Q. Would all of the content digitization that was done by 31 33 A. Yes. completed their content digitization? 1 1 A. The files were FTP'd back to PRO on the location we 2 Q. Are you knowledgeable regarding the hiring policies 2 3 and procedures for the persons who do that type of 3 had they provided us. work? 4 Q. Just so the record's clear, PRO is 4 5 A. Broadly, yes. In general HTC policies, yes. 5 Public.Resource.Org? Q. What can you tell me regarding the hiring policies or 6 6 A. Yes. 7 requirements for persons who do content digitization 7 Q. Do you know if there were any written instructions that were provided by Public Resource to HTC 8 in India? 8 regarding 9 A. We have a hiring process in place which whereby we 9 how to make the copies from the PDFs or TIFs into 10 screen the employees, review their resumes, personal HTML 11 interviews, they go through the training and the 10 12 reference checks, we bring them on board. There were on and off communication to clarify how 11 13 Q. Is there any requirement that they have a particular 14 level of educational background? 12 should be done, but that being a very simple process 15 A. Yes, they have to at least have a high school degree 13 HTC is in general aware of how that happens so we 16 and reasonable English communication. had Q. So they don't need to be native English speakers? 17 14 provided -- in our statement of work we provided a 18 A. No. 15 process, all the steps that would be carried out by 19 Q. Do you have any idea what portion of the persons 16 who 17 Q. Do you know what quality assurance measures were 20 do content digitization for HTC are native English taken 21 speakers? 18 if any by HTC to ensure that the HTML files were 22 A. I don't know. 19 accurate copies of the text and the image files that 23 Q. Where in India are HTC's content digitization 20 were provided to HTC by Public Resource? 24 capacities located? 21 A. Yeah, typically there is a quality assurance team that

validates that the text is converted properly, the

25

Chennai; Chennai, India. C-H-E-N-N-A-I.

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 11 of 51

34	36
1 does that.	1 PRO4964 through 65.
2 Q. Is that group also located in the same town in India?	2 Let me know when you've had a chance to
3 A. Yes.	3 review that.
4 Q. Do you know if the requirements for the quality	4 A. Yeah.
5 assurance personnel are any different from the persons	5 Q. First of all, can you identify this as an email from
6 who do the initial digital content conversion?	6 Mr. Talwalkar at HTC to Mr. Malamud?
7 A. I don't know that, no.	7 A. Yes.
8 Q. Do you know if the quality assurance persons are9 native English speakers?	8 Q. Towards the top of the first page you see there's a 9 description of the accuracy of double key compare and
10 A. They are all typically in India you would see most	10 triple key compare?
11 Indians would have English as a second language not	11 A. Yes.
as	12 Q. Can you tell me first of all what the number means in
12 a first language.	the accuracy column for both of those?
13 Q. Did HTC have a discussion with Public Resource at any	14 A. That means that the conversion rate so if you have,
point in time about whether or not it would double key	let's say, 1,000 characters being entered, that means
or triple key these standards?	with triple key you could achieve an accuracy whereby
16 A. Yes.	only 3 characters could be wrong and with double key you would achieve an accuracy whereby up to 49
17 Q. What do you know about that communication or	19 characters could be wrong. Meaning it's a tolerance
18 communications?	for quality. Doesn't necessarily mean they are wrong,
19 A. We had submitted a proposal initially for triple key	but it's a tolerance that you would have.
data entry. Obviously the I mean, it's more	22 Q. Do you know how HTC arrived at those accuracy
21 accurate, but there is a higher cost so we went with	figures?
the double key entry approach.	23 A. We have an operational metrics whereby when the
23 Q. Can you describe what double key is?	24 quality control people catch issues or sometimes
24 A. In the case of double key there are two operators who	25 customers provide feedback, we are able to track that.
35	37
1 extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their	1 Q. So this accuracy number is the accuracy of the final
1 extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their 2 entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If	2 work that's delivered to the customer?
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and	2 work that's delivered to the customer?3 A. Yes.
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look	 2 work that's delivered to the customer? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text	2 work that's delivered to the customer? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would 5 expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly.	 work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters?
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key?	 work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes.
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process?
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that.	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right,
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple key compare and Public Resource refused to do that	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right, yeah. Q. Okay. But you would expect 49 mistakes out of
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple key compare and Public Resource refused to do that and	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right, yeah. Q. Okay. But you would expect 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters even after you've done the double keying, compared them both and gone through HTC's QA
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple key compare and Public Resource refused to do that and opted for double key compare? A. That's correct. HTC did not I don't know whether HTC proposed or PRO asked for it, but eventually it	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right, yeah. Q. Okay. But you would expect 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters even after you've done the double keying, compared them both and gone through HTC's QA process?
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple key compare and Public Resource refused to do that and opted for double key compare? A. That's correct. HTC did not I don't know whether HTC proposed or PRO asked for it, but eventually it was done with double key. We had submitted a	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right, yeah. Q. Okay. But you would expect 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters even after you've done the double keying, compared them both and gone through HTC's QA process? A. It's not the expectation, but that is the maximum
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple key compare and Public Resource refused to do that and opted for double key compare? A. That's correct. HTC did not I don't know whether HTC proposed or PRO asked for it, but eventually it was done with double key. We had submitted a proposal	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right, yeah. Q. Okay. But you would expect 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters even after you've done the double keying, compared them both and gone through HTC's QA process? A. It's not the expectation, but that is the maximum tolerance. You could achieve 100 percent accuracy as
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple key compare and Public Resource refused to do that and opted for double key compare? A. That's correct. HTC did not I don't know whether HTC proposed or PRO asked for it, but eventually it was done with double key. We had submitted a proposal is what I know.	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters or out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right, yeah. Q. Okay. But you would expect 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters even after you've done the double keying, compared them both and gone through HTC's QA process? A. It's not the expectation, but that is the maximum tolerance. You could achieve 100 percent accuracy as well, but there could be a tolerance of 49 49
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple key compare and Public Resource refused to do that and opted for double key compare? A. That's correct. HTC did not I don't know whether HTC proposed or PRO asked for it, but eventually it was done with double key. We had submitted a proposal is what I know. Q. The initial proposal was for triple key?	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right, yeah. Q. Okay. But you would expect 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters even after you've done the double keying, compared them both and gone through HTC's QA process? A. It's not the expectation, but that is the maximum tolerance. You could achieve 100 percent accuracy as well, but there could be a tolerance of 49 49 characters for every thousand.
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple key compare and Public Resource refused to do that and opted for double key compare? A. That's correct. HTC did not I don't know whether HTC proposed or PRO asked for it, but eventually it was done with double key. We had submitted a proposal is what I know. Q. The initial proposal was for triple key? A. Correct.	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right, yeah. Q. Okay. But you would expect 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters even after you've done the double keying, compared them both and gone through HTC's QA process? A. It's not the expectation, but that is the maximum tolerance. You could achieve 100 percent accuracy as well, but there could be a tolerance of 49 49 characters for every thousand. Q. Is the 99.51 percent the mean for the work that's been
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple key compare and Public Resource refused to do that and opted for double key compare? A. That's correct. HTC did not I don't know whether HTC proposed or PRO asked for it, but eventually it was done with double key. We had submitted a proposal is what I know. Q. The initial proposal was for triple key? A. Correct. (Marked for identification	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right, yeah. Q. Okay. But you would expect 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters even after you've done the double keying, compared them both and gone through HTC's QA process? A. It's not the expectation, but that is the maximum tolerance. You could achieve 100 percent accuracy as well, but there could be a tolerance of 49 49 characters for every thousand. Q. Is the 99.51 percent the mean for the work that's been examined by HTC?
extracted by the OCR and validate as long as their entries tally. That means the text is accurate. If the entries don't tally, then there are exceptions and that's where the quality assurance person could look into it, validate and update the update the text correctly. Q. How does that differ from triple key? A. In the case of triple key you have three operators who do the data entry or the correction, and again, they would tally and look at that. There is a higher rate, higher accuracy rate because of that. Q. And it's your understanding that HTC proposed triple key compare and Public Resource refused to do that and opted for double key compare? A. That's correct. HTC did not I don't know whether HTC proposed or PRO asked for it, but eventually it was done with double key. We had submitted a proposal is what I know. Q. The initial proposal was for triple key? A. Correct.	work that's delivered to the customer? A. Yes. Q. So if you were to do double key compare, HTC would expect there to be 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000 characters? A. Forty-nine out of 1,000, yeah, yes. Q. Okay. And that's even after you've compared the double entry from both sides, gone through the QA process? A. I'm sorry, not 49 49 out of 10,000, you're right, yeah. Q. Okay. But you would expect 49 mistakes out of 10,000 characters even after you've done the double keying, compared them both and gone through HTC's QA process? A. It's not the expectation, but that is the maximum tolerance. You could achieve 100 percent accuracy as well, but there could be a tolerance of 49 49 characters for every thousand. Q. Is the 99.51 percent the mean for the work that's been examined by HTC?

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 n Filed 11/13/19 Page 12 of 51

Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014

38 40 A. Possible, but that's unlikely. Compare Data Entry Process. 2 Q. Do you know if the distribution of mistakes in HTC's 2 Can you identify that document? 3 process is evenly distributed? 3 A. Yes. 4 A. Usually we have very low error rate, so it's very 4 Q. What is it? 5 unusual that you'd have an error rate like that. We A. It's a Double Key and Compare Data Entry Process 6 wouldn't be in business otherwise. 6 HTC. 7 Q. Okay, but you've just told me that based on the review 7 Q. I think earlier you were talking about a document that 8 of HTC's systems, that they do wind up with a 99.51 8 you were aware of that explained HTC Global's double average accuracy rate; correct? 9 key process. Is this what you were referencing? 10 A. There is the -- as I said, there is a tolerance. It 10 11 doesn't mean that's always the reality. Reality could Q. Was Exhibit 3 provided to Public Resource? 11 12 be it's 100 percent. This is only a tolerance 12 A. I don't recall. 13 available to you that if you made that much mistake, 13 Would Exhibit 3 typically be provided to customers 14 15 Q. Okay. So then if HTC were to propose 99.51 percent 14 were having double key conversion done? 16 accuracy with this double key compare and Mr. 15 A. It's not part of customer documentation, it's part of Malamud 16 more of internal process that is followed, but some of 17 accepted that, should he expect anything more than or 17 these items, if they're related to the customer, 18 less than a 99.51 percent accuracy rate? 18 typically those are provided in the proposal. 19 A. He could expect more. 19 Q. So what is the purpose of Exhibit 3? 20 Q. Why should he expect more than what you've 20 identified A. It's more of an internal process and if customer wants 21 to know how we're doing it, then we'd share with the 21 here? 22 A. Because usually, as I said, this is the lowest it can 22 customer 23 23 be, this is the lowest it can go to. So 49 percent is Q. Who would use Exhibit 3 within HTC Global? A. It's internal team for training, for example, the 24 your top or .49 percent is your tolerance. 24 39 41 1 double keying at HTC? they would have an orientation to this. 2 A. No, I don't know that. Q. The operators, are they trained in English? 3 Q. You know it's not 100 percent; right? 3 A. It's not 100 percent. Q. So all the training documents are also in English? 5 Q. And you do know that the triple key compare is more 5 6 accurate at HTC than double key? Q. Does Exhibit 3 accurately describe the process that was used for HTC's work for Public Resource? 7 A. Yes. 7 Q. And Mr. Malamud selected double key compare? 8 9 9 Q. Is there anything that is described in Exhibit 3 that 10 10 was not done in connection with the Public Resource Q. Do you know why Mr. Malamud selected double key work? 11 compare? 11 12 A. I don't know about his reason, but typically clients 12 A. Not that I know of, no. Q. Are you aware of anything extra that was done to 13 do that because it's a lot more expensive to do triple 13 14 key compare. 14 ensure the accuracy of the work done for Public 15 Q. Are you aware of any communications between HTC 15 Resource that's not described in Exhibit 3? and 16 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 16 Mr. Malamud regarding why he selected double key 17 Q. Now, when you were describing the double key 17 compare? process 18 A. I don't recall any communication. 18 earlier, I think you said that there would be two 19 Q. I should have said this at the start, but if at any 19 operators and they would either rekey something or 20 time you want to take a break, just let me know. 20 start with an OCR of an image file; is that right? 21 A. That's fine, sure. 21 A. Uh-huh. 22 22 (Marked for identification Q. You have to answer yes or no for the court reporter. 23 23 Deposition Exhibit No. 3.) 24 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 24 Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not the Public 3.

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 in Filed 11/13/19 Page 13 of 51

Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014

42 44 1 OCR process? specifically with respect to the three plaintiffs' 2 A. The decision on that really depends on the quality of 2 standards at issue in this case? 3 the image. So if you have a good image quality and if A. The sorry? 4 OCR does a good job of converting and there are very Q. Plaintiffs, ASTM, NFPA or ASHRAE, do you know anything 5 few errors, then operators would validate and the QC 5 more what was done with respect to their standards 6 would validate. If the image quality is bad, then 6 than you do about the general work done for Public they would do the double key entry as well. 7 Resource? Q. How do you determine at HTC whether or not the OCR has 8 A. No. done a good job at character recognition? 9 O. Did you make any efforts to try to determine whether 10 A. It's the quality of the image usually, which typically 10 or not, for example, the ASTM standards were first with the experience of the operators they know -- the OCR'd or if they were first independently keyed by two 11 11 12 scan operators would know that the image is bad and it 12 operators? 13 would have to be rekeyed and that's what happens. 13 (Discussion held off the record.) O. In the case of Public Resource did HTC ever receive 14 MR. FEE: There was a question. Would you 14 15 paper documents? 15 read that back? 16 A. No. 16 (Record read back as requested.) 17 17 A. No, I haven't made any specific efforts to find out Q. So HTC was never scanning anything; correct? 18 18 which documents were keyed or which documents 19 Q. So for Public Resource, did HTC first run an OCR 19 OCR'd. 20 software on every image that it received? 20 Q. Do you know anything more specific than what 21 A. I don't know that part whether we ran it on every 22 major part of the image, I don't know. 21 told me already about the quality assurance efforts 23 Q. Would the first step in the work that was done for 22 with respect to the standards that were copied on 24 Public Resource ordinarily be running OCR software 23 behalf of Public Resource that were written by ASTM, on 43 45 A. Sorry, say that again. Q. Do you know how many standards HTC has copied 1 2 Q. Would the first step in the work for Public Resource 2 behalf of Public Resource that were owned by ASTM? 3 ordinarily be that you would run OCR software on the 3 A. No. 4 image by two different operators and then compare Q. Do you know how many were copied that were owned 5 them, the output? by A. I think it depends on the project. Typically if 6 5 NFPA? 7 you're really looking for -- it depends on the type of A. No. 8 the document. If you're looking for a data entry to Q. Do you know how many were copied that were owned 7 9 be done based on the type of the image, obviously they by 10 would do the data entry as a first step, compare the 8 ASHRAE? 11 two. In some cases where there is, for example, if 9 MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form on the term 12 there are multiple images, then obviously you're not 10 owned. 13 going to do the data entry, you're going to look at 11 Q. You can answer. 14 only part of the data which is there like the text, 12 A. No, I don't know. 15 the headings, titles, all that and you do the data 13 Q. Are you aware of any instructions from Public 16 entry. If there is a lot of text and it is a clean Resource image, which is scanned, they would typically do the 17 regarding the work that HTC did that were made orally 14 18 OCR, compare and then do -- the two operators would 15 and that aren't reflected in any of the documents that do 16 were produced in this case? 19 the data entry. 17 A. No. 20 Q. Did Mr. Malamud direct that all the work done for 18 Q. What knowledge if any does HTC Global have 21 Public Resource had to actually be keyed by two 22 separate operators as opposed to using the OCR 19 how Mr. Malamud obtained the images that were 23 process? 20 eventually sent for conversion? 24 A. I don't know that. I don't recollect. 21 A. We have no knowledge. 25 Q. Do you have any knowledge regarding what was done

How did HTC Global receive the images from Publi

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 14 of 51

46	48
1 Q. That was a Public Resource site?	1 A. Yes.
2 A. Yes.	2 Q. Is this a document you were just referencing?
3 Q. So you're aware of at least some instances where an	3 A. Yes.
4 image was posted on some internet site by	4 Q. What is Exhibit 4?
5 Public Resource and then you were instructed to grab	5 A. It's a Summary of Projects with Media.Org/
6 it and then convert it?	6 Public.Resource.Org.
7 A. Not not any other website. Either they were FTP'd to a server, to HTC server, or we were asked to pick	7 Q. Did you have this document created for this matter? 8 A. Yes.
8 to a server, to HTC server, or we were asked to pick 9 it up from the Public Resource's website.	8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Is the source of this information some sort of
10 Q. Is that the generally available Public Resource	database that's ordinarily kept by HTC Global?
website or was it some password protected site?	11 A. It's typically from the invoicing, invoicing and the
12 A. I don't know that.	12 purchase orders.
13 Q. Do you know which materials were pulled off of	13 Q. So all the information contained in this document is
Public	information that's customarily retained by HTC Global
14 Resource's website directly?	in the course of its ordinary business?
15 A. No, I don't know.	16 A. Yes.
16 Q. Were there ever instances in which Public Resource	17 Q. Now, does this indicate how many characters were
instructed HTC to copy ASTM standards just in part as	18 converted?
opposed to the entire standard? I'm not aware of any such thing, no.	19 A. Yeah, the total volume column is where I think that is
20 Q. So as far as you're aware, every time that Public	20 is what I believe the number of characters 21 converted or kilocharacters converted.
21 Resource asked HTC to copy one of ASTM's	22 Q. Now, maybe you can just walk me through each of the
standards, it	headings in this chart. The first one is SR, what
copied the entire standard?	24 does that refer to?
23 A. I don't know that. Whether it was partial or	25 A. It's more a serial number for the project.
24 complete, I don't know.	
47	
47	49
1 respect to any logos that were on standards that were	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that?
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case?	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream.
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done.	 Q. Does WS mean something in that? A. It is work stream. Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right?
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep.
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics?	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep.
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that	 Q. Does WS mean something in that? A. It is work stream. Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is self-explanatory; right? A. Yep. Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs'	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal.
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column?
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today?	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in.
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 12 M. And there appears to be three different types of
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing any changes to the graphics.	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 13 Q. And there appears to be three different types of sources. A raster PDF, a vector PDF and if you look
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing any changes to the graphics. Q. Do you have any idea the number of characters that	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 13 Q. And there appears to be three different types of sources. A raster PDF, a vector PDF and if you look on the back page, an image PDF. Can you tell me what
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing any changes to the graphics.	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 12 material would come in. 13 Q. And there appears to be three different types of sources. A raster PDF, a vector PDF and if you look on the back page, an image PDF. Can you tell me what the differences are between those three?
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing any changes to the graphics. Q. Do you have any idea the number of characters that HTC	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 12 Q. And there appears to be three different types of sources. A raster PDF, a vector PDF and if you look on the back page, an image PDF. Can you tell me what the differences are between those three? 17 A. Yeah, it's pretty technical, but raster PDF is a
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing any changes to the graphics. Q. Do you have any idea the number of characters that HTC has converted for Public Resource?	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 12 Q. And there appears to be three different types of sources. A raster PDF, a vector PDF and if you look on the back page, an image PDF. Can you tell me what the differences are between those three? 17 A. Yeah, it's pretty technical, but raster PDF is a
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing any changes to the graphics. Q. Do you have any idea the number of characters that HTC has converted for Public Resource? A. I don't recall, but I think it's there in the document that was submitted. MR. FEE: I'm not sure this is what you're	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 12 material would come in. 13 Q. And there appears to be three different types of sources. A raster PDF, a vector PDF and if you look on the back page, an image PDF. Can you tell me what the differences are between those three? 17 A. Yeah, it's pretty technical, but raster PDF is a simpler version of the PDF, meaning this is usually it
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing any changes to the graphics. Q. Do you have any idea the number of characters that HTC has converted for Public Resource? A. I don't recall, but I think it's there in the document that was submitted. MR. FEE: I'm not sure this is what you're talking about, but I'll mark it nevertheless.	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 13 Q. And there appears to be three different types of sources. A raster PDF, a vector PDF and if you look on the back page, an image PDF. Can you tell me what the differences are between those three? 17 A. Yeah, it's pretty technical, but raster PDF is a simpler version of the PDF, meaning this is usually it refers to the bitonal of the images and vector PDF represents higher resolution images so there's a lot more storage involved in vector PDF, a lot more
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing any changes to the graphics. Q. Do you have any idea the number of characters that HTC has converted for Public Resource? A. I don't recall, but I think it's there in the document that was submitted. MR. FEE: I'm not sure this is what you're talking about, but I'll mark it nevertheless. (Marked for identification	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 12 material would come in. 13 Q. And there appears to be three different types of sources. A raster PDF, a vector PDF and if you look on the back page, an image PDF. Can you tell me what the differences are between those three? 17 A. Yeah, it's pretty technical, but raster PDF is a simpler version of the PDF, meaning this is usually it refers to the bitonal of the images and vector PDF represents higher resolution images so there's a lot more storage involved in vector PDF, a lot more clarity involved with vector PDF.
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing any changes to the graphics. Q. Do you have any idea the number of characters that HTC has converted for Public Resource? A. I don't recall, but I think it's there in the document that was submitted. MR. FEE: I'm not sure this is what you're talking about, but I'll mark it nevertheless. (Marked for identification Deposition Exhibit No. 4.)	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 12 Mand there appears to be three different types of sources. A raster PDF, a vector PDF and if you look on the back page, an image PDF. Can you tell me what the differences are between those three? 17 A. Yeah, it's pretty technical, but raster PDF is a simpler version of the PDF, meaning this is usually it refers to the bitonal of the images and vector PDF represents higher resolution images so there's a lot more storage involved in vector PDF, a lot more clarity involved with vector PDF. 20 What about an image PDF?
respect to any logos that were on standards that were authored by ASTM or the other plaintiffs in this case? A. I don't know in terms of that really what was done. Q. Do you know anything about the instructions from Public Resource regarding how HTC should treat graphics? A. I've seen some communication on that, but not that I'm aware of how it was converted. Q. Do you know if the graphics in the plaintiffs' standards were just tagged like you described sort of a general process earlier today? A. In general that is typically what we would do, because we have no means of converting or no means of doing any changes to the graphics. Q. Do you have any idea the number of characters that HTC has converted for Public Resource? A. I don't recall, but I think it's there in the document that was submitted. MR. FEE: I'm not sure this is what you're talking about, but I'll mark it nevertheless. (Marked for identification	1 Q. Does WS mean something in that? 2 A. It is work stream. 3 Q. Okay. And then project name I assume is 4 self-explanatory; right? 5 A. Yep. 6 Q. Where did the information in the column marked scope 7 of the project come from? 8 A. It would be typically from the purchase order and the proposal. 10 Q. What is the source column? 11 A. That is the format in which the material input material would come in. 12 material would come in. 13 Q. And there appears to be three different types of sources. A raster PDF, a vector PDF and if you look on the back page, an image PDF. Can you tell me what the differences are between those three? 17 A. Yeah, it's pretty technical, but raster PDF is a simpler version of the PDF, meaning this is usually it refers to the bitonal of the images and vector PDF represents higher resolution images so there's a lot more storage involved in vector PDF, a lot more clarity involved with vector PDF.

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 in Filed 11/13/19 Page 15 of 51

Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014

50 52 A. That would be the image; so raster would refer A. We did not make any copies or anything, we just 2 typically to the characters, image would be a diagram. 2 converted the format, provided it back to the 3 Q. Okay. The next heading is output. 3 customer. 4 A. Yes. 4 Q. Okay, well, you made a character for character 5 Q. What does that refer to? 5 different file in a different file format; right? A. So that is the destination format in which the image 6 6 is -- or image content is provided back to the client. Q. So the -- all the text was exactly the same? 7 8 Q. Okay. And there are a couple of different types of 8 A. Yes. 9 outputs. One is HTML illustration images, one is just Q. And all the images were exactly the same? 9 10 HTML, then you have spreadsheet and PDFs, then you 10 A. Correct. 11 have ASCII text files. 11 Q. But you're saying that's not a copy? 12 A. Correct. So those are the different types of formats. 12 A. I mean, HTC did not copy is all I'm saying. We're not 13 HTML, illustration images, that goes together, and 13 taking the material or copy or anything like that. 14 14 Converted, provided it back to the client. Q. Do you have an understanding as to why Public 15 Q. I'm still not sure I understand the distinction you're 15 Resource 16 16 would be asking for different types of outputs for 17 A. No, you used a word HTC copied. HTC did not copy 17 these different projects? 18 any --A. No, I don't know their reasons. 18 19 MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. 19 Q. Skip over start month and end month and pricing unit 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 and unit rate, because I think they're all pretty 21 Q. You can go ahead. 21 obvious. 22 A. HTC did not copy any material or retain any material 22 The total volume as per pricing unit, I 23 for HTC. 23 think you said that was in kilobytes? 24 Q. Okay. Is it -- because you didn't retain it, you 24 A. Kilocharacters, kilobytes, same. 25 returned it, is that what you're saying? 25 Q. Kilocharacters, okay. And the total invoice amount 51 53 1 should just be a mathematical equation then; right? A. I'm not sure whether the copy is the right word for 2 A. Correct. 2 Q. Okay. But you made -- you took -- you made a new 3 Q. It's unit rate times the total volume? 3 file 4 first of all; right? 5 Q. Now, do you know which of these project names A. Reformatted. We don't create a file. We reformatted would cover the conversion project that was done related to 6 the content from one format to another. 7 standards owned by ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE? 7 Q. Let me ask you this question. You get, let's say, a PDF from Public Resource. What would the name be 8 8 A. I wouldn't know. Q MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form regarding 9 that file? Is there a naming convention that you're 10 the term owned. 10 aware of? 11 Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Something.PDF, yeah. 11 12 A. I don't know that. 12 Q. Then you do your work, you make sure that every 13 Q. Do you know when HTC copied the ASTM, NFPA 13 character in the PDF is in HTML format, you save the and ASHRAE standards on behalf of Public Resource? 14 file as a different name; correct? 14 15 A. Yes. 15 A. We did not copy any standards, I want to correct that 16 statement. We just done the conversion of whatever 16 Q. Okay, so you've now created a new file with all the 17 same content from the prior file; correct? 17 documents were provided back in the format in which 18 client had requested. 18 A. Right. 19 Q. And -- but you're saying that's not a copy, that's 19 Q. I'm not sure I understand what you're saying. What 20 didn't you do? Are you saying that they're not 20 what you're trying to tell me? 21 21 standards? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, asked and answered. 22 22 A. No, it's a document -- they might be standards --Q. You can answer. 23 23 Q. Okay. A. Copy is when, to me, you're making multiple versions,

A. -- but it's a document provided to us by the customer.

24

24

you're storing somewhere like if you have a DVD,

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 16 of 51

	54		56
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	making ten copies. That's what I understand by copying. Q. Okay. A. This one and you used the word HTC, that's what I was objecting to. Q. So is it your position that if you make a copy of a DVD, turn it into a flash drive, that's not a copy? A. Yeah, as long as it's given back, it's reformatted, given back to the customer in a reformatted manner. Q. When did you first come to this understanding of the distinction between reformatting and copying? A. I don't know. I just came to based on your words, so that's why the things you said HTC copied, that's what I'm talking about. Q. So you've never made this distinction before? A. Didn't have to, no. Q. So in the course of your 20 years at HTC, have you ever told somebody before that HTC doesn't copy stuff, it only reformats it? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of your question. Mischaracterizes his answer. Q. You can answer the question.	1 (A brief recess was taken.) 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record 3 at 10:36. 4 (Marked for identification 5 Deposition Exhibit No. 5.) 6 BY MR. FEE: 7 Q. Going to hand you what's marked as Exhibit 5, whi is 8 an email from HTC team to Mr. Malamud Bates labeled 9 PRO26049 through 50 and dated September 30th, 2013. 10 First of all, can you identify that as an email from HTC to Mr. Malamud? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. The email address from HTC team, do you know w that 14 is? 15 A. Yeah, that's a group email address. 16 Q. Who is who are the members of that group? 17 A. It's typically the project team that is working on the project. It would be would be that including	ch
22 23	A. Can you, sorry, repeat the question?	project. It would be would be that including the project manager would be on that team.	
24 25	MR. FEE: Can you read that back, please? (Record read back as requested.)	20 Q. Did you review Exhibit 5 in connection with your preparation for your deposition today? 22 A Trying to recall 1 do not I mean 1 would have	
	55		57
1 2 t 3	A. No, I haven't discussed that at all, no. Q. Are you aware of any problems that HTC had in trying to convert the standards of ASTM, NFPA or	Carl, we have completed conversion for the remaining Soft PDFs. And that sentence continues; right? And then the next paragraph says, note the following PDI contain multiple documents; hence, we split the	
	ASHRAE? A. I'm not aware of any.	5 documents and added suffix M to the filename.	
	Q. In the double key process, if a page is missing from	6 Do you see that? 7 A. Yes.	
6 7	any particular standard, how would the double key process catch that?	8 Q. And then there's a series of what I assume are filenames?	
8 9	A. Typically there is an inventory of the number of pages which are input and there is output, so there is a	10 A. Correct.	
10	tally of the number of pages that are input and output	11 Q. And those filenames all have start with ASTM?12 A. Yes.	
11 12	and the quality assurance team would catch that. Q. Okay, so if Public Resource provides a 14-page PDF	13 Q. And then they're all followed by a dot and then a B and then a series of numbers and then an M; correct!	
13 t	HTC of a standard that's really 15 pages, your	15 A. Yes.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	organization at HTC would expect it to be 14 pages, the output would be 14 pages, is there any way that your organization would say this is missing page 15? A. No, we have no means of knowing that. Q. Okay. And the double key process would not catch that? A. No. THE WITNESS: Can we take a break after a couple of minutes or whenever? MR. FEE: Sure, we can take a break.	16 Q. Do you know if that is a naming convention that wa 17 used by HTC for copying ASTM standards? 18 MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of 19 the question, the word copying. Whether you're usin 20 his definition or your prior definition. Answer if 21 you can. 22 A. I do not know whether those are whose file 23 conventions those are. Typically we would retain th 24 client's naming conventions. We don't change the 25 names.	ng

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 17 of 51

58	60
1 Q. Do you have a list of all of the files that you had	1 A. No.
2 converted on behalf of Public Resource?	2 Q. To the best of your knowledge were any person's
3 A. I do not know.	emails
4 Q. Does HTC Global have such a list?	3 searched for ASTM?
5 A. I doubt if we would have it now, no.	4 A. I do not know.
6 Q. If you had to figure out which specific ASTM	5 Q. Did you ask Mr. Talwalkar whether or not he searched
7 standards, for example, were converted by HTC	6 his emails for references to ASTM?
Global, 8 how could you go about doing that?	7 A. I did not ask him.
9 A. We wouldn't we wouldn't usually we don't retain	8 Q. Do you know what a layout only job is?
the content so we won't know which files were	9 A. Sorry, say that again. Layout?
11 converted.	10 Q. Layout only.
12 Q. So after the job is complete, you retain no records of	11 A. Layout only. I don't know that, no.
which files have been converted?	12 (Marked for identification
14 A. That's correct.	Deposition Exhibit No. 6.)
15 Q. And that's true not just for Public Resource but all	14 Q. Going to hand you what's marked as Exhibit 6. It's an
16 the clients?	email from Mr. Malamud to HTC delivery notification
17 A. Yes.	16 dated November 9, 2012.
18 Q. Do you know if the HTC team email address, whether	17 First of all, can you identify Exhibit 6 as 18 an email from Mr. Malamud to HTC?
or 19 not its inbox or other file folders were searched for	19 A. Yes.
20 ASTM references?	20 Q. What person or persons would be receiving emails at
21 A. I do not know. We would typically search for PRO,	21 the email address
public relations communications, so that is why we	22 HTCdeliverynotification@HTCIndia.com?
wouldn't deal with ASTM or any such communications	23 A. Typically this would be the team working on that
24 specific to PRO clients.	project for converting the content. Project manager
59	61
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all	61 1 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes.	 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that.
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type?	 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says,
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows.	 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents.	 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key.
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows.	 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. A. Yes.
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC	 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. A. Yes. Q. Can you explain to me what that means?
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's	 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. A. Yes. Q. Can you explain to me what that means? A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy?	 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. A. Yes. Q. Can you explain to me what that means? A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like 10 this are retained as per the client needs, typically 11 for not more than three months.	 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. A. Yes. Q. Can you explain to me what that means? A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like 10 this are retained as per the client needs, typically 11 for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails?	1 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were 2 searched for references to ASTM? 3 A. I don't know that. 4 Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, 5 these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only 6 not double key. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Can you explain to me what that means? 9 A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key 10 entry but layout meaning it would most probably be 11 converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be 12 a data entry job like the double key entry job. 13 Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like this are retained as per the client needs, typically 11 for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails? 13 A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy.	1 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were 2 searched for references to ASTM? 3 A. I don't know that. 4 Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, 5 these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only 6 not double key. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Can you explain to me what that means? 9 A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key 10 entry but layout meaning it would most probably be 11 converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be 12 a data entry job like the double key entry job. 13 Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this 14 email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like this are retained as per the client needs, typically for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails? 13 A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. 14 Q. Do you know if your email, your personal email at	1 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? 3 A. I don't know that. 4 Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Can you explain to me what that means? 9 A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be a data entry job like the double key entry job. 10 Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE standards?
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like this are retained as per the client needs, typically for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails? 13 A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. 14 Q. Do you know if your email, your personal email at HTC,	1 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? 3 A. I don't know that. 4 Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Can you explain to me what that means? 9 A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be a data entry job like the double key entry job. 10 Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE standards? 11 A. It says work stream number 1, so whatever that work
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like 10 this are retained as per the client needs, typically 11 for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails? 13 A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. 14 Q. Do you know if your email, your personal email at HTC, 15 has some sort of auto delete function?	1 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? 3 A. I don't know that. 4 Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Can you explain to me what that means? 9 A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be a data entry job like the double key entry job. 10 Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE standards? 11 A. It says work stream number 1, so whatever that work stream refers to.
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like this are retained as per the client needs, typically for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails? 13 A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. 14 Q. Do you know if your email, your personal email at HTC,	1 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? 3 A. I don't know that. 4 Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Can you explain to me what that means? 9 A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be a data entry job like the double key entry job. 13 Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE standards? 16 A. It says work stream number 1, so whatever that work stream refers to. 18 Q. And that is identified on Exhibit 4?
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like 10 this are retained as per the client needs, typically 11 for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails? 13 A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. 14 Q. Do you know if your email, your personal email at HTC, 15 has some sort of auto delete function? 16 A. No auto delete, no.	1 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? 3 A. I don't know that. 4 Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Can you explain to me what that means? 9 A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be a data entry job like the double key entry job. 13 Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE standards? 16 A. It says work stream number 1, so whatever that work stream refers to. 18 Q. And that is identified on Exhibit 4? 19 A. Yes.
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like this are retained as per the client needs, typically 11 for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails? 13 A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. 14 Q. Do you know if your email, your personal email at HTC, 15 has some sort of auto delete function? 16 A. No auto delete, no. 17 Q. What is your practice with respect to retaining emails	Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. A. Yes. Q. Can you explain to me what that means? A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be a data entry job like the double key entry job. Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE standards? A. It says work stream number 1, so whatever that work stream refers to. Q. And that is identified on Exhibit 4? A. Yes. Q. And work stream 1 says building codes data entry; do
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like this are retained as per the client needs, typically 11 for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails? 13 A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. 14 Q. Do you know if your email, your personal email at HTC, 15 has some sort of auto delete function? 16 A. No auto delete, no. 17 Q. What is your practice with respect to retaining emails at HTC? 19 A. I retain last two two, three years' emails. 20 Q. Did you search your emails for any references to	1 Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? 3 A. I don't know that. 4 Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Can you explain to me what that means? 9 A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be a data entry job like the double key entry job. 13 Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE standards? 16 A. It says work stream number 1, so whatever that work stream refers to. 18 Q. And that is identified on Exhibit 4? 19 A. Yes.
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like this are retained as per the client needs, typically 11 for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails? 13 A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. 14 Q. Do you know if your email, your personal email at HTC, 15 has some sort of auto delete function? 16 A. No auto delete, no. 17 Q. What is your practice with respect to retaining emails at HTC? 19 A. I retain last two two, three years' emails. 20 Q. Did you search your emails for any references to ASTM?	Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. A. Yes. Q. Can you explain to me what that means? A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be a data entry job like the double key entry job. Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE standards? A. It says work stream number 1, so whatever that work stream refers to. Q. And that is identified on Exhibit 4? A. Yes. Q. And work stream 1 says building codes data entry; do you see that?
MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all documents? MR. FEE: Yes. MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? MR. FEE: If he knows. A. I don't know about all documents. Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's document retention policy? A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like this are retained as per the client needs, typically for not more than three months. Q. What about emails? A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. A. Do you know if your email, your personal email at HTC, has some sort of auto delete function? A. No auto delete, no. Q. What is your practice with respect to retaining emails at HTC? A. I retain last two two, three years' emails. Q. Did you search your emails for any references to ASTM? A. I did not, no.	Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. A. Yes. Q. Can you explain to me what that means? A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be a data entry job like the double key entry job. Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE standards? A. It says work stream number 1, so whatever that work stream refers to. Q. And that is identified on Exhibit 4? A. Yes. Q. And work stream 1 says building codes data entry; do you see that? A. Yes.
1 MR. COUTILISH: Excuse me, for all 2 documents? 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 MR. COUTILISH: Of any type? 5 MR. FEE: If he knows. 6 A. I don't know about all documents. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know about any portion of HTC Global's 8 document retention policy? 9 A. Usually the customer documents in a conversion like this are retained as per the client needs, typically 11 for not more than three months. 12 Q. What about emails? 13 A. Email, I do not know the email retention policy. 14 Q. Do you know if your email, your personal email at HTC, 15 has some sort of auto delete function? 16 A. No auto delete, no. 17 Q. What is your practice with respect to retaining emails at HTC? 19 A. I retain last two two, three years' emails. 20 Q. Did you search your emails for any references to ASTM?	Q. Do you know if any of those persons' emails were searched for references to ASTM? A. I don't know that. Q. In the second sentence of this email you see it says, these are already text PDFs so this is a layout only not double key. A. Yes. Q. Can you explain to me what that means? A. What I can guess from here is it is not a double key entry but layout meaning it would most probably be converted by OCR as the characters, so it would not be a data entry job like the double key entry job. Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether or not this email refers to work on ASTM or NFPA or ASHRAE standards? A. It says work stream number 1, so whatever that work stream refers to. Q. And that is identified on Exhibit 4? A. Yes. Q. And work stream 1 says building codes data entry; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. But you don't know what that entails; do you?

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 18 of 51

62	64
relates to standards from NFPA or ASHRAE or ASTM? A. That's correct. Q. What does HTC do to try to make sure that the files that it receives from Public Resource in addition to having all the exact same words also appear the same way, if anything? A. I guess I don't understand your question. Q. For example, formatting of the page. If the PDF you receive from Public Resource has, you know, a 12-point font in italics and how what efforts, if any, does HTC Global do to try to match that font, match the borders, match the margins, etc., if anything? A. I'm not aware of matching the fonts or borders, but our focus usually is on the content, meaning the text and not necessarily the format of the document. Q. So the goal is not to make your HTML file when displayed look exactly like the PDF, it's just to make	which is the red white and blue certificate of incorporation? A. No. Q. He then goes on to say, you may find a few of the standards are too hard to read. If that is the case, please just let me know and we will go onto something else instead. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of any instances where there was difficulty reading any of the materials that Mr. Malamud provided? A. No, I'm not aware. Q. Did you discuss whether or not there was any problems with any of the files that were received from Public Resource with Mr. Talwalkar? A. No. (Marked for identification Deposition Exhibit No. 8.)
sure that every single word is represented and the same images are there? A. It is not about that. It is more to do with what formats are supported. A lot of times if you have a formatting PDF, we're not doing any graphic design work around the documents, so we are picking up the	 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 8, which is an email from Sutbir, S-U-T-B-I-R, Randhawa A. Randhawa, yeah. Q. R-A-N-D-H-A-W-A, to Mr. Malamud dated May 26, 2011 and the Bates label PRO5092 through 94.
24 text or the image and converting that. So if there is 63	24 Can you identify Exhibit 8 as an email 65
1 Q. Is there an effort to match the pagination from the 2 original PDF? 3 A. Yes. 4 (Marked for identification 5 Deposition Exhibit No. 7.) 6 Q. Going to hand you what's marked as Exhibit 7. It's an 7 email from Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar dated February 8 25, 2012 at 11:29 a.m. 9 Can you identify Exhibit 7 as an email from 10 Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar at HTC? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Did you review this email 13 A. Yes. 14 Q in preparing for your deposition? 15 A. Yes.	 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed Exhibit 8 prior to your deposition? A. Yes. Q. Can you explain to me generally what's going on in this series of communications? A. These are more of delivery instructions to process the documents so there's nothing I do not understand the technical content in them but more of delivery instructions as to how the documents should be formatted and what kind of quality assurance should be done on those. Q. Okay. In particular at the top of this chain of emails it looks like HTC made some sort of mistake. Do you see that?
16 Q. Do you know whether or not this refers to any work on 17 standards that were written by ASTM, ASHRAE or	16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Do you know what the mistake was?

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 19 of 51

	66		68
1	(Marked for identification	1	particular table, just make a note of it and let me
2	Deposition Exhibit No. 9.)	2	know when you deliver it. Do you see that?
3	Q. Going to hand you a document marked as Exhibit 9	3	A. Yes.
١.	from	4	Q. Are you aware of what if anything Mr. Malamud
4	Mr. Malamud to HTCdeliverynotification@HTCIndia.com	ء ا	intended
5	dated July 17th, 2012, Bates labeled PRO25945	5	to do with these tables after you sent them to him? A. No.
	through	7	A. No. Q. Do you know what effort if any HTC Global made to
6	46.	′	make
7	First of all, can you identify Exhibit 9 as	8	sure that tables were formatted properly in the files
8	an email from Mr. Malamud to HTC?	9	that it converted for Public Resource?
9	A. Yes.	10	A. I don't know.
10	Q. Have you reviewed this document prior to today?	11	Q. Does HTC retain any of the comparisons between the
11 12	A. I think so, but I don't recall the content.	12	two
$\begin{vmatrix} 12 \\ 13 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Have you had a chance to review the document? A. Yes.	13	double key files that it does in connection with the double key process?
14	Q. Can you tell me what's happening in this	14	A. No, we don't retain any documents related to clients.
1.	communication	15	Q. Are you familiar at all with the content of any ASTM
15	or series of communications?	16	standards?
16	A. It's primarily something to do with the formatting of	17	A. No.
17	the document and how the tagging should be done.	18	Q. Do you have any idea what they look like or if they're
18	Q. And this relates again to work stream number 1?	19	scientific in nature?
19	A. Yes.	20	A. I think they're scientific in nature is what I would
20 21	Q. In Mr. Malamud's email at the top of the first page, do you see he has a paragraph that starts a couple of	21	think.
22	caveats and a list. Do you see that?	22 23	Q. Is it more difficult or less difficult to convert scientific documents than it would be nonscientific
22	A This are is a little hit are siel als accepts much	23	scientific documents than it would be nonscientific
		l	
	67		69
1		1	
1 2	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes.	1 2	Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double
- 1	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes.	1 2 3	
2	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA	2	Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience
2 3 4	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards?	2 3	Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions?A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for
2 3 4 5	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions?A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate.
2 3 4 5 6	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would
2 3 4 5 6 7	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public Resource?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote. Q. So you plug in the error rate whatever error rate's requested by the purchaser? A. Not error rate but accuracy rate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public Resource? A. If it was part of the document conversion and if there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote. Q. So you plug in the error rate whatever error rate's requested by the purchaser? A. Not error rate but accuracy rate. Q. Okay. You plug in whatever accuracy rate's requested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public Resource? A. If it was part of the document conversion and if there was an instruction to convert a table into Excel, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote. Q. So you plug in the error rate whatever error rate's requested by the purchaser? A. Not error rate but accuracy rate. Q. Okay. You plug in whatever accuracy rate's requested by the customer for double keying?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public Resource? A. If it was part of the document conversion and if there was an instruction to convert a table into Excel, we would do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote. Q. So you plug in the error rate whatever error rate's requested by the purchaser? A. Not error rate but accuracy rate. Q. Okay. You plug in whatever accuracy rate's requested by the customer for double keying? A. Yeah, double
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public Resource? A. If it was part of the document conversion and if there was an instruction to convert a table into Excel, we would do that. Q. Do you know if HTC Global actually did that at all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote. Q. So you plug in the error rate whatever error rate's requested by the purchaser? A. Not error rate but accuracy rate. Q. Okay. You plug in whatever accuracy rate's requested by the customer for double keying? A. Yeah, double MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. Not clear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public Resource? A. If it was part of the document conversion and if there was an instruction to convert a table into Excel, we would do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote. Q. So you plug in the error rate whatever error rate's requested by the purchaser? A. Not error rate but accuracy rate. Q. Okay. You plug in whatever accuracy rate's requested by the customer for double keying? A. Yeah, double MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. Not clear what plug in means.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public Resource? A. If it was part of the document conversion and if there was an instruction to convert a table into Excel, we would do that. Q. Do you know if HTC Global actually did that at all for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote. Q. So you plug in the error rate whatever error rate's requested by the purchaser? A. Not error rate but accuracy rate. Q. Okay. You plug in whatever accuracy rate's requested by the customer for double keying? A. Yeah, double MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. Not clear what plug in means. Q. You can answer. You already answered it once.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public Resource? A. If it was part of the document conversion and if there was an instruction to convert a table into Excel, we would do that. Q. Do you know if HTC Global actually did that at all for Public Resource?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote. Q. So you plug in the error rate whatever error rate's requested by the purchaser? A. Not error rate but accuracy rate. Q. Okay. You plug in whatever accuracy rate's requested by the customer for double keying? A. Yeah, double MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. Not clear what plug in means. Q. You can answer. You already answered it once. A. Yeah, the accuracy rate is typically there are some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public Resource? A. If it was part of the document conversion and if there was an instruction to convert a table into Excel, we would do that. Q. Do you know if HTC Global actually did that at all for Public Resource? A. Thought I seen some reference to that in the communication. Q. Now, after the don't sweat it part of number 3, Carl	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote. Q. So you plug in the error rate whatever error rate's requested by the purchaser? A. Not error rate but accuracy rate. Q. Okay. You plug in whatever accuracy rate's requested by the customer for double keying? A. Yeah, double MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. Not clear what plug in means. Q. You can answer. You already answered it once. A. Yeah, the accuracy rate is typically there are some industry date so, you know, typically 99 percent is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to read. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not any of these hard to read documents were ASTM, ASHRAE or NFPA standards? A. No, I don't know. Q. Number 3 it starts off saying, don't sweat the formatting too much. Most of this stuff is tables. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know what that means? A. So those are what I could guess is those are typically the table formats or they need to be converted into Excel rather than the text. Q. Is that something that HTC would do for Public Resource? A. If it was part of the document conversion and if there was an instruction to convert a table into Excel, we would do that. Q. Do you know if HTC Global actually did that at all for Public Resource? A. Thought I seen some reference to that in the communication.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Going back to your expected error rate for double keying, that was based upon HTC's general experience in doing double keying; correct? Not scientific conversions? A. It was that the percentage that was quoted was for this customer, the expectation of the accuracy rate. Q. Okay, so that data is different than what you would ordinarily provide a nonscientific customer? A. I don't recall the percentages. It depends on the client's expectations. A client would typically say I'm around 99 percent accurate or I can live with 95 percent, so depending on the client needs we would provide that quote. Q. So you plug in the error rate whatever error rate's requested by the purchaser? A. Not error rate but accuracy rate. Q. Okay. You plug in whatever accuracy rate's requested by the customer for double keying? A. Yeah, double MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. Not clear what plug in means. Q. You can answer. You already answered it once. A. Yeah, the accuracy rate is typically there are some

70	72
more than that, you would go for a triple key data entry. So in this case this is based on what we expect of the content. In this particular client, we would quote that. And usually what happens is we would do a test batch, so this particular client also we would have done a test batch which would tell us what accuracy we can achieve with double key entry and based on that we quote. Q. Okay, was a test batch done for Public Resource? A. Yes. Q. And after going through that experience you told Public Resource that they should expect there to be 49 characters wrong out of 10,000? A. That's correct. Q. And that was based upon what particular standards or documents, if you know?	1 with that logo? 2 A. We would convert that as it came on the document. 3 Q. Even if the logo was partly graphic in nature? 4 A. Yeah, if there was an image, we would convert that as an image. 6 Q. So then you would take the exact image that was provided by Public Resource and put it into your new HTML file? 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. Are any mechanisms in place to prevent distortion of those logos in that process? 12 A. We do not tamper with the image so whatever is the image, we would tag that image and link it to the document, so we do not do anything with the processing 15 of the image. 16 Q. What mechanism is there, if any, to ensure that, for
17 A. I do not know that.	example, the size of the image remains the same in
18 Q. So but if it was a nonscientific standard or 19 document, you would expect an even higher error rate 20 with respect to the scientific documents? 21 MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. 22 Mischaracterizes the testimony. 23 MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. 24 A. Yeah, with reference to scientific, it is more complex 25 so there could be a higher rate, higher error rate.	18 both files? 19 A. I do not know that. 20 Q. So you're not aware of any efforts to make sure that 21 the size remains the same? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. Did HTC have permission from ASTM to make copies of 24 any of ASTM's works?
71	73
1 Q. To the best of your knowledge do any of the HTC 2 employees who were doing the conversion have any 3 scientific background? 4 A. I do not know that. 5 Q. Is it fair to assume that most of them just have a 6 high school level of education? 7 A. I would not say most of them. That is the minimum 8 criteria. 9 Q. There's no requirement that anybody have any 10 scientific background to do conversion at HTC? 11 A. There's no such requirement, but there is always a 12 combination of graduates who come from science, 13 commerce and other disciplines. 14 Q. But you HTC made no effort to try to get their 15 persons with scientific backgrounds on this particular 16 conversion project? 17 A. I do not know that. 18 Q. Did any of the documents that HTC received from 19 Resource contain trademarks owned by third-parties? 20 A. I do not know that. 21 Q. Do you know if any of the documents that HTC 22 received	1 PRO. 2 Q. So ASTM certainly didn't grant you any permissions then? 4 A. Yeah, we had no communication with ASTM so we had no 5 knowledge of dealing with ASTM for anything. 6 Q. Do you have any written permission from ASTM to do 7 anything with their standards? 8 MR. COUTILISH: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead and answer again. 10 A. Like I said, we had no communication with ASTM. 11 Q. Do you claim to have a license from ASTM in any way? 12 A. Same answer, we had no communication with ASTM. 13 MR. COUTILISH: Objection, calls for legal conclusion. 15 Q. Has HTC made any royalty payments to ASTM for any 16 copies that it made? 17 A. We have had no dealings with ASTM at all. 18 Q. Are you aware of any specific instructions from Public 19 Resource as to how to handle any trademarks that were
 from Public Resource contained ASTM trademarks? A. I do not know. Q. If there was a logo on a document that was received 	in the files that they identified for conversion? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion as to the meaning of trademarks

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 21 of 51

74	76
1 A. Yes.	1 unidentified Oregon team?
2 Q. Okay.	2 A. No.
3 MR. STOLTZ: Objection, calls for a legal	3 (Marked for identification
4 conclusion.	4 Deposition Exhibit No. 11.)
5 MR. COUTILISH: Same objection.	5 Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 11, which is an email
6 Q. Do you know if any of the materials that you received	6 from Mr. Malamud to HTC delivery notification dated
7 from Public Resource contained copyright notices?	7 August 26, 2012 at 2:46 p m.
8 MR. COUTILISH: Objection, calls for a	8 Can you identify this document as an email
9 legal conclusion.	9 sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC?
10 A. I do not know.	10 A. Yes.
11 Q. Just so we're clear. You've seen the C with a circle	11 Q. This starts out by saying, 55 more standards in folder
around it before; do you know that symbol?	to HTC 20120826. Do you know what standards he
13 A. Yes.	was
14 Q. Have you seen any documents that you received from	referencing at that point?
Public Resource that had that symbol in it that you	14 A. No.
were asked to convert?	15 Q. Do you know if they were standards that were authored
17 A. I haven't seen any of those documents.	16 by one of the plaintiffs in this case?
18 Q. If there was a C with a circle around it in any of	17 A. I do not know, no.
those documents, what would HTC do with respect to	18 Q. Then he says, same instructions as 20120825. Then
20 that symbol in the conversion process?	he
21 A. We would convert it as it is.	said, if the text is in the file, use the new work
22 (Marked for identification	flow. If it is a scan, use the old double key. Do
Deposition Exhibit No. 10.)	21 you see that?
 Q. Going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 10. It's an email from Mr. Malamud to HTC delivery 	22 A. Yeah.
25 It's an email from Mr. Malamud to HTC delivery	23 Q. Do you know what the new work flow was?
75	77
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m.	77 1 in the file?
1 notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m.	1 in the file?
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an	1 in the file?2 A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC?	1 in the file? 2 A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain 3 text, the ASCII text in the file.
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes.	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work
 notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the 	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? A. Not that I know of. Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work
 notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading 	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? A. Not that I know of. Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow
 notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO 	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? A. Not that I know of. Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow at some point?
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC.	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? A. Not that I know of. Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow at some point? A. Yeah.
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC. Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? A. Not that I know of. Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow at some point? A. Yeah. MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks
1 notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. 2 First of all, can you identify that as an 3 email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that 6 series of communications? 7 A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading 8 of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO 9 to HTC. 10 Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some 11 troubles with potentially converting tables?	1 in the file? 2 A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain 3 text, the ASCII text in the file. 4 Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work 5 flow for Public Resource jobs? 6 A. Not that I know of. 7 Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow 8 at some point? 9 A. Yeah. 10 MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks 11 for itself.
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC. Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some troubles with potentially converting tables? A. Yes, point number 5.	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? A. Not that I know of. Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow at some point? A. Yeah. MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks for itself. Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC. Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some troubles with potentially converting tables? A. Yes, point number 5. Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? A. Not that I know of. Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow at some point? A. Yeah. MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks for itself. Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for
1 notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. 2 First of all, can you identify that as an 3 email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that 6 series of communications? 7 A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading 8 of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO 9 to HTC. 10 Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some 11 troubles with potentially converting tables? 12 A. Yes, point number 5. 13 Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with 14 those conversions, if there were any at that time?	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? A. Not that I know of. Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow at some point? A. Yeah. MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks for itself. Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for this deposition to determine whether or not there were
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC. Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some troubles with potentially converting tables? A. Yes, point number 5. Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with those conversions, if there were any at that time? A. I don't know the problems, no.	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? A. Not that I know of. Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow at some point? A. Yeah. MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks for itself. Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for this deposition to determine whether or not there were any changes in the work flow for Public Resource
1 notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. 2 First of all, can you identify that as an 3 email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that 6 series of communications? 7 A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading 8 of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO 9 to HTC. 10 Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some 11 troubles with potentially converting tables? 12 A. Yes, point number 5. 13 Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with 14 those conversions, if there were any at that time? 15 A. I don't know the problems, no. 16 Q. Then there's a reference to Mr. Malamud's Oregon I	 in the file? A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? A. Not that I know of. Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow at some point? A. Yeah. MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks for itself. Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for this deposition to determine whether or not there were any changes in the work flow for Public Resource
1 notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. 2 First of all, can you identify that as an 3 email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that 6 series of communications? 7 A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading 8 of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO 9 to HTC. 10 Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some 11 troubles with potentially converting tables? 12 A. Yes, point number 5. 13 Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with 14 those conversions, if there were any at that time? 15 A. I don't know the problems, no. 16 Q. Then there's a reference to Mr. Malamud's Oregon I 17 don't remember the exact words, I don't have it in	1 in the file? 2 A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain 3 text, the ASCII text in the file. 4 Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work 5 flow for Public Resource jobs? 6 A. Not that I know of. 7 Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow 8 at some point? 9 A. Yeah. 10 MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks 11 for itself. 12 Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for 13 this deposition to determine whether or not there were 14 any changes in the work flow for Public Resource 15 projects?
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC. Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some troubles with potentially converting tables? A. Yes, point number 5. Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with those conversions, if there were any at that time? A. I don't know the problems, no. Q. Then there's a reference to Mr. Malamud's Oregon I don't remember the exact words, I don't have it in front of me, Oregon something. Let me see. Oregon	1 in the file? 2 A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain 3 text, the ASCII text in the file. 4 Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work 5 flow for Public Resource jobs? 6 A. Not that I know of. 7 Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow 8 at some point? 9 A. Yeah. 10 MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks 11 for itself. 12 Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for 13 this deposition to determine whether or not there were 14 any changes in the work flow for Public Resource 15 projects? 16 A. I haven't asked that specific question.
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC. Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some troubles with potentially converting tables? A. Yes, point number 5. Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with those conversions, if there were any at that time? A. I don't know the problems, no. Q. Then there's a reference to Mr. Malamud's Oregon I don't remember the exact words, I don't have it in front of me, Oregon something. Let me see. Oregon to the Oregon team. Do you see that here? We'll have	1 in the file? 2 A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. 4 Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? 6 A. Not that I know of. 7 Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow 8 at some point? 9 A. Yeah. 10 MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks 11 for itself. 12 Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for 13 this deposition to determine whether or not there were any changes in the work flow for Public Resource 15 projects? 16 A. I haven't asked that specific question. 17 Q. Do you know how the work that Public Resource is
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC. Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some troubles with potentially converting tables? A. Yes, point number 5. Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with those conversions, if there were any at that time? A. I don't know the problems, no. Q. Then there's a reference to Mr. Malamud's Oregon I don't remember the exact words, I don't have it in front of me, Oregon something. Let me see. Oregon to the Oregon team. Do you see that here? We'll have	1 in the file? 2 A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. 4 Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? 6 A. Not that I know of. 7 Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow 8 at some point? 9 A. Yeah. 10 MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks 11 for itself. 12 Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for 13 this deposition to determine whether or not there were any changes in the work flow for Public Resource 15 projects? 16 A. I haven't asked that specific question. 17 Q. Do you know how the work that Public Resource is having HTC Global do is funded?
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC. Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some troubles with potentially converting tables? A. Yes, point number 5. Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with those conversions, if there were any at that time? A. I don't know the problems, no. Q. Then there's a reference to Mr. Malamud's Oregon I don't remember the exact words, I don't have it in front of me, Oregon something. Let me see. Oregon to the Oregon team worry about them. Do you know	1 in the file? 2 A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. 4 Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? 6 A. Not that I know of. 7 Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow 8 at some point? 9 A. Yeah. 10 MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks 11 for itself. 12 Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for 13 this deposition to determine whether or not there were any changes in the work flow for Public Resource 14 any changes in the work flow for Public Resource 15 projects? 16 A. I haven't asked that specific question. 17 Q. Do you know how the work that Public Resource is having HTC Global do is funded? 19 A. Sorry, say that again.
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC. Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some troubles with potentially converting tables? A. Yes, point number 5. Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with those conversions, if there were any at that time? A. I don't know the problems, no. Q. Then there's a reference to Mr. Malamud's Oregon I don't remember the exact words, I don't have it in front of me, Oregon something. Let me see. Oregon to the Oregon team worry about them. Do you know what	1 in the file? 2 A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. 4 Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? 6 A. Not that I know of. 7 Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow 8 at some point? 9 A. Yeah. 10 MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks 11 for itself. 12 Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for 13 this deposition to determine whether or not there were any changes in the work flow for Public Resource 14 any changes in the work flow for Public Resource 15 projects? 16 A. I haven't asked that specific question. 17 Q. Do you know how the work that Public Resource is having HTC Global do is funded? 19 A. Sorry, say that again. 20 Q. Do you know how the work that Public Resource is having HTC Global do is funded? 21 A. I believe it's funded through donations and
notification dated March 14, 2013 at 11:36 a m. First of all, can you identify that as an email sent by Mr. Malamud to HTC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe generally what's going on in that series of communications? A. It's primarily about the uploading and the downloading of the files, of the documents that were sent by PRO to HTC. Q. You see in that document there's a reference to some troubles with potentially converting tables? A. Yes, point number 5. Q. First of all, do you know what's the problem with those conversions, if there were any at that time? A. I don't know the problems, no. Q. Then there's a reference to Mr. Malamud's Oregon I don't remember the exact words, I don't have it in front of me, Oregon something. Let me see. Oregon to the Oregon team. Do you see that here? We'll have our Oregon team worry about them. Do you know what	1 in the file? 2 A. I think he's possibly referencing to some of the plain text, the ASCII text in the file. 4 Q. At some point in time was there a change in the work flow for Public Resource jobs? 6 A. Not that I know of. 7 Q. Does this email suggest that there was a new work flow 8 at some point? 9 A. Yeah. 10 MR. STOLTZ: Objection, the email speaks 11 for itself. 12 Q. Have you communicated with anybody in preparing for 13 this deposition to determine whether or not there were any changes in the work flow for Public Resource 14 any changes in the work flow for Public Resource 15 projects? 16 A. I haven't asked that specific question. 17 Q. Do you know how the work that Public Resource is having HTC Global do is funded? 19 A. Sorry, say that again. 20 Q. Do you know how the work that Public Resource is having HTC Global do is funded?

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55; Filed 11/13/19 Page 22 of 51

_		_	
	78		80
1	A. I remember reading an article which said Google was	1	chain of emails. After Mr. Malamud says thank you he
2	one of the Google had funded, I believe.	2	says, there were some missing pages where the sheet
3	Q. Is that the only one you can identify?	3	feeder skipped.
4	A. That's all I can recall.	4	Do you see that?
5	(Marked for identification	5	A. Yes.
6	Deposition Exhibit No. 12.)	6	Q. What do you understand that to mean?
7	Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 12. It's an email from	7	A. So there are possibly pages missing in the document
8	Mr. Malamud to HTC delivery notification dated		or
	October October	8	in the PDF that Mr. Malamud sent us.
9	22nd, 2013 at 3:06 p.m.	9	Q. Are you you're still not aware after seeing this of
10	Can you identify that as a series of emails	10	any other instances where something like that has
11	between Mr. Malamud and HTC?	11	happened?
12	A. Yes.	12	A. I do not recall.
13	Q. Actually it's only one email, I misspoke.	13	MR. COUTILISH: You're talking about
14	Mr. Malamud says to HTC delivery	14	specifically for Public Resource?
15	notification, thanks. I really appreciate all the	15	MR. FEE: Yes.
16	hard work. I don't have anything more for you right	16	MR. COUTILISH: Not for any other clients?
17	now. My apologies - we're trying to figure out where	17	MR. FEE: Just for Public Resource.
18	our next batch of money is coming from.	18	A. I'm not aware of any other instances.
19	Do you see that?	19	Q. Are you aware of any other instances where there's
20	A. Yes.	20	been problems like that for clients other than Public
21	Q. Are you aware of any updates that Mr. Malamud has	21	Resource?
22	provided to HTC regarding the next batch of money?	22	A. Yes, that happens. It's common that during scanning
23	A. No.	23	there are missed pages.
24	Q. Do you know when the last what was the last job	24	Q. Is it also common for there to be duplicates of the
25	that HTC did for Public Resource?	25	same page in a file?
	79		81
1	A. I believe sometime in 2013, August, September.	1	A. It's not so common.
2	Q. Have you had any communications with Mr.	2	Q. Have you encountered instances where that's
	Malamud		happened?
3	regarding additional work since that time other than	3	A. I don't know of any.
4	what's been marked as Exhibit 12?	4	(Marked for identification
5	A. No, I do not recall any communication.	5	Deposition Exhibit No. 14.)
6	MR. FEE: We need to change videotape so	6	Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 14, which is another email,
7	why don't we take a short break.	7	this time from Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar, dated
8	THE WITNESS: Sure.	8	July 17th, 2012 at 6:54 a m., Bates labeled PRO25943
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at	9	through 44.
10	11:17.	10	Can you identify Exhibit 14 as an email
10	(A brief recess was taken.)	11	from Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar at HTC?
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at	12	A. Yes.
12	11:19. BY MR. FEE:	13	Q. I want to draw your attention to the bottom portion of
13	Q. Are you aware of any instances where the image file	14	the first page of this document. Do you see there's
14 15	that HTC received was actually missing pages?	15	an email from Mr. Malamud to HTC dated July 16th at
16	A. I'm not aware of any.	16	7:39 p.m.?
17	(Marked for identification	17	A. Yes.
18	Deposition Exhibit No. 13.)	18	Q. The first sentence starts off saying, Thanks. Do you think the left piece (the NEDA NEC 2011 RDE) will
19	Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 13, which is an email from	19	think the last piece (the NFPA.NEC.2011.PDF) will come
20	Mr. Malamud to HTC delivery notification dated	20	in next week or will be the week after? Do you see
١٠٠	January	21	that?
1	÷		
21	2nd, 2013 at 11:21 a m.	22	A. Yes.
21 22	2nd, 2013 at 11:21 a m. Can you identify Exhibit 13 as an email	22 23	A. Yes. O. That filename, NFPA.NEC.2011.PDF, does that mean
		22 23 24	A. Yes.Q. That filename, NFPA.NEC.2011.PDF, does that mean NFPA's National Electric Code from 2011?

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55; Filed 11/13/19 Page 23 of 51

82	84
Q. Do you know if that code was one of the codes that was	A. I've seen this, but I haven't reviewed the document in detail.
2 converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource?	Q. Why don't you review it and let me know when you're
3 A. I would know that that's a file based on this	4 ready to answer questions about it.
4 communication. I don't know about the content of the	5 (Witness reviews document.)
5 file.	6 A. Yes.
6 Q. Did you ever ask anybody what was contained in the	7 Q. Okay. Turning to page with Bates label PRO5059,
7 files that were copied by HTC on behalf of Public	it's
8 Resource regarding that were owned by ASTM, NFPA or	8 the second page I think of the document; do you see
9 ASHRAE?	9 that? 10 A. Yes.
10 MR. COUTILISH: Objection to form. The	10 A. Tes. 11 Q. I want to focus on the paragraph numbered 7 towards
question assumes legal conclusions.	12 the bottom; do you see that?
12 A. No, I haven't asked.	13 A. Yes.
13 Q. Did you make any effort to try to figure out whether	14 Q. It says Mr. Malamud says, what are you using to
any ASTM standard was copied or converted by HTC	grab the illustrations? It says you're loosing, but I
at CD III D	think it probably meant you're losing a lot of
the request of Public Resource?	17 quality.
16 A. No, usually we don't deal with the with the with the content that is I mean the subject matter of	18 A. Yep.
the content that is I mean the subject matter of the content, we don't deal with that.	19 Q. Do you know what's happening there?
19 Q. Do you have any idea what any of the content was	20 A. So there is possibly an example of an illustration
that	that we're trying to convert and there may not be it is not up to the expected quality so it could be a
Public Resource asked to be converted by HTC?	23 diagram, it could be a table.
21 A. Yes, we know those are building codes based on	24 Q. Under what circumstances would HTC be converting
the 22 names of the project or names of the documents, that	25 illustrations as opposed to just dropping the image as
92	95
83	85
1 (Marked for identification	1 is from one document into another?
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.)	1 is from one document into another?2 A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image.
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa.	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration.
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa.	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration.
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa.	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table.
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st,	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource?
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65.	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any.
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource?
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud?	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud?	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality of
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud? 14 A. Yes.	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality of this conversion? A. I don't know. MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. That
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. I want to draw your attention to first of all the	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality of this conversion? A. I don't know. MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. That misstates the testimony.
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. I want to draw your attention to first of all the 16 bottom of the page of Exhibit 15, first page. You see 17 it's an email from Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar? 18 A. Yeah.	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality of this conversion? A. I don't know. MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. That misstates the testimony. Q. Is losing a lot of quality a problem?
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. I want to draw your attention to first of all the 16 bottom of the page of Exhibit 15, first page. You see 17 it's an email from Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar? 18 A. Yeah. 19 Q. Then if you turn over to page 2, it continues that	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality of this conversion? A. I don't know. MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. That misstates the testimony. Q. Is losing a lot of quality a problem? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form.
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. I want to draw your attention to first of all the 16 bottom of the page of Exhibit 15, first page. You see 17 it's an email from Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar? 18 A. Yeah. 19 Q. Then if you turn over to page 2, it continues that 20 email chain; do you see that?	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality of this conversion? A. I don't know. MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. That misstates the testimony. Q. Is losing a lot of quality a problem? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. Yeah, it's a problem. It depends on the quality of
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. I want to draw your attention to first of all the 16 bottom of the page of Exhibit 15, first page. You see 17 it's an email from Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar? 18 A. Yeah. 19 Q. Then if you turn over to page 2, it continues that 20 email chain; do you see that? 21 A. Yes.	 is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality of this conversion? A. I don't know. MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. That misstates the testimony. Q. Is losing a lot of quality a problem? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. Yeah, it's a problem. It depends on the quality of the image itself, so.
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. I want to draw your attention to first of all the 16 bottom of the page of Exhibit 15, first page. You see 17 it's an email from Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar? 18 A. Yeah. 19 Q. Then if you turn over to page 2, it continues that 10 email chain; do you see that? 21 A. Yes. 22 MR. STOLTZ: Excuse me, counsel. This is a	1 is from one document into another? 2 A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us 3 to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're 4 not going to do anything with the image. 5 Q. And this is described as an illustration. 6 A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be 7 a table. 8 Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other 9 illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? 11 A. I'm not aware of any. 12 Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality of 13 this conversion? 14 A. I don't know. 15 MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. That misstates the testimony. 17 Q. Is losing a lot of quality a problem? 18 MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. 19 A. Yeah, it's a problem. It depends on the quality of the image itself, so. 20 Q. Do you know how if at all this problem was resolved?
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. I want to draw your attention to first of all the 16 bottom of the page of Exhibit 15, first page. You see 17 it's an email from Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar? 18 A. Yeah. 19 Q. Then if you turn over to page 2, it continues that 10 email chain; do you see that? 21 A. Yes. 22 MR. STOLTZ: Excuse me, counsel. This is a 23 long document and I'd ask that you give the witness	is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality of this conversion? A. I don't know. MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. That misstates the testimony. Q. Is losing a lot of quality a problem? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. Yeah, it's a problem. It depends on the quality of the image itself, so. Q. Do you know how if at all this problem was resolved? A. I don't know.
1 (Marked for identification 2 Deposition Exhibit No. 15.) 3 Q. Going to hand you Exhibit 15, which is an email from 4 Sutbir Randhawa 5 MR. COUTILISH: Randhawa. 6 MR. FEE: Randhawa. 7 MR. COUTILISH: No, dawa. 8 MR. FEE: Dawa. 9 Q to Mr. Malamud, dated June no, September 21st, 10 2011 with an attachment, Bates labeled PRO5058 through 11 65. 12 Can you identify Exhibit 15 as an email or 13 series of emails between HTC and Mr. Malamud? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. I want to draw your attention to first of all the 16 bottom of the page of Exhibit 15, first page. You see 17 it's an email from Mr. Malamud to Mr. Talwalkar? 18 A. Yeah. 19 Q. Then if you turn over to page 2, it continues that 10 email chain; do you see that? 21 A. Yes. 22 MR. STOLTZ: Excuse me, counsel. This is a	is from one document into another? A. Typically if it's a table, they would be expecting us to convert that. If it is an image, obviously we're not going to do anything with the image. Q. And this is described as an illustration. A. Yeah, could be anything. Could be an image, could be a table. Q. Besides tables are you aware of any other illustrations or images that were converted by HTC on behalf of Public Resource? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. Do you know what the problem was with the quality of this conversion? A. I don't know. MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. That misstates the testimony. Q. Is losing a lot of quality a problem? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. Yeah, it's a problem. It depends on the quality of the image itself, so. Q. Do you know how if at all this problem was resolved?

	86		88	
1	it through to HTC's quality control efforts?	1	conversion process?	
2	MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form.	2	A. In general clients provide the instructions. Now, I	
3	Mischaracterizes testimony.	3	do not know if it was different from one to another,	
4	Q. You can answer.	4	but with every work order usually the instructions	
5	A. No, I don't know how it went through it.	5	the instructions are provided in terms of the formats	
6	Q. That image would have gone through the quality	6	as the documents differ.	
	control	7	Q. If the work order instructions that you would have	
7	process at HTC; correct?	8	received cover the plaintiffs' standards from Public	
8	A. Yes.	9	Resource were general	
9	MR. FEE: I don't have any more questions	10 A. Yeah, HTC's process that is there to convert is		
10	at this time.	11	definitely the generic process, so within that each	
11	I will note that there were some topics I	12	individual work stream may have different instructions	
12	don't think you were able to answer and we reserve the	13	of formatting and how to tag and file naming	
13	right to try to recall you on those topics we think	14	conventions and things like that. So that's very	
14	it's necessary.	15	typical of any project.	
15	Thane or Andrew, do you want to go?	16	Q. Thank you.	
16	MR. ZEE: Sure, this is Andrew Zee for	17	I think you testified in response to	
17	ASHRAE. I just had a few additional questions.	18	questioning from ASTM counsel that HTC Global did	
18	EXAMINATION DV MD 7755	10	not	
19	BY MR. ZEE:	19	have any communication with ASTM?	
$\begin{vmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. I believe you mentioned that HTC Global initially had	20	A. That's correct. Q. Did HTC Global have any communications with	
21 22	proposed a triple key compare process. Can you tell me why HTC Global proposed a triple key compare	21	ASHRAE at	
23	process?	22	any time during the conversion process that HTC	
24	A. Yeah, typically that would go with the quality aspects		Global	
25	with client desires a much higher quality, then you go	23	performed?	
	87		89	
1		1		
1 2	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most	1 2	conversion process that it performed?	
1	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or	ı	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a	
2	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most	2	conversion process that it performed?	
2 3	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry,	2 3	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion.	
2 3 4	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not	2 3 4	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection.	
2 3 4 5	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's.	2 3 4 5	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from	
2 3 4 5 6	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare?	2 3 4 5 6	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there	2 3 4 5 6 7	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from Public Resource to treat the conversion process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of Public Resource?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from Public Resource to treat the conversion process differently for any of the plaintiffs' standards that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of Public Resource? A. No.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from Public Resource to treat the conversion process differently for any of the plaintiffs' standards that were provided to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of Public Resource? A. No. Q. Do you know how many of plaintiffs' standards were	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from Public Resource to treat the conversion process differently for any of the plaintiffs' standards that were provided to you? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of Public Resource? A. No. Q. Do you know how many of plaintiffs' standards were provided by Public Resource to HTC Global?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from Public Resource to treat the conversion process differently for any of the plaintiffs' standards that were provided to you? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Go ahead and answer if you can.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of Public Resource? A. No. Q. Do you know how many of plaintiffs' standards were provided by Public Resource to HTC Global? A. No, we don't.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from Public Resource to treat the conversion process differently for any of the plaintiffs' standards that were provided to you? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Go ahead and answer if you can. A. Sorry, would you be able to repeat the question? I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of Public Resource? A. No. Q. Do you know how many of plaintiffs' standards were provided by Public Resource to HTC Global? A. No, we don't. MR. ZEE: Thank you. Nothing further from	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from Public Resource to treat the conversion process differently for any of the plaintiffs' standards that were provided to you? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Go ahead and answer if you can. A. Sorry, would you be able to repeat the question? I missed a few words.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of Public Resource? A. No. Q. Do you know how many of plaintiffs' standards were provided by Public Resource to HTC Global? A. No, we don't. MR. ZEE: Thank you. Nothing further from ASHRAE.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from Public Resource to treat the conversion process differently for any of the plaintiffs' standards that were provided to you? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Go ahead and answer if you can. A. Sorry, would you be able to repeat the question? I missed a few words. Q. I'll withdraw the question and restate it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of Public Resource? A. No. Q. Do you know how many of plaintiffs' standards were provided by Public Resource to HTC Global? A. No, we don't. MR. ZEE: Thank you. Nothing further from ASHRAE. MR. REHN: Hello, this is Thane Rehn on	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from Public Resource to treat the conversion process differently for any of the plaintiffs' standards that were provided to you? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Go ahead and answer if you can. A. Sorry, would you be able to repeat the question? I missed a few words. Q. I'll withdraw the question and restate it. Did Public Resource provide instructions to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of Public Resource? A. No. Q. Do you know how many of plaintiffs' standards were provided by Public Resource to HTC Global? A. No, we don't. MR. ZEE: Thank you. Nothing further from ASHRAE. MR. REHN: Hello, this is Thane Rehn on behalf of the NFPA and I've got a few questions for	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with a triple key and if it's expensive, then most times customers come back to double key entry or sometimes they may even not do the double key entry, so it just depends. It's usually client's choice, not HTC's. Q. Did Public Resource ever provide any explanation to HTC Global as to why it elected to use the double key compare rather than triple key compare? A. Thought I recall in one of the communications there was the mention that we'll go with double key. I'm not sure if there was a reference to any reasoning behind it. Q. Were you aware in the did you ever scratch that. Did you ever receive instructions from Public Resource to treat the conversion process differently for any of the plaintiffs' standards that were provided to you? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Go ahead and answer if you can. A. Sorry, would you be able to repeat the question? I missed a few words. Q. I'll withdraw the question and restate it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	conversion process that it performed? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, form. Calls for a legal conclusion. MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. A. No, we haven't dealt with any of those organizations directly. Q. Did HTC Global ever receive any authorization from Public Resource regarding permissions from any of the plaintiffs: NFPA, ASTM or ASHRAE? MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form. A. No, we have not received any documentations to that regard from anybody. Q. Do you know how many of the plaintiffs' standards in total HTC Global would have converted on behalf of Public Resource? A. No. Q. Do you know how many of plaintiffs' standards were provided by Public Resource to HTC Global? A. No, we don't. MR. ZEE: Thank you. Nothing further from ASHRAE. MR. REHN: Hello, this is Thane Rehn on	

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 25 of 51

	90	92
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY MR. REHN: Q. I'd like to start by going back to this issue of the double keying the document. You said that generally the triple keying is a more accurate way of converting documents; right? A. Yes. Q. Is it the I believe you testified earlier that documents containing scientific or technical information are more difficult to convert accurately? MR. STOLTZ: Objection, misstates the testimony. A. Yes, scientific in the sense where there are formulae or there are mathematical equations, not the text part of it. Q. If there is does HTC Global generally perform triple keying on scientific or technical documents?	1 Q. Did Public Resource communicate to HTC Global that the 2 documents HTC Global was converting were going to be 3 released publicly? 4 A. I do not recall them specifically telling us that. 5 Q. Was HTC Global aware that the plan was for these documents to be released publicly? 7 A. Yeah, in general, knowing the nature of the customer, yeah. 9 Q. HTC Global did not receive any assurances from Public 10 Resource, let's just start with this document at issue here, the NEC.2011, that Public Resource was authorized to release it publicly? 11 MR. STOLTZ: Objection, asked and answered. 12 A. Yeah, we haven't received anything specific to that,
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 A. Generally not. Q. Why did HTC Global propose a triple keying on these documents, the standards at issue here? A. I believe that was initially requested by the client for for achieving the higher accuracy or initially they wanted to find at least the possibility of doing it with the cost, the cost estimate. Q. I'd like to go back to an exhibit that you looked at 	15 no. 16 Q. That applies to all of the standards from NFPA? 17 A. Yes. 18 MR. STOLTZ: Same objection. 19 Q. Is there an answer? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. As to all of the standards for just to confirm. As 22 to all of the standards from NFPA, there was no 23 communication from Public Resource that it was
	91	93
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	previously. I believe it was Exhibit 14. A. Okay. Q. I believe that's the one that contains an email from Carl Malamud to HTC delivery notification dated July 16th, 2012. A. Okay. MR. STOLTZ: No, that's not number 14, counselor. THE WITNESS: The bottom was probably. That's what it's referring to. MR. STOLTZ: Oh, excuse me, my mistake. Q. It is Exhibit 14? A. Yeah. Are we talking about 7:39 p m., July 16, 2012? Q. I believe so. I may be looking at this is the one that it's an email from Carl Malamud says, thanks. Do you think the last piece will come in?	1 MR. STOLTZ: Same objection. 2 A. That's correct, we don't have any specific 3 communication in that respect. 4 Q. And you also don't have any communication suggesting 5 that Public Resource was authorized to make copies of these documents? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. And did HTC Global communicate with NFPA regarding any 9 authorizations to make copies of these documents? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did HTC Global receive or attempt to receive any permission from NFPA to make copies of these documents? 13 documents? 14 A. No.

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 26 of 51

	94		96
		,	
	Q. You discussed earlier the document retention policies and practices and with respect to the standards you	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	verbal authorization on the record. And you say yes? MR. REHN: Yes.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	converted for Public Resource you didn't maintain	3	THE COURT REPORTER: And Mr. Zee?
4	copies of those standards either in the form Public	4	MR. ZEE: Yes, we will be.
5	Resource sent to you or in the converted form; is that	5	THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Stoltz?
6	right?	6	MR. STOLTZ: Yes.
7	A. That's correct.	7	THE COURT REPORTER: And do you need a
8	Q. And is that consistent with HTC Global's common	8	copy?
9	practice?	9	MR. COUTILISH: Yes, we will need a copy.
10	A. Yes.	10	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
11	Q. Common practice is not to maintain copies either of	11	(A brief recess was taken.)
12	the documents you receive or the documents you	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at
13	transmit back to clients?	12	12:02.
14	A. Exactly.	13	EXAMINATION
15	Q. And is it consistent with HTC Global's common	14	BY MR. STOLTZ:
	practice	15	Q. Thank you for your time today, Mr. Bhutada.
16	not to conduct any inquiry or discussion regarding the	16	A. Thank you.
17	copyright status of the works received from clients?	17	Q. I just have a few questions.
18	A. Yes.	18	In general what types of documents does
19	Q. Do you know what the reasons that practice is?	19	do the teams that you supervise digitize?
20	A. Usually the clients are the ones who get the content,	20	A. Well, the documents vary really. They go into
21	they deal with the copyrights and we have no means of	21	manuscripts, newspapers, manuals, microfilms,
22	knowing what copyrights clients have or what they	22	microfiche, scan images, all over the map, land
23	don't. Clients deal with that aspect typically.	23	records.
24	Q. It's your understanding that the client maintains the	24	Q. Are some of the documents that your teams digitize
25	responsibility for assuring that it has the	25	technical documents?
	95	1	97
1 2	appropriate copyright permission?A. Yes.	1 2	A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical
	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes.	2	A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents?
2	appropriate copyright permission?	2 3	A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your
2	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply	2	A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents?
3	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with	2 3 4	A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your
2 3 4	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law?	2 3 4 5	A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection,
2 3 4 5 6	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence.
2 3 4 5 6	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that
2 3 4 5 6	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No. MR. STOLTZ: Just like to note for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical specifications of the work that we did for public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No. MR. STOLTZ: Just like to note for the record that's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical specifications of the work that we did for public relations, not not necessarily the documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No. MR. STOLTZ: Just like to note for the record that's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) so well, just like to note that for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical specifications of the work that we did for public relations, not not necessarily the documents specified by Public Resource.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No. MR. STOLTZ: Just like to note for the record that's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) so well, just like to note that for the record. MR. REHN: I think that's all the questions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical specifications of the work that we did for public relations, not not necessarily the documents specified by Public Resource. Q. And I'm sorry, when you said public relations, did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No. MR. STOLTZ: Just like to note for the record that's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) so well, just like to note that for the record. MR. REHN: I think that's all the questions that I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical specifications of the work that we did for public relations, not not necessarily the documents specified by Public Resource. Q. And I'm sorry, when you said public relations, did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No. MR. STOLTZ: Just like to note for the record that's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) so well, just like to note that for the record. MR. REHN: I think that's all the questions that I have. MR. STOLTZ: I have some questions, but if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical specifications of the work that we did for public relations, not not necessarily the documents specified by Public Resource. Q. And I'm sorry, when you said public relations, did you mean to say Public Resource?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No. MR. STOLTZ: Just like to note for the record that's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) so well, just like to note that for the record. MR. REHN: I think that's all the questions that I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical specifications of the work that we did for public relations, not not necessarily the documents specified by Public Resource. Q. And I'm sorry, when you said public relations, did you mean to say Public Resource? A. I'm sorry, Public Resource, yes, that was a mistake.
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No. MR. STOLTZ: Just like to note for the record that's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) so well, just like to note that for the record. MR. REHN: I think that's all the questions that I have. MR. STOLTZ: I have some questions, but if folks don't mind, I'd like to take a break.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical specifications of the work that we did for public relations, not not necessarily the documents specified by Public Resource. Q. And I'm sorry, when you said public relations, did you mean to say Public Resource? A. I'm sorry, Public Resource, yes, that was a mistake. PRO, that's Public.Resource.Org.
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No. MR. STOLTZ: Just like to note for the record that's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) so well, just like to note that for the record. MR. REHN: I think that's all the questions that I have. MR. STOLTZ: I have some questions, but if folks don't mind, I'd like to take a break. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 11:53. THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Zee, Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical specifications of the work that we did for public relations, not not necessarily the documents specified by Public Resource. Q. And I'm sorry, when you said public relations, did you mean to say Public Resource, yes, that was a mistake. PRO, that's Public.Resource.Org. Q. Do your teams digitize documents that contain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	appropriate copyright permission? A. Yes. Q. You would expect a client of HTC Global to comply with applicable copyright law? A. Yes. Q. Has HTC Global had any communications with Public Resource about this lawsuit since it was filed? A. No. Q. Has HTC Global been involved ever been involved in litigation regarding copyright of other documents it has converted or copied for other clients? A. No. MR. STOLTZ: Just like to note for the record that's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) so well, just like to note that for the record. MR. REHN: I think that's all the questions that I have. MR. STOLTZ: I have some questions, but if folks don't mind, I'd like to take a break. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 11:53.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Can you clarify what do you mean by technical documents? Q. Would you characterize some of the work that your teams do as digitizing technical documents? MR. COUTILISH: Please define objection, please define the word technical in the context of your sentence. Q. Well, earlier you characterized the documents that were digitized for Public Resource as technical documents so in the sense that you were using that word before, would you characterize other work that you have done for other clients as technical documents? A. Oh, maybe what I was referring to as the technical documents was more to do with the technical specifications of the work that we did for public relations, not not necessarily the documents specified by Public Resource. Q. And I'm sorry, when you said public relations, did you mean to say Public Resource? A. I'm sorry, Public Resource, yes, that was a mistake. PRO, that's Public.Resource.Org.

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 27 of 51

980	100
1 Q. Do your teams digitize documents that contain 2 scientific information? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Do your teams digitize documents that are government 5 records? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do your teams digitize documents that you would characterize as legal documents? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Do your teams digitize documents for which accuracy is 11 important? 12 MR. FEE: Objection, vague.	1 Q. So if a reviewer uses OCR, does the reviewer correct 2 any errors made by the OCR software? 3 MR. FEE: Objection, lack of foundation. 4 A. It depends. It depends on the nature of the 5 correction, the extent of the correction. If it's a 6 small correction, they have an ability to do it; if 7 it's a major correction, they would pass it back to 8 the data entry group. 9 Q. In such a case what would the data entry group do? 10 A. They would refer it back to they would look at the 11 type of the problem, whether it's related to the 12 image, if HTC is doing the scanning, in instances they 13 may try and rescan the image. If it's sent by the 14 customer, they would flag it as an exception to the
13 A. Yes. 14 Q. In your experience is it typical to use double keying 15 for technical documents, as you've used that term? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Is it typical in your experience to use double keying 18 for documents with scientific information? 19 A. I would say yes with a qualification. It usually 20 depends on the client, the budgets the clients have 21 available to convert and what kind of accuracy they're 22 looking at. 23 Q. About what proportion of your digitizing work of the 24 teams that you supervise use double keying?	15 customer and send it back to the customer with the 16 problem. 17 Q. And the process that you've just described to me, was 18 that the process that HTC used for Public Resource? 19 MR. FEE: Objection, form. 20 A. That's correct. Yes. Basically there are multiple 21 processes depending on the type of content and we 22 described those in the document, which was there, 23 which we shared earlier, double key and compare entry. 24 As you see, there is a description there by each 25 stream of work so those are the work stream 1, 2 and
99	101
 very large percentage. It's not more than 50 I wouldn't say it is more than 50 percent. Q. I'm sorry, 50, 5-0? A. Yeah. Q. About what proportion of your work is triple keying? A. Very little if at all. Very, very small, small percentage. Q. What proportion of your work uses a single person to key or OCR? A. Majority of the work is usually scanning OCR and QC. 	1 3. 2 Q. So I'm speaking now about the work that HTC did for my 3 client, Public Resource. 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. Did in the course of that project when OCR was used, did a reviewer check the results of the OCR process for accuracy? 8 MR. FEE: Objection, vague. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. If I could refer you back to the document that was
More than 50 percent of the work would be without without double key or triple key entry. Q. If OCR is used I'm sorry, strike that. If a reviewer uses OCR on a source page, is it typical for that reviewer to compare the results of the OCR against the image? A. Yes. Q. If a reviewer uses OCR, is it typical for the reviewer to also manually key a portion of the page? A. It depends. If there are small corrections, if the volume of corrections is small, they would correct it. If it is the entire page needs to be keyed in, then it would be passed onto a different to a different group, it would not be the reviewer himself or herself.	11 labeled 15. 12 Would you say this document describes a 13 process of creating HTML pages? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. In your experience when using HTML, can there be more 16 than one way to format a particular set of text? 17 MR. FEE: Objection, beyond the scope of 18 the notice. 19 Q. You can answer. 20 A. I didn't understand the question correctly. 21 Q. Well, withdraw the question and I'll ask I'll ask 22 this. 23 You've had a chance to read this email? 24 A. Yes.

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55; Filed 11/13/19 Page 28 of 51

	,			
	102		104	
1	concerns the formatting of pages?	1	the witness is speaking for the corporation.	
2	A. Yes.	2	Okay, that's all I have.	
3	Q. Would you say the discussion in this document	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
	concerns	4	MR. STOLTZ: Thank you, sir.	
4	the accuracy of the text?	5	MR. FEE: I have a few more follow-ups in	
5	MR. FEE: Objection to form.	6	light of all that.	
6	A. Some part of the discussion, yes.	7	REEXAMINATION	
7	Q. In general, speaking now in general, when the teams	8	BY MR. FEE:	
8	that you supervise are digitizing documents for a	9	Q. If accuracy is very important to a client, then it	
9	customer and the customer alerts you to a mistake,	10	would be better to use triple keying than double	
10	what is HTC's typical response?	11	keying; correct?	
11	A. HTC would typically look back at the content, go back	12	A. Yes, accuracy and the cost, as I said, are the two	
12	to our content conversion team, look at the content,	13	parameters which typically determine determine the	
13	check whether it is to do with the source source of	14	reason for determine the approach.	
14	the image or is it somewhere around in the work flow	15	Q. So if accuracy is important to the client, they should	
15	if there is an error, if it's an operator error or a	16	use triple keying?	
16	machine error or whatever or if the filename is missed	17	MR. COUTILISH: Objection, asked and	
17	out, we would analyze that.	18	answered. Answer again. You don't have to change	
18	Q. And if you find the problem to be an error, what do	19	your answer just because the question's asked a second	
19	you do?	20 21	time.	
20	A. We would correct the batch and resend it to the	22	A. Yeah, it's the same answer. Q. So what's the answer?	
21	client.	23	A. It depends on the I mean, there are two parameters	
22	Q. Would your team note that error so as to avoid	24	which determine what should be done, the cost of the	
23	repeating it in the future?	25	page and the and whether it's triple key, double	
24	A. Yes.		page and the and whether it's triple key, dodole	
	103		105	
1	correct them?			
1		1	key or single key, it all depends.	
1 2	MR. FEE: Objection, vague.	1 2	key or single key, it all depends. O. And if accuracy is the most important consideration,	
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. FEE: Objection, vague. A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors	ı	Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration,	
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	MR. FEE: Objection, vague. A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to	2		
3	A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors	2 3	Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key?	
3 4	A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to	2 3 4	Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key?A. Yes.	
3 4 5	A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones	2 3 4	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? 	
3 4 5 6	A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about.Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of 	
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. 	
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about.Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. Q. If you'll note at the top of that email where it says 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? Q. You can answer. 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. Q. If you'll note at the top of that email where it says there was some missing pages where the sheet feeder 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? Q. You can answer. A. It's about 4,000. 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. Q. If you'll note at the top of that email where it says there was some missing pages where the sheet feeder skipped, I've put bluebook rev1.pdf on the server that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? Q. You can answer. A. It's about 4,000. Q. And if we go back to the error rate or the accuracy 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. Q. If you'll note at the top of that email where it says there was some missing pages where the sheet feeder skipped, I've put bluebook rev1.pdf on the server that has all the pages. Did your teams having received 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? Q. You can answer. A. It's about 4,000. Q. And if we go back to the error rate or the accuracy rate that's identified in Exhibit 2, you told me that 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. Q. If you'll note at the top of that email where it says there was some missing pages where the sheet feeder skipped, I've put bluebook rev1.pdf on the server that has all the pages. Did your teams having received this email use the version that Mr. Malamud referred 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? Q. You can answer. A. It's about 4,000. Q. And if we go back to the error rate or the accuracy rate that's identified in Exhibit 2, you told me that you'd expect 49 errors in 10,000 based on this 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. Q. If you'll note at the top of that email where it says there was some missing pages where the sheet feeder skipped, I've put bluebook rev1.pdf on the server that has all the pages. Did your teams having received this email use the version that Mr. Malamud referred to on the server? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? Q. You can answer. A. It's about 4,000. Q. And if we go back to the error rate or the accuracy rate that's identified in Exhibit 2, you told me that you'd expect 49 errors in 10,000 based on this percentage; right? 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. Q. If you'll note at the top of that email where it says there was some missing pages where the sheet feeder skipped, I've put bluebook rev1.pdf on the server that has all the pages. Did your teams having received this email use the version that Mr. Malamud referred to on the server? MR. FEE: Objection, lack of foundation. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? Q. You can answer. A. It's about 4,000. Q. And if we go back to the error rate or the accuracy rate that's identified in Exhibit 2, you told me that you'd expect 49 errors in 10,000 based on this percentage; right? A. Yeah, that's correct. 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. Q. If you'll note at the top of that email where it says there was some missing pages where the sheet feeder skipped, I've put bluebook rev1.pdf on the server that has all the pages. Did your teams having received this email use the version that Mr. Malamud referred to on the server? MR. FEE: Objection, lack of foundation. A. I would imagine so. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? Q. You can answer. A. It's about 4,000. Q. And if we go back to the error rate or the accuracy rate that's identified in Exhibit 2, you told me that you'd expect 49 errors in 10,000 based on this percentage; right? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. And so every two and a half pages approximately, 49 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. Q. If you'll note at the top of that email where it says there was some missing pages where the sheet feeder skipped, I've put bluebook rev1.pdf on the server that has all the pages. Did your teams having received this email use the version that Mr. Malamud referred to on the server? MR. FEE: Objection, lack of foundation. A. I would imagine so. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they did not? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? Q. You can answer. A. It's about 4,000. Q. And if we go back to the error rate or the accuracy rate that's identified in Exhibit 2, you told me that you'd expect 49 errors in 10,000 based on this percentage; right? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. And so every two and a half pages approximately, 49 errors on an average page of 4,000 per page? 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, in general that would be the stance is any errors that are there, we would correct and send it back to the client. I do not know about the specific ones you're talking about. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that any errors pointed out by Mr. Malamud were not corrected by your team? A. No. Q. And if I could direct your attention to the document, the exhibit that was labeled 13. A. Yeah. Q. If you'll note at the top of that email where it says there was some missing pages where the sheet feeder skipped, I've put bluebook rev1.pdf on the server that has all the pages. Did your teams having received this email use the version that Mr. Malamud referred to on the server? MR. FEE: Objection, lack of foundation. A. I would imagine so. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And if accuracy is the most important consideration, then you would use triple key? A. Yes. Q. Do you know how many characters appear on a common page? MR. COUTILISH: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Let me withdraw it. On an average page how many characters are there? MR. COUTILISH: Same objection. What is an average page? Q. You can answer. A. It's about 4,000. Q. And if we go back to the error rate or the accuracy rate that's identified in Exhibit 2, you told me that you'd expect 49 errors in 10,000 based on this percentage; right? A. Yeah, that's correct. Q. And so every two and a half pages approximately, 49 	

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 29 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014

_	Dilutada, Vik	1	11 00 2011
	106		108
1 2 3	tolerance Q. Okay. A level.	1 2 3	quality assurance check on their end. So the customers, after the data entry is completed, they do quality assurance as well.
4 5	Q. So that's the level that's still deemed to be acceptable?	4 5	Q. In your experience do some customers opt for double keying and employ other quality assurance mechanisms
6	A. That's permissible, yeah.	6	on their end?
7 8	Q. Okay. So in a two and a half page document, if it has an average of 4,000 characters per page, you would	7 8	A. It is rare. I haven't seen anyone employing people specifically to do that, but if they have employees
9	expect there to be 49 or not expect 49 mistakes	9	who are usually clients with quality assurance group,
10	would be within the tolerance? A. Yes.	10 11	they would do it internally, they choose to depending on the sensitivity of the document and the specific
12	MR. STOLTZ: Objection to form.	12	fields in the document.
13	Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of regarding any	13 14	MR. STOLTZ: Okay, nothing further. Thank you.
14	efforts to correct problems that were identified by	15	THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.
15 16	Mr. Malamud? MR. COUTILISH: Are you asking him or	16 17	MR. FEE: I have nothing else. MR. COUTILISH: Thank you.
	HTC?	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the
17 18	MR. FEE: I'm just asking what he personally knows.	19	deposition and we are off the record at 12:23.
19	MR. COUTILISH: Okay.	20 21	(Discussion held off the record.) (Deposition concluded at 12:23 p m.)
20	A. I would I personally wouldn't know, but in general	22	* * *
21 22	HTC would always correct errors identified by the clients.	23 24	
23	Q. During the course of your investigation in connection	25	
24	with this deposition, did you learn any information		
	107		109
1 2	identified by Mr. Malamud and corrective action was taken by HTC?	1 2	State of Michigan) County of Genesee)
3	A. I haven't noticed anything of that sort, but there is	3	Certificate of Notary Public
4	nothing which also says that the errors were not	4	I do hereby certify that the witness, whose testimony
5 6	corrected. MR. FEE: I have no other questions.	5	was taken in the above-entitled matter, was first duly sworn
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	6	to tell the truth; the testimony contained herein was
8	MR. STOLTZ: I have one additional	7 8	reduced to writing in the presence of the witness by means of stenography; afterwards transcribed; and is a true and
9 10	question. MR. FEE: These guys might want to go	9	complete transcript of the testimony given by the witness.
11	first.	10 11	I further certify that I am not connected by blood or marriage with any of the parties; their attorneys or agents;
12 13	Thane or Andrew, do you have anything? MR. REHN: I have nothing further, no.	12	and that I am not interested, directly, indirectly or
14	MR. ZEE: And the same here, nothing	13 14	financially, in the matter of controversy. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my
15	further for me.	15	hand this 14th day of November, 2014.
16 17	MR. FEE: Okay. MR. STOLTZ: I'm sorry, two additional	16 17	
18	questions.	1 /	
19 20	THE WITNESS: Sure. REEXAMINATION	18	Legnatta M. Fallon, CDD/DMD/CLD/CSD 22/7
21	BY MR. STOLTZ:	19	Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CLR/CSR-3267 Certified Realtime Reporter
22	Q. Are double keying and triple keying the only way that	20	Registered Merit Reporter
23 24	a client can assure the accuracies of a digitization project?	21 22	Certified LiveNote Reporter Certified Shorthand Reporter
	project:		
25	A. There are additional means. Customers also do a	23 24	Notary Public, Genesee, Michigan Acting in Oakland County, Michigan

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 30 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 1

	ras		
1	12-point 62:9	2014 1:21 6:2,10	48098 2:12
1 4:19 6:4,5	13 5:13	109:15	49 36:18
11:21,22 12:9,14 14:12,21,22	79:18,19,22	202.739.5215 2:4	37:5,11,13,18,23
61:16,20	103:12	21st 83:9	38:23,24 70:12 105:18,21 106:9
66:18,24 100:25	14 5:14 55:14,15 75:1 81:5,6,10	220 2:12	103.16,21 100.9
1,000 36:15	91:1,7,12	22nd 78:9	5
37:6,7,25	14-page 55:12	2300 3:7	5 1:21 5:5 6:2
1/2/13 5:13	14th 109:15	248.375.1000 2:13	22:14 56:5,7,20 75:12
1:13-CV-01215-	15 5:15 9:24 10:2	25 63:8	50 5:5 56:9
EGS 1:14 6:7	55:13,16	26 64:22 76:7	99:1,2,3,11
10 5:10 9:24 10:2 74:23,24	83:2,3,12,16	27th 3:3 35:24	5-0 99:3
10,000	101:11	2nd 79:21	55 76:11
37:5,11,13,25	16 91:13		56 5:5 57:2
70:13 105:18	16th 81:15 91:5	3	560 3:3
10/22/13 5:12	17th 66:5 81:8	3 4:21 36:17 39:23,24	5700 2:12
10:27 55:25	1900 1:23	40:11,13,19,23	5th 6:10
10:36 56:3	1982 16:8	41:6,9,15	3th 0.10
100 37:17 38:12	1995 18:9,10	67:6,23 101:1	6
39:3,4		3/14/13 5:10	6 5:6 60:13,14,17
101 3:7	2 4:20 35:22,23	3:06 78:9	6,000 22:16
104 4:11	83:19 100:25	30(b)(6 95:14	6:54 81:8
107 4:12	105:17	30th 56:9	60 5:6
11 4:19 5:11 12:18	2/25/12 5:7	35 4:20	63 5:7
17:19 76:4,5	2:46 76:7	39 4:22	64 5:8
11/9/12 5:6	20 54:17		65 4:20 5:15 36:1
11:17 79:9	2000 1:23 20:24	4 4 4:23 12:14 14:21	83:11
11:19 79:12	20004 2:3	47:22,23 48:4	66 5:9
11:21 79:21	2010 19:19 35:24	61:18	
11:29 63:8	2011 64:22 81:24	4,000 105:15,22	7 7 4:7 5:7 63:5,6,9
11:36 75:1	83:10	106:8	84:11
11:53 95:20	2012 60:16 63:8	415.318.1200 3:8	7:39 81:16 91:13
111 2:3	66:5 76:7 81:8 91:5,13	415.436.9333 2:8	74 5:10
12 5:12 14:12	20120825 76:18	415.512.4000 3:4	76 5:11
78:6,7 79:4	20120826 76:12	44 5:14 81:9	78 5:12
12:02 96:12	2012 56:9 75:1	46 5:9 66:6	79 5:13
12:23 108:19,21	78:9 79:1,21	47 4:24	17 3.13

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 31 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 2

	accepted 38:17	agents 109:11	89:12
8 5.9 64.19 10 24	account 19:15	ago 17:13	anyone 9:1 10:4
8 5:8 64:18,19,24 65:2	accuracies 107:23	agreement	108:7
8/26/12 5:11	accuracy 35:11	28:13,23,24	anything 10:14
81 5:14	36:9,13,16,18,22	29:3,10,20 30:4	18:5 24:1 25:6 27:13 38:17
815 2:7	37:1,17 38:9,16,18,25	agreements 27:20	41:9,13 42:17
83 5:15	41:14	ahead 30:8 52:21 73:9 87:19	44:4,20 47:4
	69:6,16,17,23		52:1,13 62:6,12 68:4 72:14
850 22:18	70:7 90:22	Air 1:10 7:2	73:5,7 78:16
86 4:8	98:10,21 101:7 102:4	alerted 102:25	85:4,6 92:14
9	104:9,12,15	alerts 102:9	107:3,12
9 5:9 17:13 60:16	105:2,16	alliances 18:16	apologies 78:17
66:2,3,7	accurate 33:19	already 19:21 30:17 44:21 61:5	appear 62:5 105:5
9:11 1:22 6:10	34:21 35:2 39:6	69:22	appearing
9:28 35:24	69:11 90:5	altered	2:5,10,14
90 4:9	accurately 41:6 90:10	26:14,16,17	3:2,5,7,10 6:22 7:7
90s 17:14	achieve 36:16,18	am 109:10,12	appears 49:13
9-19-18 109:25	37:17 70:7	American 1:4,9	applicable 95:4
94 5:8 64:23	achieving 90:22	7:1	application 22:8
94105 3:3,8	Acting 109:24	amount 50:25	applies 92:16
94109 2:8	action 107:1	analyze 102:17	appreciate 78:15
95 69:11	actual 38:25	Andrew 3:7 6:25	approach 20:5,8
96 4:10	actually 25:23	86:15,16 107:12	34:22 104:14
99 37:25 69:11,24	29:25 32:21	answer 8:12 9:13	appropriate 27:16
99.51 37:20	43:21 67:19 78:13 79:15	30:8 41:22 45:11 53:22 54:21,22	95:1
38:8,15,18		57:20 69:22	approximately
	added 57:5	73:9,12 84:4	105:21
A	addition 62:4	86:4,12 87:19 92:19 101:19	area 17:20
a.m 1:22 6:10 35:24 63:8 75:1	additional 12:19 79:3 86:17	104:18,19,21,22	aren't 45:15
79:21 81:8	107:8,17,25	105:14	arrived 36:22
ability 100:6	address 15:7,10	answered 30:8	article 78:1
able 36:25 86:12	56:13,15 58:18	53:21 69:22 73:9 92:13 104:18	articles 26:8
87:20	60:21		ASCII 50:11 77:3
above-entitled	adequately 15:7	answer's 8:14	ASHRAE 3:10
109:5	afterwards 109:8	anybody 10:7 11:5,8,16 29:24	44:4,24 45:8
acceptable 106:5	against 99:16	71:9 77:12 82:6	51:7,13 55:3 59:23,25 61:14
			J).23,23 01.17

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 32 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 3

	1 αξ)	
62:1 63:17 67:4	attempt 93:11	30:5,10,13,21	begins 6:4
82:9 86:17	attempted 12:25	32:15,17 33:13	behalf 2:5,10,14
88:21,25 89:9,21	•	39:15 40:8	3:5,10
ASHRAE's 87:24	attend 16:12,15	41:13,16 45:13	6:18,20,23 7:1,5
	attending 16:17	46:3,19,20 47:8	11:16 15:4 44:23
aspect 94:23	attention 79:25	53:10 55:2,4	45:2 51:14 58:2
aspects 27:11,12	81:13 83:15	62:13 64:9,12	82:2,7 85:10
86:24	103:11	68:4 72:20 73:18	89:14,23
associated 6:14	attorney-client	78:21 79:14,16	behind 87:12
Association 1:8	9:15	80:9,18,19 85:8,11 87:13	believe 14:8 30:7
7:6		92:5	48:20 56:25
assume 49:3 57:8	attorneys 109:11		77:22 78:2 79:1
71:5	Auditor 17:11	azee@kslaw.com	86:20 90:8,21
	August 35:24 76:7	3:9	91:1,3,14
assumes 82:11	79:1		103:7,22
assurance	authored 47:2	<u>B</u>	believed 91:25
33:17,21,25	76:15	bachelor's 16:21	
34:5,8 35:4	authorization 89:7	background	Besides 10:16 11:4
44:21 55:11	96:1	16:1,10 31:14	17:4 22:4 30:17
65:10		71:3,10	85:8
108:1,3,5,9	authorizations	backgrounds	best 11:16 14:10
assurances 92:9	93:9	71:15	15:17 22:2 60:2
assure 107:23	authorized	bad 42:6,12	71:1
assuring 94:25	92:12,24 93:5	Bahrain 17:19	better 104:10
	auto 59:15,16		beyond 101:17
ASTM 1:5 2:5 6:6,18	automatically	based 29:6 38:7	Bhutada 1:20 4:5
44:4,10,23 45:2	25:25	43:9 54:12 69:2	6:5 7:8,18 96:15
46:17 47:2	Automotive 17:18	70:2,8,15	, and the second
51:7,13 55:3		82:3,21 105:18	B-H-U-T-A-D-A
57:11,17	available	basically 24:4,24	7:20
58:6,20,23 59:20	24:6,21,22 26:9 32:23 38:13	25:18 26:9	bit 66:23
60:3,6 61:2,14	46:10 98:21	100:20	bitonal 49:19
62:1 63:17 67:4		batch 70:5,6,9	blood 109:10
68:15 71:22	Avenue 2:3	78:18,22 102:20	
72:23,25	average 37:24	Bates 4:20	blue 63:20 64:1
73:2,4,5,6,10,11,	38:9,25	5:5,8,9,14,15	bluebook.rev1.pdf
12,15,17 82:8,14	105:10,13,22	35:25 56:8 64:23	103:16
87:24 88:18,19 89:9	106:8	66:5 81:8 83:10	board 31:12
	avoid 102:22	84:7	BOCKIUS 2:2
ASTM's 15:19	aware 19:17,20,23	became 19:17,23	book 53:25
46:21 72:24	22:24 23:14 24:1	30:5	
attachment	26:22 27:5,9,25	become 19:20,21	border 62:25
12:9,14 14:22	28:9	30:10	borders 62:12,13
83:10	29:2,7,17,23		bottom 12:15

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 33 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 4

S5:21,23 79:7 95:19				
break 39:20 55:21,23 79:7 95:19 brief 9:24 56:1 79:10 96:11 briefed 20:3 briefly 15:25 bring 26:1 31:12 Broadly 31:5 budgets 98:20 building 61:20,25 82:21,23 business 10:8,9 13:5 18:3,11 25 20:1,4,7,11,16,2 12 1:2,9,14 25 20:1,4,7,11,16,2 12 1:2,9,14 38:6 48:15 businesses 22:5,6 CA 2:8 3:3,8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 Capital 6:12,14 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 Carl@media.org 35:24,37:12 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 35:18 37:24 42:14 44/2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 Charries 31:26 Chenter 1:23 capacities 31:24 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 35:18 37:24 42:14 44/2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 Chemical 21:2 capacities 31:24 Carl 44:2 45:16 Carl 41:10:09 Chemical 21:2 Carl 44:2 45:16 Carl 41:10:09 Chemical 21:2 Carl 55:7,11,18 choice 87:4 choose 108:10 circle 74:11,18 choice 87:4 Cishose 108:10 circle 74:11,18 circumstances 27:7 84:24 Cisha 7:11 clarity 28:1 33:11 clarity 28:1 33:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 28:1 33:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 28:1 33:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clarity 49:22	I	cases 43:11 82:24		collection 13:9
Cartified 17:11 Cartified 17:12 Cartify 19:24 Served 19:14, 73:14 Capital 6:12, 14 Capital 6:12, 14 Carl 11:11, 17 57:1 Carl@media.org a served 2:13 Cartified 3:24 Capital 6:12, 14 Carl 11:11, 17 57:1 Carl@media.org a served 2:13 Capital 6:12, 14 Carl 23:13 Cartified 3:24 Capital 6:12, 14 Carl 23:13 Cartified 3:12 Cartified 3:15 Cartified 3:15 Cartified 3:15 Cartified 3:15 Cartified 3:20 Cartified 17:11 Carl@media.org a 3:42 35:25 Cartified 3:13 Cartified 3:12 Cartified 3:13 Cartified 3:13 Cartified 3:15 Cartified 3:12 Cartified 3:12 Cartified 3:12 Cartified 3:13 Cartified 3:15 Cartified	83:16 84:12 91:9	catch 36:24	31:25	college 16:5
Design		55:7,11,18	choice 87:4	COLUMBIA 1:2
brief 9:24 56:1 79:10 96:11 briefed 20:3 briefly 15:25 bring 26:1 31:12 Broadly 31:5 budgets 98:20 building 61:20,25 82:21,23 business 10:8,9 13:5 18:3,11 19:1,2,3,4,13,19, 25 20:1,4,7,11,16,2 12:2,9,14 22:9,12 23:19 38:6 48:15 businesses 22:5,6 CA 2:8 3:3,8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 CA 2ital 6:12,14 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 35:8 37:24 d-4:14 44:2 45:16 d-47:2 64:5 70:2 chillenges 21:7 common 80:22,24 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 clear 43:16 clear 37:14 clearity 28:1 33:11 clearity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 clear 33:4 69:		caveats 66:22,23	choose 108:10	column 36:13
Total price Certainly Total price Ce		Center 1:23	circle 74:11,18	48:19 49:6,10
briefed 20:3 briefly 15:25 bring 26:1 31:12 Broadly 31:5 budgets 98:20 building 61:20,25 82:21,23 business 10:8,9 13:5 18:3,11 19:1,2,3,4,13,19, 25 20:1,4,7,11,1,6,2 121:29,14 22:9,12 23:19 38:6 48:15 businesses 22:5,6 CA 2:8 3:3,8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 Capital 6:12,14 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 Carl@media.org 35:25 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 43:58 837:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 creffication 17:8,12 certification 17:8,12 certification 17:8,12 claim 73:11 clarify 28:1 33:11 clarify 49:22 clean 43:16 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clear 27:14 50:7 clear 33:4 69:20 75:18 52:14 59:10 69:10,12 70:3,5 86:25 90:21 94:24 95:3 98:20 101:3 98:20 101:3 104:9 108:9 108:9 108:9 108:9 108:9 108:9 108:9 109:25 common 80:22,24 81:1 94:8,11,15 communicate 77:12 clear 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 109:25 communicate 109:25 communicate 20:93:8 communicated 77:12 communicating 109:25 communicate 109:25 communicate 109:25 communicate 21:10 common 80:22,24 81:1 94:8,11,15 communicate 77:12 communication 15:12,15 27:4 31:16 33:11 34:17 39:18 47:4 66:12 10:23 109:25 communicate 77:12 communication 15:12,15 27:4 31:16 33:11 34:17 39:18 47:4 109:19,3 109:25 common 80:22,24 81:194:8,11,15 communicate 77:12 communication 15:12,15 27:4 31:16 33:11 34:17 39:18 47:26 clear 43:16 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 09:19,21,22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 09:19-25 communicate 77:12 communication 15:12,15 27:4 31:16 33:11 34:17 39:18 47:26 clear 43:16 clearity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 70:3,5 86:25 90:21 94:24 95:3 98:20 101:3 98:20 106:23 98:30 101:3 104:91:91:91:91:91:91:91:91:91:91:91:91:91:		certainly 73:2		combination 71:12
briefly 15:25 bring 26:1 31:12 Broadly 31:5 budgets 98:20 building 61:20,25 82:21,23 building 61:20,25 82:21,23 business 10:8,9 13:5 18:3,11 19:1,2,3,4,13,19, 25 20:1,4,7,11,16,2 1 21:2,9,14 22:9,12 23:19 38:6 48:15 CA 2:8 3:3,8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 Capital 6:12,14 Capital 6:12,14 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carried 33:16 carried 33:16 carried 33:17 carried 33:17 carried 33:17 carried 33:17 carried 33:18 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:15 carried 33:15 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15 carried 33:12 carried 33:15	briefed 20:3			coming 78:18
bring 26:1 31:12 Broadly 31:5 budgets 98:20 building 61:20,25 82:21,23 business 10:8,9 13:5 18:3,11 19:1,2,3,4,13,19, 25 20:1,4,7,11,16,2 12:2,9,14 22:9,12 23:19 38:6 48:15 businesses 22:5,6 CA 2:8 3:3,8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 Capital 6:12,14 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carried 33:24 carried 33:15 carried 34:14 carried 34:16 carried 34:16 carried 34:16 carried 34:16 carried 34:16 carried 34:16 carried 34:14 carried 34:16 carried 34:14	briefly 15:25			command 21:10
Broadly 31:5 budgets 98:20 building 61:20,25 82:21,23 business 10:8,9 13:5 18:3,11 19:1,2,3,4,13,19, 25 20:1,4,7,11,16,2 12:2,9,14 22:9,12 23:19 38:6 48:15 businesses 22:5,6 CA 2:8 3:3,8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 Capital 6:12,14 Capital 6:12,14 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 35:8 37:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 certifications 17:5 certifications 17:5 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 97:1 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 97:10 97:1 clarity 49:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 97:14 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 97:14 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 97:14 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 109:19,21,23 clear 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 109:25 common 80:22,24 81:1 94:8,11,15 105:5 communicate 77:12 communicated 77:12 communication 15:12,15 27:4 31:16 33:11 34:17 39:18 47: 35:16,17,19 36:15,17,19 36:15,17,19 37:6,14,19,23 47:15 48:17,20 50:2 61:11 70:13 105:5,10 106:8 chart 47:23 48:23 chart 27:14 50:7 challenges 21:7 challenges 21:7 challenges 21:7 challenges 21:7 challenges 21:7 challenges 21:7 chart 29:19 communicated 77:12 communicated 77:12 communicated 77:12 communicated 77:12 communication 15:12,15 27:4 31:16 66:14 67:22 31:16 communicated 77:12 27:10,16 39:12 31:12,49:3 31:1				commerce 71:13
budgets 98:20 building 61:20,25 82:21,23 certified 17:11 109:19,21,22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 19:1,2,3,4,13,19, 25 chain 21:10 65:13 80:1 83:20 chain 21:10 65:13 80:1 80:1 83:20 chain 21:10 65:13 80:1 83:20 chain 21:10 65:13 80:1 80:20 74:11 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clear 33:4 69:20 77:12 communicate 92: 93:8 communicate 77:12 communication 15:12,15 27:4 31:16 33:11 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 77:14 character 42:9 77:3,11 98:8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 Capital 6:12,14 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carried 33:15 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 35:8 37:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 chain 21:10 65:13 109:19,21,22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clear 33:4 69:20 75:18 52:14 70:3,5 86:25 90:21 94:24 95:3 98:20 101:3 102:1 103:5 104:91:94:24 9:22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 clear 33:4 69:20 76:18 52:14 77:12 70:3,5 86:25 90:21 94:24 95:3 98:20 101:3 102:19 103:5 104:21 93:24 98:20 101:3 104:9,15 107:23 80:16,24 68:14 80:16,20 88:2 97:3,11 98:8 80:16,20 88:2 97:3,11 98:8 80:16,20 88:2 99:19,11,18 23:1 27:13,11,18 23:1 27:13,11,18 23:1 27:13,01:13 4:1 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27	o o	ŕ	•	Commission
building 61:20,25 82:21,23 business 10:8,9 13:5 18:3,11 19:1,2,3,4,13,19, 25 20:1,4,7,11,16,2 1 21:2,9,14 22:9,12 23:19 38:6 48:15 businesses 22:5,6 CA 2:8 3:3,8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 Capital 6:12,14 Capital 6:12,14 Capital 6:12,14 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 45:16 certify 109:4,10 chain 21:10 65:13 80:1 83:20 chain 21:10 65:13 80:1 83:20 74:11 client 27:14 50:7 51:18 52:14 59:10 69:10,12 70:3,5 86:25 90:21 94:24 95:3 98:20 101:3 102:21 103:5 102:21 103:5 102:21 103:5 102:21 103:5 102:21 103:5 102:21 103:5 103:18 42:17 27:10,16 39:12 58:16,24 68:14 77:14 clients 21:17 27:10,16 39:12 58:16,24 68:14 73:4,10,12 79:5 62:11 70:13 108:9 108:9 109:19,21,22 clean 43:16 clear 33:4 69:20 74:11 client 27:14 50:7 51:18 52:14 59:10 69:10,12 70:3,5 86:25 90:21 94:24 95:3 98:20 101:3 102:21 103:5 104:10:10:13 104:9,15 107:23 11:10:13:1 104:9,15 107:23 11:10:13:1 104:9,15 107:23 11:10:13:1 104:9,15 107:23 11:10:13:1 104:9,15 107:23 11:10:13:1 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 105:1,10:13:1 105:5 105:5 105:5 105:5 105:5 105:5 105:5 105:5 105:5 105:10 69:10,12 70:3,5 86:25 90:21 94:24 95:3 98:20 101:3 102:1 103:5 103:11 34:17 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 105:5,10 10:6 104:18 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:17:17 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:19,10:13 104:9,15 107:23 104:19,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:17:17 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:17 104:9,15 107:23 104:18 104:9,15 107:23 104:19 104:9,15 107:23 104:19 104:9,15 107:23 104:19 104:9,15 107:23 104:19 104:9,				109:25
business 10:8,9 13:5 18:3,11 19:1,2,3,4,13,19, 25 20:1,4,7,11,16,2 1 21:2,9,14 22:9,12 23:19 38:6 48:15 businesses 22:5,6 CA 2:8 3:3,8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 Capital 6:12,14 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 35:8 37:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 Chain 21:10 65:13 80:183:20 chain 21:10 65:13 80:183:20 chain 21:10 65:13 chance 12:2 36:2 66:12 101:23 chance 57:24 77:4 79:6 104:18 chance 57:24 77:4 79:6 104:18 changes 47:14 77:14 character 42:9 52:4 53:13 characterize 97:3,11 98:8 characterize 97:3,11 98:8 characterize 97:3,11 98:8 characterize 97:3,11 98:8 characterize 98:20 101:3 105:5,10 106:8 characterize 99:8 20 101:3 105:5,10 106:8 characterize 99:2 93:8 communicate 92: 93:8 communicate 77:12 communication 15:12,15 27:4 31:16 33:11 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92				common 80:22,24
business 10:8,9 13:5 18:3,11 19:1,2,3,4,13,19, 25 20:1,4,7,11,16,2 1 21:2,9,14 22:9,12 23:19 38:6 48:15 businesses 22:5,6 CA 2:8 3:3,8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 Capital 6:12,14 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 35:8 37:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 Chain 21:10 65:13 80:1 83:20 chain 21:10 65:13 80:1 83:20 chain 21:10 65:13 60:14 3:3.4 05:20 74:11 client 27:14 50:7 59:10 69:10,12 70:3,5 86:25 90:21 94:24 95:3 98:20 101:3 102:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 110:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 110:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 110:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 110:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 110:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 110:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 110:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 110:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 110:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 110:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 105:10,16 39:12 20:10,16 39:12 20:10,16 39:12 20:10,16 39:12 20:10,16 39:12 20:10,16 39:12 20:10,16 39:12 20:10,10,16 39:12 20:10,10,16 39:12 20:10,10,16 39:12 20:10,10,10,10,12 20:10,10,10,10,10 20:10 10:13 20:10 69:10,12 20:10,10,10,20 20:10 69:10,12 20:10 69:10,12 20:10,10,23 93:8 communicate 92: 93:8 communicate 21:6 communication 15:12,15 27:4 31:16 33:11 20:21 103:5 20:10,13 21:6 20:0mmunication 21:6 21:6 21:6 22:10 23:10 3:15 25:11 7:12,15 25:12,15 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:	<u> </u>	r r		
13:5 18:3,11	business 10:8,9	v ′		
Capital 6:12,14 Capital 6:13,15 Carried 33:15 Capital 6:13,17,19 Capital 6:13,17 Capital 6:13,1				
C		challenges 21:7		communicated
Capital 6:12,14 Capital 6:12,14 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 35:8 37:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 66:57 0:2 76:16 100:2 76:16 1	_	S	59:10 69:10,12	77:12
Capital 6:12,14 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 Carl@media.org 35:25 Carried 33:15 Carry 28:19 Carry 28:19 Case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 435:8 37:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 66:50 60:15 31:12 Change 57:24 77:4 79:6 104:18 79:6 104:18 102:21 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 15:12,15 27:4 31:16 33:11 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 97:3,11 98:8 97:3,11 98:8 97:3,11 98:8 98:20 106:22 97:3,11 98:9 98:20 106:22 99:10,11,18 23:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 39:15 58:22,23 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:1 30:11 34:1 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 Cilent's 57:24 69:10 87:4 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 73:4,10,12 79:5 73:4,10,12 79:5 73:4,10,12 79:5 73:4,10,12		66:12 101:23	7	ũ l
businesses 22:5,6 CA 2:8 3:3,8 capabilities 32:13 capacities 31:24 Capital 6:12,14 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 Carl@media.org 35:25 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 42:24 45:8 37:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:22 Carl 4:10:10 2:13 108:1 Carl 11:10:221 103:5 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 104:9,15 107:23 105:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 27:10,16 39:12 58:16,24 68:14 80:16,20 88:2 97:3,11 98:8 99:20 106:22 99:31,17,20,22 99:31,4 99:20 106:22 108:9 Client's 57:24 69:10 87:4 66:14 67:22 77:130:11 34:1 79:4 88:19 60:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 53:13 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 53:13 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 53:13 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 53:13 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 53:13 79:2 87:9 88:21 79:2 87:9				
C Character 42:9 52:4 53:13 clients 21:17 27:10,16 39:12 31:16 33:11 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 32:18 47: 46:16,24 68:14 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 34:13,17,20,22 93:3,4 80:16,20 88:2 94:13,17,20,22 93:3,4 80:16,20 88:2 94:13,17,20,22 93:3,4 93:3,4 93:3,4 93:3,4 93:3,4 93:3,4 93:3,4 93:15 58:22,23 93:3,4 93:15 58:22,23 93:3,4 93:15 58:22,23 93:3,4 93:15 58:22,23 93:3,4 93:15 58:22,23 93:13 58:12 93:15 58:22,23		79:6 104:18		
C character 42:9 52:4 53:13 clients 21:17 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 33:18 47: 66:14 67:22 33:41,0,12 79:5 34:17 39:18 47: 66:14 67:22 37:4,10,12 79:5 36:15,20 88:2 94:13,17,20,22 95:11 97:12 98:20 106:22 93:3,4 communications 9:10,11,18 23:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 39:15 58:22,23 39:15 58:22,23 39:15 58:22,23 39:15 58:22,23 65:5 66:15 75:6 79:2 87:9 88:21 95:6 companies 26:10 companies 26:10 companies 26:10 company 6:12 17:17,19,21 17:17,19,21 17:17,19,21 18:1,2 20:3 21:11 26:19 276:16 100:0 Checks 31:12 Collect 13:12 collect 13:12 collect 13:12 collect 13:12	Dusinesses 22:5,6	<u> </u>	104:9,15 107:23	
CA 2:8 3:3,8 character 42:9 52:4 53:13 27:10,16 39:12 66:14 67:22 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 73:4,10,12 79:5 82:4 88:19 92:2 93:3,4 communications 9:10,11,18 23:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 39:15 58:22,23 65:5 66:15 75:6 65:5 66:15 75:6 79:2 87:9 88:21 79:2 87:9 88:21 79:2 87:9 88:21 95:6 20				34:17 39:18 47:7
capabilities 32:13 characterize 97:3,11 98:8 80:16,20 88:2 94:13,17,20,22 93:3,4 Capital 6:12,14 characterized 97:8 characterized 97:8 98:20 106:22 93:3,4 Carl 11:11,17 57:1 characters 36:15,17,19 98:20 106:22 99:10,11,18 23:1 Carl@media.org 35:25 37:6,14,19,23 47:15 48:17,20 69:10 87:4 79:2 87:9 88:21 carried 33:15 chart 47:23 48:23 Clients 94:23 Clients 94:23 79:2 87:9 88:21 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 chart 47:23 48:23 check 33:23 101:6 81:24 82:1 companies 26:10 47:2 64:5 70:2 checks 31:12 checks 31:12 collect 13:12 Checks 31:12 checks 31:12 collect 13:12	CA 2:8 3:3,8			
capacities 31:24 97:3,11 98:8 94:13,17,20,22 93:3,4 Capital 6:12,14 characterized 97:8 98:20 106:22 communications 67:23 91:4,15 36:15,17,19 37:6,14,19,23 27:1 30:11 34:1 Carl@media.org 35:25 47:15 48:17,20 69:10 87:4 39:15 58:22,23 carried 33:15 50:2 61:11 70:13 Client's 57:24 39:15 58:22,23 carry 28:19 chart 47:23 48:23 Close 22:16 59:6 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 check 33:23 101:6 81:24 82:1 companies 26:10 42:14 44:2 45:16 102:13 108:1 codes 61:20,25 82:1,21,23 17:17,19,21 47:2 64:5 70:2 checks 31:12 collect 13:12 21:11 26:19	capabilities 32:13		· /	
Capital 6:12,14 characterized 97:8 95:11 97:12 communications Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 characters 36:15,17,19 108:9 9:10,11,18 23:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 32:1 27:1 30:11 34:1 32:1 37:6,14,19,23 47:15 48:17,20 50:2 61:11 70:13 105:5,10 106:8 client's 57:24 69:10 87:4 69:1	capacities 31:24			
Carl 11:11,17 57:1 67:23 91:4,15 characters 36:15,17,19 37:6,14,19,23 47:15 48:17,20 50:2 61:11 70:13 105:5,10 106:8 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 35:8 37:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 76:16 100:0 characters 36:15,17,19 client's 57:24 69:10 87:4	Capital 6:12,14	ŕ		communications
67:23 91:4,15 36:15,17,19 27:1 30:11 34:1 Carl@media.org 37:6,14,19,23 47:15 48:17,20 69:10 87:4 39:15 58:22,23 65:5 66:15 75:6 50:2 61:11 70:13 Clients 94:23 79:2 87:9 88:21 carry 28:19 chart 47:23 48:23 code 25:4,20 26:4 companies 26:10 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 check 33:23 101:6 81:24 82:1 company 6:12 42:14 44:2 45:16 102:13 108:1 codes 61:20,25 82:1,21,23 47:2 64:5 70:2 checks 31:12 collect 13:12	Carl 11:11,17 57:1	characters		9:10,11,18 23:14
Carl@media.org 37.6,14,19,23 35:25 47:15 48:17,20 50:2 61:11 70:13 Clients 94:23 105:5,10 106:8 Close 22:16 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 check 33:23 101:6 34:24 35:8 37:24 102:13 108:1 47:2 64:5 70:2 checks 31:12 Checks 31:12 codes 61:20,25 82:1,21,23 21:11 26:19	67:23 91:4,15		client's 57:24	
35:25 carried 33:15 carry 28:19 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 34:24 35:8 37:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 79:2 87:9 88:21			69:10 87:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
carried 33:15 105:5,10 106:8 Close 22:16 95:6 carry 28:19 chart 47:23 48:23 code 25:4,20 26:4 companies 26:10 case 1:13 6:7 14:13 check 33:23 101:6 81:24 82:1 company 6:12 42:14 44:2 45:16 102:13 108:1 codes 61:20,25 82:1,21,23 47:2 64:5 70:2 checks 31:12 82:1,21,23 21:11 26:19	35:25	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Clients 94:23	79:2 87:9 88:21
case 1:13 6:7 14:13 check 33:23 101:6 81:24 82:1 company 6:12 42:14 44:2 45:16 102:13 108:1 codes 61:20,25 18:1,2 20:3 47:2 64:5 70:2 checks 31:12 82:1,21,23 21:11 26:19			Close 22:16	95:6
34:24 35:8 37:24 42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 76:16 100:0 76:16 100:0 76:16 100:0 76:16 100:0 76:16 100:0 76:16 100:0 76:16 100:0 76:16 100:0 76:16 100:0 76:16 100:0	carry 28:19	chart 47:23 48:23		companies 26:10
42:14 44:2 45:16 47:2 64:5 70:2 76:16 100:0 Checks 31:12 Checks 31:25 Checks 31:12 Checks 31:12 Checks 31:12		check 33:23 101:6	81:24 82:1	- v
47:2 64:5 70:2 checks 31:12 collect 13:12 collect 13:12		102:13 108:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
76:16 100:0 Channel 21 25 collect 13:12		checks 31:12	´ ´	*
		Chennai 31:25	collect 13:12	compare 4:21

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 34 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 5

25.12.14.26.0.10	aontoin 57.4.71.10	20.15 20 21.14	16.17.21.51.15
35:13,14 36:9,10 37:4 38:16	contain 57:4 71:19 97:23 98:1	20:15,20 21:14	46:17,21 51:15
		22:4,13,22 23:1,4,25 24:3	52:11,12,13,17,2 2 53:1,19,23
39:5,8,11,14,17	contained 48:13		, , ,
40:1,5	71:22 74:7 82:6	25:5,6,16 26:24	54:6,7,18 96:8,9
43:4,10,18	109:6	34:6 36:14 40:14	copying 25:7 27:18
86:21,22 87:8	containing 90:9	45:20 51:6,16	53:25 54:2,11
99:15 100:23	G	57:1 59:9 67:16	57:17,19
compared 37:8,15	contains 91:3	71:2,10,16,25 73:20 74:20	copyright
comparisons 68:11	content 18:6		27:11,12,23 74:7
<u> </u>	20:15,20	85:13 87:15	93:21 94:17
complete 46:24	21:1,5,9,14	88:1,22 89:1 102:12	95:1,4,10
58:12 109:9	22:4,12,22		<i>´ ´</i>
completed 33:1	23:1,4,25	conversions 27:8	copyrighted 27:8
57:1 108:2	24:3,5,20 26:24	69:4 75:14	copyrights
complex 70:24	27:14 30:24	convert 24:19,20	94:21,22
comply 95:3	31:7,20,23	28:18 46:6 55:3	corporation 104:1
	32:11,18,22 33:1	67:17 68:22	correct 8:1 23:16
concerns 102:1,3	34:6 50:7	72:2,4 74:16,21	25:5,21 26:18
concluded 108:21	53:6,17 58:10	84:21 85:3 88:10	29:1 35:15.20
concludes 108:18	60:24 62:14 65:8	90:10 98:21	38:9 42:17 50:12
	66:11 68:15 70:3	converted 33:22	51:2,15 52:10
conclusion	82:4,17,18,19	47:8,16 48:18,21	53:14,17
73:14,22 74:4,9	94:20 100:21	52:2,14	57:10,14 58:14
89:3	102:11,12	58:2,7,11,13	62:2 69:3 70:14
conclusions 82:11	CONTENTS 4:1	61:11 67:13 68:9	72:9,22 86:7
	context 97:6	82:2,14,20 85:9	88:20 93:2,7
Conditioning 1:11		89:14 93:24	94:7 99:21
	continued 3:1	94:3,5 95:11	100:1,20 101:4
conduct 94:16	continues 57:2	ĺ	102:20 103:1,4
conducted	83:19	converting 24:4	104:11 105:20
13:17,18,20	contract 28:11	42:4 47:13 60:24	106:14,21
confirm 92:21		62:24 75:11	ŕ
	contractors 22:25	84:24 90:5 92:2	corrected 103:8
confusing 29:16	23:4	copied 25:3 26:4	107:5
connected 109:10	control 14:11	44:22 45:1,4,7	correction 35:9
connection 22:25	36:24 86:1,6	46:22 51:13	100:5,6,7
26:23 30:15	controversy	52:17 54:13	corrections
41:10 56:20	109:13	82:7,14 95:11	99:20,21
68:12 106:23		copies 27:3,8,17	corrective 107:1
	convention 53:9	30:1 33:9,19	
consideration	57:16	52:1 53:25 54:1	correctly 35:6
105:2	conventions	72:23 73:16	101:20
consistent 94:8,15	57:23,24 88:14	93:5,9,12	correspondence
construction 82:23	conversations	94:4,11	9:6
	9:21,23,25	сору 11:24 15:22	cost 34:21 90:24
contacts 23:17	conversion	25:18 27:22	CUST 37.21 70.24
	COII VOI SIOII		

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2014

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 35 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 6

r		,e 0	
104:12,24	creating 101:13	17:22	79:20 91:4
counsel 6:16,24	criteria 71:8	date 1:21 6:9 69:24	depending 69:12
8:22 9:3,7,18	Crooks 2:12	91:18,21	100:21 108:10
10:16 11:4,13,17	CRR/RMR/CLR/	dated 56:9 60:16	depends 42:2
13:16 15:19 83:22 88:18	CSR-3267	63:7 64:22 66:5	43:6,7 69:9
	109:18	75:1 76:6 78:8	85:19 87:4 98:20
counselor 91:8	CRR/RMR/	79:20 81:7,15 83:9 91:4	99:20 100:4 104:23 105:1
Counter-	CSR-3267 1:25		
Defendants 1:13	currently 17:6	dawa 83:7,8	deponent 1:20
Counter-Plaintiff	·	day 109:15	6:23
1:17	custody 14:11	deal 19:11	deposed 7:21,23
countries 22:20,23	customarily 20:4,8	27:10,11 58:23	deposition 1:19
County 109:2,24	48:14	72:25 82:16,18	6:5,8 9:4,7,19
couple 50:8 55:22	customer 10:12,13	94:21,23	10:5,17
66:21,25	13:6 15:14 18:25	dealing 10:12	11:2,5,9,18,19,2
course 19:10 48:15	19:21 21:4 27:19 37:2	19:12,13 73:5	1 30:18 35:22 39:23 47:22
54:17 101:5	40:15,17,20,22	dealings 73:17	56:5,21 60:13
106:23	51:24 52:3 54:9	dealt 72:25 89:5	63:5,14 64:18
court 1:1 6:13 8:6	59:9 69:6,8,18	93:17	65:2 66:2 74:23
11:22 29:11	92:7 100:14,15	decision 21:13	76:4 77:13 78:6
41:22	102:9	42:2	79:18 81:5 83:2
95:21,22,25	customers 19:5,6	deemed 106:4	106:24
96:3,5,7,10	21:3,4 27:16,21	Defendant 1:16	108:19,21
Coutilish 2:11	28:2,6,22 36:25		describe 13:3
6:22 8:25 9:9	40:13 87:2 107:25 108:2,4	define 97:5,6	15:25 17:15 34:23 41:6 75:5
14:15 27:24	107.23 106.2,4	definitely 88:11	
28:1,5 30:7 54:20 57:18		definition 57:20	described 41:9,15 47:10 85:5
59:1,4 70:23	D.C 2:3 6:15	degree 16:7,18	100:17,22
73:8,13,23	d/b/a 1:5	17:16 31:15	describes 101:12
74:5,8 80:13,16	Dan 3:14 6:11	degrees 17:4	describing 41:17
82:10 83:5,7		delegated 13:9	
87:18 89:4 96:9 97:5 104:17	data 4:21 34:20 35:9 40:1,5	delegee 13:13,22	description 36:9 100:24
105:7,12	43:8,10,13,14,15	delete 59:15,16	
106:16,19	,19 61:12,20,25	,	design 62:22
108:17	69:7 70:1	deliver 68:2	desires 86:25
coutilish@comcas	100:8,9 108:2	delivered 37:2	destination 50:6
t.net 2:13	database 48:10	delivering 10:20	detail 84:2
cover 51:6 88:8	Datamas	delivery 10:19	determine 26:7
create 53:5	17:20,22,25	15:15 60:15	32:10 42:8 44:9
created 48:7 53:16	18:3,5	65:6,8,22 74:25 76:6 78:8,14	77:13
10.7 55.10	D-A-T-A-M-A-S	70.0 70.0,14	104:13,14,24

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 36 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 7

		,	
development	45:24	67:16 70:19	42:9,23
10:8,9 13:5		71:24 72:2,14	43:9,20,25
18:11 19:13,25	direction 24:23	75:10 76:8 80:7	44:5,6 47:3
20:1,4,7,11,16	25:1	81:14 83:23,25	51:6,16 65:11
21:6 22:7,8	directly 46:14 89:6	84:1,5,8 85:1	66:17 70:6,9
23:19	93:17 109:12	90:4 91:22,23	75:25 97:12
	director 10:8 13:6	92:10 94:1	104:24
diagram 50:2		100:22	
84:23	18:11 19:14,25	100.22	donors
differ 35:7 88:6	20:1,4,7,11,16	101:10,12:102.3	77:23,24,25
differences 49:16	23:19	108:11,12	dot 57:13
	director's 10:9	, i	double 4:21
different 14:17	disciplines 71:13	documentation	34:14,22,23,24
28:16 34:5 43:4	*	40:15	35:14,17 36:9,17
49:13	disclose 9:11,12	documentations	,
50:8,12,16,17	discuss 10:11	89:11	37:4,9,14 38:16
52:5 53:14 69:7	64:13		39:1,6,8,10,16,2
88:3,12 99:23	discussed 10:17	documents 12:25	5 40:5,8,14
differently	55:1 94:1	13:4,7,10,13,14,	41:17 42:7
87:16,25		15,23,25	55:5,6,18
ĺ	discussion 27:5	14:1,3,5,10,18,2	61:6,9,12
differs 28:21	29:17 34:13	0 15:16,17,22	68:12,13
difficult 68:22,25	44:13 94:16	41:4 42:15 44:18	69:1,3,18,19,25
90:10	101:25 102:3,6	45:15 51:17	70:7 76:20
J:CC 14 (4.10)	108:20	57:4,5 59:2,6,9	87:2,3,7,10 90:4
difficulty 64:10	discussions 10:13	62:23 65:7,9	98:14,17,24
digital 24:11 26:23	29:2,23 30:3	67:4 68:14,23,24	99:12 100:23
34:6	93:20	70:16,20	104:10,25
digitization 18:6		71:18,21	107:22 108:4
20:15,20	displayed 62:17	74:14,17,19 75:8	doubt 58:5
21:2,5,9,14	distinction 52:15	82:22 88:6	
22:4,12,22	54:11,15	90:6,9,17,20	downloading 75:7
23:1,4 30:24	distortion 72:10	92:2,6,25	Dr 10:23
31:7,20,23		93:6,9,13,18	draw 62:25 79:25
32:11,18 33:1	distributed 38:3	94:12 95:10	81:13 83:15
107:23	distribution 38:2	96:18,20,24,25	
	DISTRICT 1:1,2	97:2,4,8,10,13,1	drive 54:7
digitize 96:19,24	ŕ	5,17,23	drop 25:19
97:23	doctor 10:24	98:1,4,7,8,10,15,	dropping 84:25
98:1,4,7,10	document	18 102:8	
digitized 32:22	12:3,8,11 24:4,5	domain 26:11	duly 7:10 109:5
97:9	39:25 40:2,7	donations 77:22	duplicates 80:24
digitizing 97:4	43:8 47:17		during 18:5 30:18
98:23 102:8	48:2,7,13	done 15:10 16:2	80:22 88:22
	51:22,24 58:25	30:24,25 33:12	106:23
direct 43:20	59:8 62:15	35:17 37:14	
103:11	65:19,21	40:14	DVD 53:24 54:7
directed 11:23	66:3,10,12,17	41:10,13,14	

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 37 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 8

earlier 40:7 41:18 47:11 90:8 94:1 97:8 100:23	78:7,13 79:19,22 81:6,10,15		37:21
	83:3,12,17,20 91:3,15	entry 4:22 34:20,22 35:9 37:9 40:1,5 42:7	example 25:19 40:24 43:11
105:25	101:23,25 103:14,18	43:8,10,13,16,19 61:10,12,20,25	44:10 58:7 62:8 72:17 84:20
easier 8:7	emails 23:12	69:25 70:2,7 87:2,3 99:12	Excel 67:13,17
Eddy 2:7	59:12,17,19,20,2	100:8,9,23 108:2	exception 100:14
education 16:19 19:6 71:6	2 60:2,6,20 61:1 65:14 78:10 80:1	equation 51:1	exceptions 35:3
educational 15:25	83:13	equations 90:14	exchanged 23:12
16:10 31:14	employ 108:5	equivalent	excuse 59:1 83:22 91:11
effort 63:1 68:7 71:14 82:13 efforts 13:12	employees 22:15 31:10 32:17 71:2 108:8	16:9,12,15,17,19 error 38:4,5 69:1,14,16	executive 18:20,23 19:8 20:25
44:9,17,21 62:10 72:20 86:1	employing 108:7 encountered 81:2	70:19,25 102:15,16,18,22	exhibit 11:21,22 14:21 35:22,23
106:14		105:16	39:23,24 40:11,13,19,23
eight 18:18	engage 29:19	errors 42:5 100:2 102:25 103:3,7	41:6,9,15
either 13:7 34:25	engaged 22:5	105:18,22	47:22,23 48:4
41:19 45:24 46:7 65:20 94:4,11	engages 27:18	106:21,25 107:4	56:5,7,20 60:13,14,17
elected 87:7	engineering 16:22,24	escalations 21:7	61:18 63:5,6,9
Electric 81:24	17:17,18	estimate 22:11 90:24	64:18,19,24 65:2 66:2,3,7
electronic 2:6 6:20	Engineers 1:11 7:2	evenly 38:3	74:23,24 76:4,5
24:6,7 27:3,8	English 31:16,17,20	event 27:21	78:6,7 79:4,18,19,22
electronically 14:20	32:2,3,4,5,12	eventually 35:16	81:5,6,10
Eley 3:14 6:11	34:9,11 41:2,4	45:20	83:2,3,12,16
else 9:1 10:4,14	ensure 27:15 33:18	EVP 18:24,25	90:25 91:1,12 103:12 105:17
11:5,8 24:1,14	41:14 72:16 entails 61:23	Ex 4:19,20,21,23 5:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	Exhibits 5:17
64:7 108:16	enter 34:25	,12,13,14,15	existence 19:18
email 5:6,7,10,11,12,1	entered 36:15	exact 32:15 62:5	expect 37:5,13
3 35:24 36:5	entire 18:21 21:9	72:6 75:17 98:25	38:17,19,20 55:14 70:3,12,19
56:8,11,13,15,24 58:18 59:13,14	46:18,22 99:22	exactly 52:7,9 62:17 94:14	95:3 105:18
60:15,18,21	entitled 12:9 39:25 47:23	examination	106:9
61:4,14 63:7,9,12	entity 26:22 32:21	4:7,8,9,10 7:13	expectation 37:16 69:6
64:19,24 66:8,20 74:25 75:3	entrance 32:14	14:25 86:18 90:1 96:13	expectations 69:10

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 38 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 9

	1 αξ	, ,	
expected 69:1	107:6,10,16	42:19,23 43:2,10	62:21 67:12 88:5
84:22	108:16	44:10,11 48:23	formatted 65:10
expecting 85:2	feedback 36:25	53:4 54:10	68:8
expensive 39:13	feeder 80:3 103:15	56:10,24,25 60:17 63:25	formatting 62:8,22
87:1	feel 15:7	66:7,20 75:2,13	65:21 66:16
experience 42:11	fields 108:12	81:14,18	67:7,25 88:13
69:2 70:11		83:15,16 107:11	102:1
98:14,17 101:15	figure 58:6 78:17 82:13	109:5	formulae 90:13
108:4		five 18:13	formulas 97:24
Expires 109:25	figures 36:22	flag 100:14	Forty-nine 37:7
explain 61:8 65:4	file 24:24,25	flash 54:7	foundation 2:6
explained 40:8	25:3,19 41:20 52:5	Floor 3:3	6:20 100:3
explanation 29:7	53:3,5,9,14,16,1	flow 76:20,23	103:20
87:6	7 57:22 58:19	77:5,7,14 102:14	fourth 12:8
extent 21:15 100:5	62:16 72:8 76:19	focus 62:14 84:11	Francisco 2:8
extra 41:13	77:1,3 79:14 80:25 82:3,5	focused 19:5	3:3,8
extract 25:13	88:13	folder 76:11	front 75:18
34:25	filed 95:7	folders 58:19	Frontier 2:6 6:20
extracted 35:1	filename 57:5	folks 26:6 95:19	FTP'd 33:2 45:24
	81:23 102:16		46:7
<u>F</u>	filenames 57:9,11	follow-ups 104:5	fully 8:19
fact 9:12	files 26:12 27:3,17	font 62:10,11	function 59:15
fair 8:14 13:9 71:5	32:25	fonts 62:13	funded
Fallon 1:25 6:13	33:2,18,19,24	form 45:9 51:9	77:18,21,22 78:2
109:18	50:11 58:1,10,13 62:3 64:14 65:24	52:19 54:20	future 102:23
familiar 26:19	68:8,12 72:18	57:18 69:20 70:21 82:10	
68:15	73:20 75:8 82:7	85:15,18 86:2	<u> </u>
February 63:7	final 37:1	87:18 89:2,10	general 7:25 18:1
Fee 2:2 4:7,11 5:17	financially 109:13	93:16 94:4,5	27:9 28:4,11,16 31:5 33:13 44:6
6:17,24 7:14 11:24 15:24	finding 14:1	95:24 100:19	47:11,12 69:2
28:4,8 29:12	8	102:5 105:7,24 106:12	88:2,9 92:7
44:14 47:19	fine 39:21 55:24	format	96:18 102:7
54:24 55:23 56:6	finish 29:13	24:5,6,8,11,12,1	103:3 106:20
59:3,5 79:6,13	Fire 1:7 7:6	3,15,20,22	generally 46:10
80:15,17 83:6,8 86:9 98:12	firm 6:11 7:5 9:16	25:7,8,24 26:1	65:4 75:5 90:4,16,18
100:3,19	firmed 91:18	33:10 49:11 50:6	
101:8,17 102:5	first 7:10 8:4 16:12	51:17 52:2,5 53:6,13 62:15	generic 88:11
103:2,20,24	19:17,23 34:12	93:19 101:16	Genesee 109:2,23
104:5,8 106:17	36:5,8,12	formats 50:12	gentlemen 28:6
		1011111100 00.12	

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 39 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 10

	Pag	C 10	
getting 91:18 given 27:14 28:18 54:8,9 109:9	guys 107:10 ———	hereby 109:4 herein 7:9 109:6	42:8,14,17,19 45:1,14,18,22 46:8,17,21,25
Global 2:14 6:23	half 105:21 106:7	hereunto 109:14	47:5,15 48:10,14
9:15 11:23 14:11	hand 35:23 39:24	herself 99:25	51:13
15:4 21:13,23	56:7 60:14 63:6	he's 10:24 22:2	52:12,17,22,23 54:4,13,17,18
22:5,15,21	64:19 66:3 74:24	77:2	55:2,13,14
23:3,18	76:5 78:7 79:19	high	56:8,11,13 57:17
24:9,17,18 25:16	81:6 83:3 109:15	16:9,12,15,17,19	58:4,7,18,25
26:6,13	handle 73:19	,21 31:15 71:6	59:7,14,18
27:1,6,7,15,18,2	happen 8:1	higher 19:5 34:21	60:15,18 62:3,11
0,22 28:13	* *	35:10,11 49:20	63:10 64:25
29:19,23 30:3,25 40:23 45:18,22	happened 80:11 81:2	70:19,25 86:25	65:14 66:8
48:10,14		90:22	67:14,19 68:7,11
58:4,7,25 62:11	happens 33:13 42:13 70:4 80:22	hiring 31:2,6,9	71:1,10,14,18,21 ,25 72:23 73:15
64:25 67:19 68:7		history 17:15	74:19,25 75:3,9
77:18,21	hard 64:5 66:25	hold 18:12,14	76:6,9,12
86:20,22 87:7,24	67:3 78:16	27:12	77:18,21
88:18,21,22,25	haven't 23:11	horizontal 21:11	78:8,11,14,22,25
89:7,14,18 90:16,19	30:22 44:17 55:1		79:15,20,23
90.10,19	74:17 77:16 82:12 84:1 89:5	HTC 2:14 6:23	81:11,15
93:8,11,20,23	92:14 107:3	9:15 10:6 11:17,23 14:11	82:2,7,14,20
95:3,6,9	108:7	15:4 17:24	83:13 84:24 85:9 86:7,20,22
Global's 30:20	having 7:9 40:14	18:8,10,19	87:7,24
40:8 59:7	62:5 67:25	19:3,7,8,10	88:18,21,22,25
94:8,15	77:18,21 103:17	20:20,25	89:7,14,18
goal 62:16	· ·	21:13,23	90:16,19 91:4
	heading 12:15 50:3	22:5,6,15,21,25	92:1,2,5,9
gone 37:9,15 86:6		23:3,18,21	93:8,11,20,23
Google 78:1,2	headings 43:15 48:23	24:9,17,18	94:8,15 95:3,6,9
government 98:4		25:16,24 26:6,13 27:1,6,7,11,15,1	100:12,18 101:2 102:11
grab 46:5 84:15	Heating 1:9 7:1	7,20,22	102.11
graduates 71:12	held 6:8 44:13	28:3,13,17	ŕ
	108:20	29:19,23	HTCdeliverynotifi cation@HTCIn
grant 73:2	Hello 89:22	30:3,19,25	dia.com 60:22
graphic 62:22 72:3	helped 26:22	31:5,20	66:4
graphics 47:6,9,14	Hemant 10:10	32:10,21,25	HTC's 21:2,21
group 33:25 34:2	20:18 23:19	33:8,13,16,18,20 34:13	22:11 30:14
56:15,16 99:24	H-E-M-A-N-T	34.13 35:12,15,16	31:23 37:15
100:8,9 108:9	10:10 20:19	36:6,22 37:4,21	38:2,8 41:7 69:2
guess 61:9 62:7		38:15 39:1,6,15	86:1 87:5 88:10
67:11 81:25	hence 57:4	40:6,8,23	102:10

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 40 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 11

	Page	C 11	
HTML 24:22,24	29:11,13,15	63:20 64:2	instead 64:7
25:3,19 26:1,4	30:13,21	indemnification	Institutes 16:6
33:9,18 50:9,10,13 53:13	32:14,15 35:23 37:11 39:24	27:20	instruct 9:9 46:25
62:16 72:8	41:16 46:19	28:7,12,17,25 30:4,12	instructed 46:5,17
101:13,15	47:7,19 51:19	,	instructing 63:24
	52:12,15 53:1	independent 22:25 23:3	instruction 13:24
I	54:14 55:4 62:13 64:12 69:11 76:5		67:17
I'd 83:23 90:3,25	79:16 80:18	independently 44:11	instructions 13:19
95:19	85:11 87:10	India 10:19 13:8	24:16 33:7 45:13
idea 7:25 31:19	97:19,21 99:3,13	16:4,15,17	47:4 65:6,9
47:15 68:18 82:19 85:25	101:2 106:17	17:1,18 21:12	73:18 76:18
	107:17	22:19,20,23	87:14,23
identifiable 25:14	image 24:11,13,15	23:20 30:22,25	88:2,4,5,7,12
identification 4:17	25:12,13,14,18,2	31:8,23,25	intelligence 22:9
5:3 11:20 35:21 39:22 47:21 56:4	2 26:4,12 33:19 41:20	34:2,10	intended 68:4
60:12 63:4 64:17	42:3,6,10,12,20,	Indian 16:6	intent 25:2
66:1 74:22 76:3	22,25 43:4,9,17	Indians 34:11	interested 109:12
78:5 79:17 81:4	46:4 49:15,23,24	indicate 48:17	internal
83:1	50:1,2,6,7 62:24	indirectly 109:12	40:16,20,24
identified 30:17	72:4,5,6,12,13,1 5,17 79:14 84:25	individual 88:12	93:20
38:20 61:18	85:3,4,6,20 86:6	individuals 11:4	internally 108:10
73:20 105:17 106:14,21 107:1	99:16 100:12,13	22:21 26:10	International 1:6
identify 25:22	102:14	30:17	2:5 6:6
30:18 36:5 40:2	images 24:21	industry 69:24	internet 26:15
56:10 60:17 63:9	25:11,16,24 26:3	information	32:22,24 46:4
64:24 66:7 75:2	33:24 43:12 45:19,22	17:11,20	interviews 31:11
76:8 77:25	49:19,20 50:9,13	48:9,13,14 49:6	introduce 6:16
78:3,10 79:22 81:10 83:12	52:9 62:19 85:9	90:10 98:2,18	invading 9:14
	96:22	106:24	Ŭ l
IIT 16:6	imagine 103:21	initial 34:6 35:19	inventory 55:8
I'll 8:10,12 11:24	important 8:4	initially 29:4 34:19	investigation 14:19 106:23
47:20 87:22 101:21 103:25	98:11 104:9,15	86:20 90:21,22	
	105:2	input 49:11	invoice 50:25
illustration 50:9,13 84:20	improperly 27:22	55:9,10	invoicing 48:11
85:5	inbox 58:19	inquire 26:6	involved 10:20
illustrations	Inc 1:8,15 2:10 3:5	inquiry 94:16	21:13 22:21
84:15,25 85:9	including 56:18	instances 46:3,16	30:19 32:11,18 49:21,22 95:9
I'm 6:12 17:23	S	64:9 79:14	, i
22:24 27:25	Incorporated 7:7	80:10,18,19 81:2	issue 44:2 90:3,20 92:10
	incorporation	100:12 106:25	72.10

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2014

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 41 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 12

	1 ag		
issued 15:14	John 2:11 6:22	45:18,21 60:2	license 73:11
issues 21:7 36:24	8:25	71:1 73:5 106:13	light 104:6
italics 62:10	join 18:10	knowledgeable	link 72:13
items 40:17	JPEG 24:15,23	31:2	list 58:1,4 66:22
it's 10:12 17:3	July 66:5 81:8,15		litigation 95:10
18:13 19:5 22:14	91:4,13	label 64:23 84:7	little 29:15 66:23
27:7,14 32:6	June 83:9	labeled 35:25 56:8	99:6
34:20 35:12,24,25	K	66:5 81:8 83:10	live 69:11
36:19,21 37:16	Kevin 2:2 6:17	91:22 101:11	LiveNote 109:21
38:4,12,14	key 4:21	103:12	LLP 2:2 3:2,6
39:3,4,13,25 40:5,15,20,24	34:14,15,19,22,2	lack 100:3 103:20	locate 12:25
42:10 47:17,23	3,24	land 96:22	13:4,14
48:5,11,25 49:17	35:7,8,13,14,17,	language 32:5,6,8	located 13:15
51:3,22,24 54:8	19 36:9,10,16,17 37:4 38:16	34:11,12	22:22 31:24 34:2
56:17 60:14 62:17 63:6 65:20	39:5,6,8,10,14,1	large 99:1	location 1:23 33:2
66:16 74:25 75:7	6,25 40:5,9,14	last 59:19 78:24 81:19 91:16	logo 71:24 72:1,3
77:22 78:7,13	41:17 42:7 55:5,6,18	law 7:4 27:23 95:4	logos 47:1 72:11
80:22 81:1 83:17 84:7 85:2,19	61:6,9,12		long 9:23 18:12,17
86:14 87:1,4	68:12,13 69:25	lawsuit 30:6,10 95:7	20:23 35:1 54:8
91:10,15 94:24	70:1,7 76:20	layout	83:23
98:25 99:1	86:21,22 87:1,2,3,7,8,10	60:8,9,10,11	loosing 84:15
100:5,7,11,13 102:15	99:9,12,19	61:5,10	losing 84:16 85:17
104:21,25	100:23 104:25	learn 106:24	lot 39:13 43:16
105:15	105:1,3	least 14:17 31:15	49:20,21 62:21 84:16 85:17
I've 16:2 17:24	keyed 43:21	46:3 90:23	
21:3 47:7 84:1	44:11,18 99:22	legacy 22:10	low 38:4
89:23 103:16	keying 37:14 39:1	legal 6:12 27:2,7	lowest 38:22,23
J	69:2,3,18 90:4,5,17,19	30:14 73:13,21	
January 79:20	98:14,17,24 99:5	74:3,9 82:11,24 89:3 98:8	machine 102:16
Jeanette 1:25 6:13	104:10,11,16	less 22:14 38:18	Madhava 21:24
109:18	107:22 108:5	68:22	M-A-D-H-A-V-A
jkfee@morganlew	kilobytes 50:23,24	let's 8:5 36:15 53:7	22:1
is.com 2:4	kilocharacters 48:21 50:24 25	92:10	maintain 94:3,11
job 8:6 42:4,9	48:21 50:24,25	level 31:14 71:6	maintains 94:24
58:12 60:8 61:12	King 3:6 7:3	106:3,4	major 42:22 100:7
78:24	knowledge 11:16 14:10 15:17 22:2	Lewis 2:2 6:17	Majority 99:10
jobs 77:5	26:7 32:1 43:25	liaisoning 13:6	Malamud
			Mananda

	rag	£ 13	
11:11,13,17 23:10,15,18 36:6 38:16 39:8,10,16 43:20 45:19 56:8,11 60:15,18 63:7,10 64:11,22,25 66:4,8 68:4 74:25 75:3 76:6,9 78:8,11,14,21 79:2,20,23 80:1,8 81:7,11,15 83:9,13,17 84:14 91:4,15 102:25 103:8,18 106:15 107:1	56:4,7 60:12,14 63:4,6 64:17 66:1,3 74:22,24 76:3 78:5 79:4,17 81:4 83:1 marriage 109:11 master 28:22,24 29:3,10,20 master's 16:2,18,22,23,24 17:16 match 62:11,12 63:1 matching 62:13 material 27:10	36:19 49:18 61:10 62:14 73:22 means 35:2 36:12,14,15 47:13 55:17 61:8 67:10 69:21 76:25 94:21 107:25 109:7 meant 84:16 measures 33:17 mechanism 32:10 72:16 mechanisms 72:10 108:5 Media.Org 47:24	Mischaracterizes 54:21 70:22 86:3 missed 80:23 87:21 102:16 missing 55:5,16 79:15 80:2,7 103:15 Mission 3:3 misspoke 78:13 misstates 85:16 90:11 mistake 38:13 65:14,17,18,23 91:11 97:21 102:9 mistakes
Malamud's 63:24 66:20 75:16	49:11,12 52:13,22 materials 1:5	48:5 Media.Org/ Public.Resource	37:5,13,25 38:2 106:9
manage 19:1,3 managed 18:1,25 19:14 21:12 management	46:13 64:10 74:6 mathematical 51:1 90:14 97:24	.Org 4:24 medication 8:16 meeting 19:12	Mitch 2:7 mitch@eff.org 2:9 Mitchell 6:19
10:19 22:7 manager 18:2 56:19 60:24	matter 6:6 48:7 51:25 82:17 109:5,13 max 9:24	members 56:16 mention 87:10 mentioned 16:18	mobility 22:9 modernization 22:10 money 78:18,22
managing 21:3 manner 54:9 manually 99:19 manuals 96:21	maximum 37:16 may 64:4,22 84:21 87:3 88:12 91:14 100:13	17:4 86:20 Merit 109:20 met 9:3 metrics 36:23	month 50:19 months 59:11 Morgan 2:2 6:17
Manufacturing 17:18 manuscripts 96:21	maybe 9:24 10:1 17:13 18:18 22:14 48:22 97:14	MI 2:12 Michigan 1:24 6:1,9	morning 7:15,16 10:18 30:18 mostly 22:20 25:1 multiple 43:12
map 96:22 March 75:1 margins 62:12 mark 47:20	mean 10:6 16:21 19:12 32:3 34:20 36:20 37:20 38:11 49:1 52:12	109:1,23,24 microfiche 96:22 microfilms 96:21 mind 95:19	53:23 57:4 100:20 Munger 3:2 7:5
mark 47:20 marked 11:20,22 35:21,23 39:22,24 47:21,23 49:6	56:22 80:6 81:23 82:17 97:1,20 104:23 meaning 25:12	minimum 71:7 minutes 9:24 10:2 55:22	N.W 2:3 Nagpur 17:3

	rag		
N-A-G-P-U-R 17:3	107:4,13,14	11:1 14:18	43:4,18,22 44:12
Nathan 3:2 National 1:7 7:6 81:24	108:13,16 notice 101:18 noticed 107:3	OCR 35:1 41:20 42:1,4,8,19,24 43:3,18,22 61:11	opposed 29:19 43:22 46:18 84:25
native 31:17,20 32:2,3 34:9	notices 74:7 notification 60:15	99:9,10,13,14,16 ,18 100:1,2 101:5,6	opt 108:4 opted 35:14
Natraj 10:22,23,24	75:1 76:6	OCR'd 44:11,19	orally 45:14
23:20 N-A-T-R-A-J	78:8,15 79:20 91:4	October 78:8	order 29:6,19 49:8 88:4,7 95:22
10:22 nature 68:19,20	November 1:21 6:2,9 60:16	office 6:9 13:7	orders 15:14 28:18,20 48:12
72:3 92:7 100:4	109:15	14:17 oh 16:21 32:14	ordinarily 42:24
NEC.2011 92:11 necessarily 36:20	Oakland 109:24	66:23 91:11 97:14	43:3 48:10 69:8 ordinary 28:21
62:15 97:17 necessary 86:14	objecting 54:5	okay 8:7,11 37:8,13	48:15 Oregon
nevertheless 47:20	objection 30:7 45:9 51:9 52:19	38:7,14,15 41:24 49:3 50:3,8,25	75:16,18,19,20,2 3 76:1
newspapers 96:21 NFPA 3:5 44:4,24	53:21 54:20 57:18 69:20	51:23,25 52:4,20,24	organization 21:6 55:14,16
45:5 51:7,13 55:3 59:22,25	70:21,23 73:8,13,21,23	53:3,16 54:3 55:12,18 59:7	organizations 89:5
61:14 62:1 63:17 67:4 82:8 87:24	74:3,5,8 77:10 82:10 85:15,18 86:2 87:18	65:12 69:7,17 70:9 74:2 84:7	orientation 41:1 original 5:17 63:2
89:9,23 92:16,22 93:8,12,21,23	89:2,4,10 90:11 91:23 92:13,18	91:2,6 104:2 106:2,7,19	otherwise 38:6
NFPA.NEC.2011. PDF 81:19,23	93:1,16 97:5 98:12 100:3,19	107:16 108:13,15	output 24:22 43:5 50:3 55:9,10,15
NFPA's 81:24	101:8,17 102:5	old 76:20	outputs 50:9,16
NFPP.NEC.2011. PDF 91:22	103:2,20,24 104:17	Olson 3:2 7:5 onerous 27:19	outside 95:14 outsiders 10:6
nine 18:18	105:7,12,24 106:12	ones 63:18 94:20	owned 45:2,4,7,10
non-HTC 32:17	obligation 28:25	103:5	51:7,10 71:19 82:8
nonscientific 68:23 69:8 70:18	obtained 45:19 obvious 50:21	onto 64:6 99:23 operational 36:23	owner 21:23 22:2
Notary 109:3,23	obviously 21:5	operations 18:1	P
note 57:3 68:1 86:11 95:13,15	34:20 43:9,12 85:3	operator 102:15	p.m 76:7 78:9
102:22 103:14	occasion 19:11	operators 34:24 35:8 40:25	81:16 91:13 108:21
nothing 7:11 65:7 89:20	occasions 10:23,25	41:2,19,25 42:5,11,12,25	page 4:3,17 5:3 8:3 12:8,14,15,18

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 44 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 15

14:21,24 36:8	49:14,15,17,18,1	30:19,25	Possible 38:1
49:15,25 55:5,16	9,21,22,23	31:3,7,19	possibly 77:2 80:7
56:25 62:8 63:25	53:8,13 55:12	32:2,11 34:5,8	84:20
65:20 66:20 80:25 81:14	62:8,17,22 63:2 80:8	60:20 61:1 71:15	post 16:9,11,19
83:16,19 84:7,8		person's 60:2	32:24
99:14,19,22	PDFs 33:9 50:10 57:2,3 61:5	phone 6:24	posted 26:14 32:21
104:25	Pennsylvania 2:3	pick 45:24 46:8	46:4
105:6,10,13,22 106:7,8	people 30:22 36:24	picking 62:23	potentially 75:11
· ·	108:7	piece 25:15 29:22	practice 28:17,21
pages 12:19 55:8,10,13,14,15	per 50:22 59:10	81:19 91:16	59:17
79:15 80:2,7,23	105:22 106:8	plain 77:2	94:9,11,15,19
101:13 102:1	percent 22:14	plaintiff 7:6	practices 94:2
103:15,17 105:21	37:17,20	plaintiffs 1:12	preferred 29:10,18
	38:12,15,18,23,2	44:1,4 47:2,9	preparation 10:17
pagination 63:1	4 39:3,4 69:11,12,24	76:16 87:16 88:8 89:9,13,17	56:21
paper 42:15	99:2,11	· ·	prepare 15:10 93:15
paragraph 57:3 63:19 66:21	percentage 69:5	plan 92:5	
84:11	98:25 99:1,7	please 6:16 7:17,19 8:10 21:25 54:24	prepared 15:4,7
parameters	105:19	64:6 97:5,6	preparing 11:8 15:18 63:14
104:13,23	percentages 69:9	plug 69:14,17,21	77:12
partial 46:23	perfect 37:24	plus 26:4	presence 109:7
partially 20:14	perform 90:16	point 17:7 18:8	PRESENT 3:13
particular 25:15	92:24	26:19 34:14	pretty 49:17 50:20
31:13 55:6 65:13	performed 88:23	75:12 76:13	prevent 8:16 72:10
68:1 70:3,5,15	89:1	77:4,8 103:25	•
71:15 101:16	permissible 106:6	pointed 103:8	previously 91:1
parties 30:5 109:11	permission 72:23	policies 31:2,5,6	pricing 50:19,22
	73:6 88:25 93:12 95:1	94:1	primarily 23:25 29:9 66:16 75:7
partly 72:3	permissions 27:17	policy 58:25 59:8,13	
pass 100:7	73:2 89:8	, i	primary 23:17 32:8
passed 99:23	person 14:2 20:18	portion 12:11 21:2,21 22:11	prior 9:3,7,19
password 46:11	35:4 60:20 99:8	26:12 31:19 59:7	12:11,22 20:21
pause 29:15	personal 31:10	81:13 99:19	26:14 53:17
paying 30:14	59:14 106:13	position	57:20 65:2 66:10
payments 73:15	personally	18:12,14,17,19	privilege 9:15
PC 2:11	106:18,20	54:6	privileged 9:11
PDF 24:11,24	personnel 34:5	possession 14:11	PRO 33:2,4 35:16
25:3,13	persons 14:3	possibility 90:23	45:25 58:21,24

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 45 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 16

	8	1	1
59:24 73:1 75:8	15:18,23 45:16	provides 55:12	92:3,6,12
93:17 97:22	product 22:8	provision 28:7	publishing 19:5
PRO25943 5:14	production	public 11:14 15:21	pulled 46:13
81:8	12:16,22	19:11,12,15,18,2	purchase 15:13
PRO25945 5:9	products 18:4	0,23 20:2,12	28:18,19 29:6,19
66:5	program 40:25	23:5,21	48:12 49:8
PRO26049 5:5	1 0	24:8,10,17,19 25:10 26:2,11,13	purchaser 69:15
56:9	project 10:20 43:6 48:25 49:3,7	27:2 28:13,15	purpose 40:19
PRO4964 4:20	51:5,6	29:2,8,17,24,25	
36:1	56:17,18,19	30:4,11,14,20	pursuant 12:5 14:19
PRO5058 5:15	60:24 71:16	32:18 33:8,20	14.19
83:10	82:22 88:15	34:13 35:13	
PRO5059 84:7	101:5 107:24	40:11	QA 37:9,15
PRO5092 5:8	projects 4:23	41:7,10,14,24	· ·
64:23	18:15 47:24 48:5	42:14,19,24	QC 42:5 99:10
	50:17 77:15	43:2,21 44:6,23 45:2,13,22	qualification 98:19
probably 61:10 84:16 91:9	properly 33:22,24	46:1,5,9,10,13,1	quality
	68:8	6,20,25 47:5,16	33:17,21,23,25
problem 75:13	proportion 98:23	50:15 51:14 53:8	34:4,8 35:4
85:12,17,19,21,2 5 100:11,16	99:5,8	55:12 58:2,15,22	36:20,24
102:18	proposal 20:2	62:4,9 64:15	42:2,3,6,10
	34:19 35:17,19	67:14,20 68:9	44:21 55:11
problems 55:2 64:13 75:15	40:18 49:9	70:9,12	65:10 84:17,22 85:12,17,19
80:20 106:14	propose 38:15	71:18,22,25 72:7	86:1,6,24,25
	90:19	73:18 74:7,15	108:1,3,5,9
procedures 31:3		77:5,14,17,20 78:25	
process 4:22 27:18	proposed 35:12,16 86:21,22	80:14,17,20	question 8:9,12,13 9:13 12:1,2
31:9 33:12,15	ŕ	82:2,7,15,20	16:11 20:6
37:10,15 38:3	protected 27:23	85:10 87:6,15,23	28:2,4 30:9
40:1,5,9,16,20 41:6,17 42:1	46:11	88:8 89:8,15,18	44:14 53:7
43:23 47:11	Protection 1:7 7:6	92:1,9,11,23,24	54:21,22,23
55:5,7,18	provide 24:8 25:14	93:5,15,24	57:19 62:7 77:16
65:6,22 68:13	36:25 69:8,13	94:3,4 95:6	82:11
72:11 74:20	87:6,23 88:2	97:9,16,18,19,20	87:19,20,22
86:7,21,23 87:15	provided 21:18	,21 100:18 101:3 109:3,23	101:20,21 105:8
88:1,10,11,22	23:21,24 24:17	<u> </u>	107:9
89:1 100:17,18	33:3,8,14,20	Public.Resource.O	questioning 88:18
101:7,13	40:11,13,18 50:7	rg 1:15 2:10	questions 14:2,4
processes 100:21	51:17,24 52:2,14	6:7,21 26:23 33:5 47:25 48:6	84:4 86:9,17
processing 72:14	64:11 72:7 78:22	97:22	89:23 95:16,18
produce 15:24	87:17 88:5 89:18 93:17,18	publication 22:7	96:17 107:6,18
produced 14:12	73.11,10	·	question's 104:19
produced 14.12		publicly 32:23	

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 46 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 17

quite 13:15 quote 69:13 70:4,8 quoted 69:5 Rran 42:21 Randhawa 64:20,21 83:4,5,6	recall 14:7,9 17:13 19:17,23 20:11,14 23:13 39:18 40:12 47:17 56:22,23 66:11 69:9 78:4 79:5 80:12 86:13 87:9 92:4	107:20 refer 48:24 50:1,5 100:10 101:10 reference 31:12 63:19 67:21 70:24 75:10,16	106:13,25 Registered 109:20 Regus 6:8 Rehn 3:2 4:9 7:4 89:22 90:2
quoted 69:5 R ran 42:21 Randhawa 64:20,21	20:11,14 23:13 39:18 40:12 47:17 56:22,23 66:11 69:9 78:4 79:5 80:12 86:13 87:9 92:4	100:10 101:10 reference 31:12 63:19 67:21 70:24 75:10,16	Regus 6:8 Rehn 3:2 4:9 7:4
R ran 42:21 Randhawa 64:20,21	39:18 40:12 47:17 56:22,23 66:11 69:9 78:4 79:5 80:12 86:13 87:9 92:4	reference 31:12 63:19 67:21 70:24 75:10,16	Rehn 3:2 4:9 7:4
ran 42:21 Randhawa 64:20,21	47:17 56:22,23 66:11 69:9 78:4 79:5 80:12 86:13 87:9 92:4	63:19 67:21 70:24 75:10,16	
64:20,21		87:11 91:21	95:16,21,23 96:2
*	· 140167	references 58:20	107:13
83:4,5,6	receive 14:2 16:7	59:20,22 60:6	rekey 41:19
	42:14 45:22 62:9 87:14 89:7 92:9	61:2	rekeyed 42:13
R-A-N-D-H-A-W- A 64:22	93:11 94:12	referencing 40:9 48:2 76:13 77:2	related 15:15 20:15 22:12
rare 108:7	received 15:22 17:16 20:1,5,9	referred 103:18	40:17 51:6 68:14
Rarely 23:2	42:20 64:14		100:11
raster 49:14,17 50:1	71:18,21,24 74:6,14 79:15	referring 91:10 97:14	relates 62:1 65:24 66:18
rate 35:10,11 36:14	88:8 89:11 92:14 94:17 103:17	refers 49:19 61:14,17 63:16 75:21	relations 58:22 97:17,19
38:4,5,9,18 50:20 51:3	receives 62:4 receiving 60:20	reflect 14:16	relationship 28:16 30:20
69:1,6,14,16,23 70:19,25	recently 26:8	reflected 45:15 reformats 54:19	relationships 19:1 21:4
105:16,17 rate's 69:14,17	recess 56:1 79:10 96:11	reformatted 53:5	release 91:18,21
rather 29:5 67:13	recognition 42:9	54:8,9	92:12,24 93:15
87:8	recollect 43:24	reformatting	released 92:3,6
reading 64:10	record 7:17 14:16	54:11	remaining 57:1
65:19 78:1	15:21 44:13,16	Refrigerating 1:10	remains 72:17,21
ready 84:4	54:25 55:25 56:2 79:9,11	refused 35:13	remember 75:17 78:1
reality 38:11 really 42:2 43:7 47:3 55:13 78:15	95:14,15,20 96:1,12 108:19,20	regard 89:12 regarding 9:4,7,19	repeat 20:6 30:9 54:23 87:20
96:20	ŕ	10:4	repeating 102:23
Realtime 109:19	records 58:12 96:23 98:5	11:2,5,17,19 13:19 15:5	rephrase 8:10
reason 8:19 39:12	record's 33:4	20:5,8 24:18 27:2,6 28:17	reporter 1:25 6:13 11:22 29:11
103:7,22 104:14	red 63:20 64:1	29:3,18,24	41:22
reasonable 31:16	Reddy 21:24	30:4,12 31:2,6	95:21,22,25
32:12	R-E-D-D-Y 22:1	32:1 33:8 39:16	96:3,5,7,10
reasoning 87:11	reduced 109:7	43:25 45:14,18	109:19,20,21,22
reasons 29:18	Reexamination	47:5 51:9 78:22 79:3 82:8 89:8	reporter's 8:6
50:18 94:19	4:11,12 104:7	93:8 94:16 95:10	Reporting 6:12,14

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2014

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 47 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 18

	i ag	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
representation	43:2,21 44:7,23	retained 5:17	,21 31:15 71:6
30:15	45:2,13,23	48:14 59:10	science 71:12
represented	46:1,5,10,16,21,	retaining 59:17	scientific
8:22,24 62:18	25 47:5,16 50:15 51:14 53:8 55:12	retention 58:25	68:19,20,23 69:3
represents 49:20	58:2,15 62:4,9	59:8,13 94:1	70:20,24
request 12:16,18	64:15 67:15,20	returned 26:3	71:3,10,15
14:19 15:23 20:2	68:9 70:9,12	52:25	90:9,13,17
82:15	71:19,22,25 72:7		98:2,18
	73:19 74:7,15	retyping 41:25	scope 49:6 95:14
requested 21:17 44:16 51:18	77:5,14,17,20	review 8:4 12:2	101:17
54:25 69:15,17	78:25	31:10 36:3 38:7	scratch 87:13
90:21	80:14,17,21	56:20 63:12	
	82:2,8,15,20	66:12 83:24 84:3	screen 31:10
requesting 13:5	85:10 87:6,15,23	reviewed 12:22	search 13:17,18,20
	88:9 89:8,15,18	15:2,12,13,14,18	26:10 58:21
requests 12:19,22	92:1,10,11,23	26:8 65:2 66:10	59:20,22
13:1,4,23	93:5,24 94:3,5 95:7	84:1	searched 58:19
14:7,12	97:9,18,20,21	reviewer	60:3,5 61:2
required 14:25	100:18 101:3	99:14,15,18,24	second 3:7 11:25
requirement 31:13		100:1 101:6	32:4,5,6 34:11
71:9,11	Resource's 46:9,14	reviews 84:5	61:4 63:19 84:8
requirements 31:7	respect 25:10	RFP 20:5,8,12	104:19
34:4	44:1,5,22 47:1	Road 2:12	secure 27:10
rescan 100:13	59:17 70:20 74:19 93:3 94:2		seeing 56:23 80:9
resend 102:20		role 18:10	seen 12:3 47:7
	response 88:17	21:16,19	56:23 67:21
reserve 86:12	102:10	royalty 73:15	74:11,14,17
resolution 49:20	responsibilities	rules 8:4	83:25 84:1 108:7
resolved 85:21,23	18:23,24	run 42:19 43:3	selected 39:8,10,16
Resource 11:14	responsibility	running 42:24	
15:21	19:15 21:1 94:25	Tumming 42.24	self-explanatory 49:4
19:11,12,15,18,2	responsive 8:14	S	
0,24 20:2,12	13:1,4,23	San 2:8 3:3,8	send 100:15 103:4
23:5,22	14:12,18	·	sense 14:5,8 90:13
24:8,10,17,19	rest 22:19	save 53:13	97:10
25:10 26:3,13	restate 87:22	scan 42:12 76:20	sensitivity 108:11
27:2 28:13,16	results 99:15 101:6	96:22	sent 14:17,20
29:2,8,18,24,25		scanned 43:17	45:20 64:25 68:5
30:4,11,14,20 32:19 33:8,20	resumes 31:10	scanning 42:17	75:3,8 76:9 80:8
34:13 35:13	retain 27:13	80:22 99:10	94:5 100:13
40:11	52:22,24 57:23	100:12	sentence 57:2 61:4
41:7,10,15,24	58:9,12 59:19	school	81:18 97:7
42:14,19,24	68:11,14	16:9,13,15,17,19	
	l	, , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - ,	

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 48 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 19

separate 43:22	Society 1:4,9 7:1	92:14 93:2 103:5	76:11 81:18
September 56:9	software 22:7,8	106:25 108:11	state 7:17 109:1
79:1 83:9	42:20,24 43:3	specifically 10:21	statement 15:13
serial 48:25	100:2	44:1 80:14 82:24 92:4 108:8	33:14 51:16
series 57:8,14 65:5	solutions 18:4		States 1:1 16:13
66:15 75:6 78:10	somebody 14:7	specifications 97:16	22:17,23
83:13	54:18		status 93:21 94:17
server 46:8	Something.PDF	specified 45:25 97:18	stenography 109:8
103:16,19	53:11	spell 7:19 21:25	step 42:23 43:2,10
service 21:17	sometime 79:1	-	steps 33:15
services 2:14 6:23	somewhere 53:24	split 57:4	Stoltz 2:7 4:10,12
9:15 11:23 22:9,10 23:21,24	102:14	spoken	6:19 15:21 45:9
28:22,24	sorry 12:1 17:23	11:5,11,13,17 23:9,11	51:9 52:19 53:21
29:3,10,20	20:6 29:11,13 30:9 37:11 43:1	ŕ	69:20 70:21
several 17:13 22:6	44:3 54:23 60:9	spreadsheet 50:10,14	73:21 74:3 77:10
share 40:21	77:19 87:20	SR 48:23	83:22 85:15,18 86:2 89:2,10
	97:19,21 99:3,13	,	90:11 91:7,11,23
shared 100:23	107:17	stance 103:3	92:13,18 93:1,16
shares 21:21	sort 47:10 48:9	standard 46:18,22	95:13,18
sheet 80:2 103:15	59:15 65:14	55:6,13 70:18 82:14	96:5,6,14 103:25
short 79:7	107:3		104:4 105:24
Shorthand 109:22	source 48:9 49:10	standards 34:15	106:12 107:8,17,21
sides 37:9	99:14 102:13	44:2,5,10,22 45:1 46:17,21	107.8,17,21
sign 28:22	sources 49:14	47:1,10	storage 49:21
	Southfield 1:24	51:7,14,15,21,22	storage 49.21 stores 25:24
simple 33:12	6:1,9	55:3 57:17 58:7	
simpler 49:18	Spalding 3:6 7:3	61:15 62:1 63:17 64:5 67:4 68:16	storing 53:24
simplicity 29:21	speak 10:4,21,23	70:15 73:7	strategy 18:25
single 62:18 99:8	11:1,8 32:4	76:11,12,15	19:6
105:1	speakers 31:17,21	87:16,25 88:8	stream 49:2
sir 104:4	32:2,3 34:9	89:13,17 90:20	61:16,17,20 66:18 88:12
site 45:25	speaking 101:2	92:16,21,22 93:21,23 94:2,4	100:25
46:1,4,11	102:7 104:1	´ Í	Street 2:7 3:3,7
size 72:17,21	speaks 77:10 91:23	start 28:10 39:19 41:20 50:19	ŕ
skip 50:19 66:24	special 66:23	56:24 57:11 90:3	strike 99:13
skipped 80:3	specialist 6:13	92:10	Studios 26:20
103:16	specific 13:19	started 19:19	stuff 54:18 67:7
small 29:22	30:19 44:17,20	41:25	subject 26:7 82:17
99:6,20,21 100:6	58:6,24 63:18	starts 66:21 67:6	submitted 13:16
	73:18 77:16		34:19 35:17

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2014

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 49 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 20

47:18	25:12,14,22,23,2	97:1,4,6,9,12,14,	89:20
subpoena 4:19	5 72:13 88:13	15 98:15	96:10,15,16
11:23 12:6 30:15	tagged 24:21 26:4	technology	104:3,4 107:7
suffix 57:5	33:24 47:10	16:2,6,23	108:13,15,17
suggest 77:7	tagging 66:17	17:5,7,20	thanks 78:15
	taking 8:16 52:13	telephone 6:25 7:7	81:18 91:15
suggesting 93:4	talk 8:5	9:6,21	that's 12:9 20:2
Suite 1:23 2:12 3:7		telephonically	23:16 25:21
Summary 4:23	talked 9:12 10:19 29:4	3:2,7	27:22 29:1 30:7 35:4,15
47:24 48:5		ten 18:18 53:25	37:2,8,20
supervise 96:19	talking 10:6 28:2 40:7 47:20 54:14	54:1	38:1,11 39:21
98:24 102:8	80:13 91:13	term 25:7 32:12	41:15 42:13
supervisors 60:25	103:6	45:9 51:10 98:15	48:10,14 49:25
support 22:8		terms 9:14 21:6	52:11 53:19
supported 62:21	tally 35:2,3,10 55:10	22:6 47:3 88:5	54:1,4,7,13
1 1		test 70:5,6,9	55:13,24 56:15 58:14,15 62:2
sure 8:3,5,8 20:7	Talwalkar 10:10,11,16 11:1	testified 7:11	70:14 72:22 78:4
29:14,15 30:10,21 32:14	35:25 36:6 60:5	88:17 90:8	81:2 82:3
33:23 39:21	63:7,10,25 64:15		88:14,20
47:19 51:19	81:7,11 83:17	testify 8:19 15:4,18	91:3,7,10,25
52:15 53:1,12	T-A-L-W-A-L-K-	testifying 8:17	93:2,7 94:7
55:23 62:3,18	A-R 10:10	12:5	95:14,16 97:22
68:8 72:20 79:8	Tamil 32:9	testimony 70:22	100:20 104:2
86:16 87:11		85:16 86:3 90:12	105:17,20
89:25 107:19	T-A-M-I-L 32:9	109:4,6,9	106:4,6
Sutbir 64:20 83:4	tamper 72:12	TESTING 1:4	themselves 6:16
S-U-T-B-I-R 64:20	Tape 6:5	tests 32:14,15	there's 12:15,18
sweat 67:6,23	Tartar 17:17	text 24:21	14:24 25:12,24
sworn 7:10 109:5	team 15:12 21:12	25:2,5,6,7 26:4	28:7,12 29:21
	33:21 40:24	33:19,22,23	36:8 49:20 57:8 63:19 65:7
symbol 74:12 15 20	55:11	34:25 35:2,5	71:9,11 75:10,16
74:12,15,20	56:8,13,17,19	43:14,16 50:11	80:19 81:14
system 27:15	58:18 60:23,25	52:7 61:5 62:14,24 67:13	thereupon 7:9
systems 17:11 38:8	75:19,20,23 76:1	76:19,25 77:3	they're 40:17
	102:12,22 103:9	90:14 101:16	50:20 51:20
<u>T</u>	teams 96:19,24	102:4	57:13 68:18,20
table 4:1 67:12,17	97:4,23	Thane 7:4 86:15	98:21
68:1 84:23 85:2,7	98:1,4,7,10,24 102:7 103:17	89:22 107:12	they've 13:14
, and the second	technical 49:17	Thane.Rehn@mto	third-parties
tables 67:7 68:5,8 75:11 85:8	65:8 90:9,17	.com 3:4	71:19
tag	96:25	thank 80:1 88:16	third-party 6:23
····8			- •

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 50 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 21

	1 ag	i -	
thousand 37:19	transmit 94:13	40:13,18 42:10	94:20 98:19
TIF 24:13,15,23	transmitted 26:13	43:6,17 47:12	99:10 108:9
25:2,19	treat 47:5 87:15,24	48:11 49:8 50:2	
TIFs 33:9	, and the second se	55:8 56:17 57:23 58:21 59:10	V
title 18:21	tried 13:14	60:23 67:12	vague 98:12 101:8
	triple 34:15,19	69:10,23,24 85:2	103:2
titles 43:15	35:7,8,12,19 36:10,16 39:5,13	86:24 94:23	validate 35:1,5
today 8:1,17,20,22	70:1 86:21,22	102:11 104:13	42:5,6
9:3,8,19 11:6,9 12:12,23 15:11	87:1,8		validates 33:22
47:11 56:21	90:5,17,19	U	vary 96:20
66:10 96:15	99:5,12	Uh-huh 41:21	vector
tolerance 36:19,21	104:10,16,25 105:3 107:22	understand 8:9,12	49:14,19,21,22
37:17,18		12:5 51:19 52:15	verbal 96:1
38:10,12,24	trouble 67:25	54:1 62:7 65:7 80:6 91:21	version 24:25
106:1,10	troubles 75:11	101:20	49:18 103:18
Tolles 3:2 7:5	Troy 2:12	understanding	versions 53:23
top 14:24 36:8	true 58:15 109:8	10:13 24:16 26:2	versus 6:6
38:24 65:13	truth 7:10,11	28:15 35:12	
66:20 79:25	109:6	50:15 54:10	via 3:2,7 29:19
103:14	truthfully 8:17,20	94:24	vice-president
topics 14:24	try 8:10 13:22 44:9	understood 8:13	18:15,20 19:8 20:25
15:2,5,8,11	62:3,11 67:24	unidentified 76:1	
86:11,13	71:14 82:13	unit 19:1,2,3,4	video 6:12
total 10:2,3 48:19	86:13 100:13	50:19,20,22 51:3	videographer 3:14
50:22,25 51:3 89:14	trying 13:3 53:20	United 1:1 16:13	6:4 55:25 56:2 79:9,11 95:20
	55:2 56:22 78:17	22:17,23	96:12 108:18
towards 36:8 84:11	84:21	university 16:5	videotape 79:6
	turn 12:8 14:21	17:2,3	•
town 1:23 32:2,8 34:2	54:7 83:19	unlikely 38:1	videotaped 1:19 6:5
	Turning 84:7	unusual 38:5	
track 36:25	two-page 39:25		Vikas 1:20 4:5 6:5
trademark 73:25	47:23	update 35:5	7:8,18
trademarks	type 31:3 43:7,9	updates 78:21	V-I-K-A-S 7:20
71:19,22	59:4 100:11,21	uploaded 33:25	volume 6:4 48:19
73:19,22	types 49:13	uploading 75:7	50:22 51:3 99:21
trained 41:2	50:8,12,16 96:18	upon 69:2 70:15	
training 31:11	typical 88:15	usually 24:11	W walk 48:22
40:24,25 41:4	98:14,17	28:22 38:4,22	
transcribed 109:8	99:15,18 102:10	42:10 49:18 58:9	Washington 2:3 6:14
transcript 109:9	typically 33:21	59:9 62:14 70:4	
	34:10 39:12	82:16 87:4 88:4	wasn't 28:14

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-55 Filed 11/13/19 Page 51 of 51 Bhutada, Vikas 11-05-2014 Page 22

	rag		
website 26:10 46:7,9,11,14 we'd 15:23 29:5 40:21 Wednesday 1:21 6:2 week 81:20 we'll 75:19 87:10 we're 8:3 40:21 52:12 56:2 62:22	55:21 79:8 83:23 84:5 89:25 91:9 104:1,3 107:7,19 108:15 109:4,7,9,14 work 17:15 19:10 21:5 24:9,18 28:19 29:6,21,22 30:20 31:4 33:14 37:2,20 41:7,11,14,25	you'll 103:14 yourself 15:10 you've 12:2 17:4 20:25 23:9 30:10,17 36:2 37:8,14 38:7,20 44:20 53:16 54:15 74:11 98:15 100:17 101:23	
67:24 74:11 78:17 84:21 85:3 91:18 we've 21:4 whatever 51:16	42:23 43:2,20 44:6 45:14 49:2 53:12 61:14,16,20 62:23 63:16 66:18 76:19,23	Z Zee 3:7 4:8 6:25 86:16,19 89:20 95:21 96:3,4 107:14	
61:16 69:14,17 72:12 102:16 whenever 55:22 whereby 24:20 31:9 36:16,18,23	77:4,7,14,17,20 78:16 79:3 88:4,7,12 97:3,11,16 98:23 99:5,8,10,11 100:25 101:2		
whereof 109:14 whether 27:2	102:14 worked 17:17,19		
28:6,7,12 29:24 32:1,10 34:14 35:15 41:24 42:8,21 44:9 46:23 53:1 57:19,22 58:18 60:5 61:13 63:16 64:13 67:3 77:13 82:13 100:11 102:13 104:25	19:14 28:19 30:22 working 56:17 60:23 works 15:13 27:8 65:24 72:24 94:17 worry 75:20		
white 63:20 64:1	writing 109:7 written 33:7 44:23		
whole 7:10	63:17 73:6		
whom 8:24	wrong 36:17,19,20 70:13		
whose 57:22 109:4 wind 38:8	WS 49:1		
withdraw 87:22 101:21 105:9 witness 4:3 7:9 9:10,17 52:20	Y Yep 49:5 84:18 yet 15:22		